Submitted by: <u>Chris Burrows, CISO, Oakland County Michigan</u>
Date: <u>12/12/2013</u>

#	Organization	Commento	Type	Page #	Line #	Section	Comment (Include rationale for	Suggested change
		r					comment)	
	Oakland County, Michigan	C. Burrows	G	6-10	227-289 and 333- 343	2.4 and 3.2	Since the Cybersecurity Program is dependent on the "risk tolerance" of the organization, it's important for senior executives to understand what that means to the business units in terms of how they utilize technology operationally. In my experience, I have seen the Risk Manager as one that has extensive "industry experience" in the line of business for the company or comes from the legal side of the business. Technology is usually not their strength. Considering the "risk tolerance" message travels from senior executive to business/process level to implementation/operations level - there is plenty of room for misinterpretation. Understanding and setting expectations are key to delivering the desired outcome.	accessed offsite which means you cannot use your iPad to access HR data. Another
	2 Oakland County, Michigan	C. Burrows	G	n/a	n/a	n/a	The Preliminary Framework in its current form is lacking information on how organizations can implement the Framework. Similar standards methodologies have included this guidance, which has proven valuable to aid in implementation decisions.	Add an appendix section that provides guidance about how to implement the Framework, with templates, examples and instructions. An example of such an appendix can be found at: http://www.iso27001security.com/html/iso27k_toolkit.html.

Date: 12/12/2013

3	Oakland County,	C. Burrows	G	28-35	485-491	Appendix B	Appendix B provides a privacy methodology	More clearly define the Framework's privacy
	Michigan						for adopters of the Framework and	methodology as to its intended scope and
							specifically incorporates the term "personally	strike an appropriate level of specificity, so
							identifiable information" (PII), which is	as not to inadvertently impose legal or
							commonly used in privacy laws. Privacy	operational obligations and costs on adopting
							considerations and protecting civil liberties	organizations. It is suggested that Appendix
							are critical to an organization's cybersecurity	B be removed from Framework 1.0 and re-
							program. Given the critical nature and high	incorporated in the next Framework version
							stakes of this particular component of	to allow stakeholders and the Administration
							cybersecurity, the Framework's proposed	additional time to thoroughly examine and
							methodology is overly broad and vague,	finalize this critical component.
							which may expose organizations to increased	
							legal and operational risk, particularly as the	
							impacts of Framework "adoption" are	
							solidified.	