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RNG Standardization

• Random numbers needed for cryptography

• **X9.82**: Standards effort in X9F1 (banking standards org)
  
  • Started around 1998 (I came onboard in 2003)
  
  • Made very little progress early on
  
  • Eventually became mainly a US government effort
  
  • NIST and NSA, with some participation from CSE
Moving to NIST Special Publications

- X9 Documents not available to public
  - Hard to get feedback from academics
- X9 process was slow
- X9 not tuned to needs of FIPS validation

*Most of work on standards done by US federal employees (NIST and NSA, with some help from CSE)*
Three Documents

- **SP 800-90A: Deterministic Random Bit Generators**
- SP 800-90B: Entropy Sources
- SP 800-90C: Putting it All Together

*Derived partially from the work done in X9.82.*
Algorithms in 800-90A

- CTR-DRBG = block cipher based
- HMAC-DRBG = HMAC (hash function) based
- Hash-DRBG = hash function based
- Dual-EC-DRBG = elliptic curve based

Other than Hash-DRBG, same algorithms in X9.82
Dual EC DRBG

- Start from P and Q (system parameters)
- To generate an output:
  - \( z = sQ \) (convert to integer)
  - Output \( z \), with some bits truncated
  - \( s = sP \) (Convert to integer)
Dual EC DRBG has two parameters, P and Q.

- Can be public and shared with all users

  ...but that isn’t necessary.

- Where do these come from?

  - Provided in standard

  - Ultimately from designers of Dual EC DRBG at NSA.

- **What if you don’t trust the people who generated P and Q?**
Trusting P and Q

- If P and Q are randomly generated, Dual EC secure.

- *P and Q can be generated to insert a backdoor.*

- Issue was first raised in an X9 meeting

- Later, issue was described at Crypto 2007 rump session.
The Possible Trapdoor

0. Attacker knows \( a \) such that \( aQ = P \)

- Attacker generates \( P \) and \( Q \) with trapdoor!
- \( z = sQ \) (convert to integer)
- Output \( z \), with some bits truncated
  - Guess truncated bits to get back to \( sQ \).
- \( s = sP \) (Convert to integer)
  - Use trapdoor: new \( s = asQ \)

1. Invert these steps \( 2^{16} \) work.

2. Use knowledge of \( a \) to derive next seed value

- Drbg output
Discussed in X9 Meeting

• Didn’t seem like a real threat

• Obvious choice would have been to generate P and Q in a verifiably random way, make those the new system parameters.
  • At least one vendor had implemented with original P,Q.

• Instead, we allowed implementers to generate their own P and Q in a verifiably random way.
  • As far as we know, nobody actually did this..
Snowden Disclosures

• News stories came out strongly suggesting that Dual EC had a trapdoor inserted by NSA

• This put the previous discussions in an entirely new light.

• We responded by:
  
  • Issuing an ITL bulletin telling everyone to stop using Dual EC DRBG until further notice.

  • Putting all three 800-90 documents up for public comment
Future of 800-90A

• Our current plan is to remove Dual EC DRBG
  • Its performance is pretty slow
  • Many vendors already have scrambled to remove or disable it in their products.
• Phase-out period
Questions / Lessons Learned

• *Developing standards in an adversarial world?*

• *Transitive trust?*