Comments template for Preliminary

Cybersecurity Framework

#	Organization	Commentor	Туре	Page #	Line #	Section	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	0. Information Security Management Program
	Centura Health	Kris Kistler		5	207-215		Please keep. The Framework Core Functions are an excellent identification of functional elements of an information security program or management system (ISMS).	
	Centura Health	Kris Kistler		6	224-226	2.1	The Categories should change to correlate with the HITRUST CSF categories.	The Categories would be best served by using the existing HITRUST Common Security Framework (CSF) Categories that are closely aligned with ISO-27001: 0. Information Security Management Program 1. Access Control 2. Human Resources Security 3. Risk Management 4. Security Policy 5. Organization of Information Security 6. Compliance 7. Asset Management 8. Physical and Environmental Security 9. Communications and Operations Management 10. Information Systems Acquisition, Development and Maintenance 11. Information Security Incident Management 12. Business Continuity Management http://hitrustalliance.net

Submitted by: Kris Kistler, CISSP_____

Comments template for Preliminary Cybersecurity Framework

Date: 11/26/2013_____

3 Centura Health	Kris Kistler	6 232-2	37 21	Informative references should mimic the	HITRUST CSF Authoritative sources:
		0232	2.1	HITRUST Authoritative sources and	201 CMR 17.00 State of Massachusetts Data
				consist of already existing control	Protection Act
				references such as the ones listed.	ISO/IEC 27001:2005
				Controls should be cross-mapped to the	ISO/IEC 27002:2005
				various sources similar to the way	ISO/IEC 27799:2008
				HITRUST has done.	CMS Information Security ARS 2010 v1.0
					COBIT 4.1
					HIPAA (CFR part 164 Sections 308, 310,
					312, 314 and 316)
					HITECH Act (CFR Parts 160 and 164)
					Encryption / Destruction Guidance (CFR
					parts 160 and 164
					Federal Register 21 CFR Part 11
					16 CFR Part 681 – Identity Theft Red Flags
					rules
					NIST Special Publication 800-53 Revision 3
					NIST Special Publication 800-66
					Payment Card Industry (PCI) DSS v2.0
					Cloud Security Alliance (CSA) Cloud
					Controls Matrix v1
					The Joint Commission (formerly Joint
					Commission on the Accreditation of
					Healthcare Organizations JCAHO)
					NRS: Chapter 603A – State of Nevada
					In addition to ISO-27001, NIST 800-53 and
					related documents, other ideal sources of best
					practices and standards are the SANS Institute
					top 20 critical security controls.
					http://www.sans.org/critical-security-controls/
4 Centura Health	Kris Kistler	9 321-3	89 2.4	The Framework Implementation Tier	Effectiveness should be measured by utilizing
				system should be replaced with the	the HITRUST CSF Program Review for
				existing HITRUST PRISMA Maturity	Information Security Management Assistance
				Model to measure program effectiveness.	(PRISMA) maturity model available here:
					http://www.hitrustalliance.net/HITRUST%20
					Healthcare%20InfoSec%20Trends.pdf

Comments template for Preliminary Cybersecurity Framework

Date: 11/26/2013_____

5 Centura Health Kris Kist	er 13	457-492	Appendix A		The subcategory controls are too vague. More specific and directly auditable controls should be specified. Please reference HITRUST Controls and Control Elements
6 Centura Health Kris Kist	er			Elements We frequently struggle with vendors and exposures from their systems, especially	Require Third Party Vendors, Business Partners, Bio-Medical and any other networked system to adhere to same

Comments template for Preliminary Cybersecurity Framework

Date: 11/26/2013_____

	17 . 17 . 1	
Centura Health	Kris Kistler	Stronger Access Controls consistent with Two factor authentication is recommended
		NIST 800-53 Level 3 controls should be a requirement for any external (internet)
		enforced. system access. A compromised patient
		Without two factor authentication, a account would normally only provide acce
		physician's credentials could be to a single patient record, but if underlying
		compromised and used from any device or existing or future flaws are exploited, it co
		computer by any person. The two factor lead to thousands of compromised patient
		process significantly reduces the risk records. In the past year, the threat landsca
		associated with this. A common method is has increased significantly for healthcare
		to perform a "Man-in-the-Middle" attack, organizations, partly due to the healthcare.
		in which a device or virtual electronic deficiencies making news headlines. New
		spoof is made to insert a device or hacker tools have been released in the last
		program in between the client and web year that also increase the risk for man-in-
		server communication, allowing the middle and phishing attacks to be successf
		encryption to be bypassed, and the by lower level hackers and teenagers.
		physicians credentials exposed to the
		hacker who can then use them at will. A
		device purposefully made for just such
		attacks was recently released at the
		DefCon Hacker conference in July for
		\$99. It sold out in hours.
		http://hakshop.myshopify.com/collections/
		gadgets
		http://blogs.computerworld.com/19671/sn
		eak pineapple peak hak5 creates even
		more_lethal_wi_fi_hot_spot_honeypot_ha
		cking tool
		The most likely initial compromises will
		be of individual patient accounts due to
		phishing attacks, or keystroke logging on
		the patient's personal accounts and
		systems. Targeted attacks (spearphishing)
		could result in larger numbers of these
Centura Health	Kris Kistler	Simply utilize existing HITRUST Adopt HITRUST Common Security
		Common Security Framework (CSF), Framework (CSF)
1		which meets all desired objectives.