

Security Assurance Independence. Integrity. Trust.

PIV Performance:

What about Power Transfer and other factors?

Steve Weymann SWeymann@infogard.com

Copyright © 2014 InfoGard Laboratories Proprietary

Power Transfer Relationship to Card Performance

- In contactless mode, the card is powered by the reader's magnetic field
- Cards manage power by **adjustments to clock rates** and subsystems, **affecting comms and crypto accelerators**
- All cards sold for PIV in the federal space implement asymmetric crypto using crypto accelerators
- Crypto acceleration for RSA and ECC is power hungry
- Insufficient power transfer from reader to card is likely to limit comms rate options and slow critical crypto
- Also, readers and cards must be tuned to work well together

Are Readers Providing Enough Power?

- FIPS 201 specifies maximum operational distance (10 cm) but not minimum distance, or any power transfer or tuning characteristics
- EMV specifications require operation up to 4 cm from the landing plane
 - EMV is not PIV ... but the technology is the same, and the user experience and acceptance issues are similar
- InfoGard experience in past GSA 201 testing was that operation up to 1 cm was more typical
- Operational distance should not be a security factor if the system/protocol is well designed

INFO GARD

Possible Experiment

- Test power transfer for several common readers using ISO 10373-6 / EMV methods (establish a baseline)
 - Possible method: EMV C'less Level 1 PCD Analogue Test Plan (Sect. 7.8.1.1)
- Assure test equipment and sample cards are tuned within tolerance.
- Script the reader transaction for use on a virtual reader.
- Using production PIV cards, vary power produced by the virtual reader. Does power variation affect transaction time?
 - Specialized equipment for contactless low-level testing must be used.
- Another helpful data point: determine power transfer conditions for operation at some lower bound ... say 4 cm

4

INFO GARD

Possible Remedies and Other Considerations

- Deployment should assure readers and cards are well tuned
 - Is that the case for PIV card/physical reader deployment?

http://www.smartcardalliance.org/resources/pdf/PIV_Card_Reader_Guide_102212.pdf

- If experimental results warrant, assure minimum operating distance and/or power transfer
 - Consider the EMV approach as a model
 - Operating distance is a function of card and reader
 - Use existing test methods based on ISO 10373-6
- Other performance considerations
 - Reader efficiency in the transaction is likely #1 factor
 - Next generation chips should be faster when available
 - POST improvement may be on the order of several hundred ms
 - Move from RSA to ECC has 2x impact (POST + transaction)

5