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## WHY?

- Asymmetric key operations are about $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ times slower than symmetric key or hash operations
- Why have 2 DRBGs based on hard problems in number theory?
- Certainly not expected to be chosen for performance reasons!


## Some Possible Reasons

- Do not need lots of random bits, but want the potentially increased assurance
- Already using an asymmetric key algorithm and want to limit the number of algorithms that IF broken will break my system
- Have an asymmetric algorithm accelerator in the design already


## Performance Versus

 Assurance- As performance is not likely THE reason an NT DRBG is included in a product
- Make the problem needing to be broken as hard as possible, within reason
- This increases the assurance that the DRBG will not be broken in the future, up to its security level


## Quick Elliptic Curve Review

- An elliptic curve is a cubic equation in 2 variables X and Y which are elements of a field. If the field is finite, then the elliptic curve is finite
- Point addition is defined to form a group
- ECDLP Hard problem: given $P=n G$, find $n$ where $G$ is generator of $E C$ group and $G$ has order of 160 bits or more


## Elliptic Curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$



## Toy Example: The Field $\mathbf{Z}_{23}$

- The field $Z_{23}$ has $\underline{23}$ elements from 0 to 22
- The " + " operation is addition modulo 23
- The "*" operation is multiplication mod 23
- As 23 is a prime this is a field (acts like rational numbers except it is finite)


## The Group $\mathbf{Z}_{23}^{*}$

- $\mathrm{Z}^{*}{ }_{23}$ consists of the $\mathbf{2 2}$ elements of $\mathbf{Z}_{23}$ excluding 0

| $5^{0}=1$ | $5^{8}=16$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5^{1}=5$ | $5^{9}=11$ |
| $5^{2}=2$ | $5^{10}=9$ |
| $5^{3}=10$ | $5^{11}=22$ |
| $5^{4}=4$ | $5^{12}=18$ |
| $5^{5}=20$ | $5^{13}=21$ |
| $5^{6}=8$ | $5^{14}=13$ |
| $5^{7}=17$ | $5^{15}=19$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5^{16}=3 \\
& 5^{17}=15 \\
& 5^{18}=6 \\
& 5^{19}=7 \\
& 5^{20}=12 \\
& 5^{21}=14 \\
& \text { And return } \\
& 5^{22}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- The element 5 is called a generator
- The "group operation" is modular multiplication


## Solutions to $y^{2}=x^{3}+x+1$ Over $Z_{23}$

| $(0,1)$ | $(6,4)$ | $(12,19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0,22)$ | $(6,19)$ | $(13,7)$ |
| $(1,7)$ | $(7,11)$ | $(13,16)$ |
| $(1,16)$ | $(7,12)$ | $(17,3)$ |
| $(3,10)$ | $(9,7)$ | $(17,20)$ |
| $(3,13)$ | $(9,16)$ | $(18,3)$ |
| $(4,0)$ | $(11,3)$ | $(18,20)$ |
| $(5,4)$ | $(11,20)$ | $(19,5)$ |
| $(5,19)$ | $(12,4)$ | $(19,18)$ |
| $\varnothing$ |  |  |



## ECC DRBG Flowchart

additional input







If idimuliquif = Mill

## Unlooped Flowchart



## 3 Facts and a Question

1. Randomness implies next bit unpredictability
2. The number of points on a curve is approximately the number of field elements
3. All points $(X, Y)$ have a inverse ( $X,-Y$ ) and at most 3 points are of form ( $\mathrm{X}, 0$ )
Q: Can I use the X -coordinate of a random point as random bits?

## X-Coordinate Not Random

No, I cannot use a raw X-coordinate!
As most $X$-coordinates are associated with 2 different Y -coordinates, about half the X values have NO point on the curve,
Such X gaps can be considered randomly distributed on X -axis
Look at toy example to see what is going on

## Toy Example of X Gaps

Possible $X$ coordinate values: 0 to 22
X values appearing once: 4
Twice: 0, 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19
None: 2, 8, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22
An X coordinate in bits from 00000 to 10110
If I get first 4 bits of $X$ value of 0100 a , I know a must be a 1 , as 9 exists but 8 does not

## 1-bit Predictability

- If output 4 bits as a random number, the next bit is completely predictable!
- This property also holds for 2-bit gaps, 3-bit gaps, etc. with decreasing frequency.
- Bad luck is not an excuse for an RBG to be predictable!
- The solution: Truncate the X-coordinate. Do not give all that info out. How much?


# X Coordinate Truncation Table 

| Prime field |
| :--- |
| Binary Field, cofactor $=2$ |

Truncate at least 13 leftmost bits of $x$ coordinate

Truncate at least 14 leftmost bits of $x$ coordinate

Truncate at least 15 leftmost bits of $x$ coordinate

## Truncation

- This truncation will ensure no bias greater than $2^{* *-44}$
- Reseed every 10,000 iterations so bias effect is negligible
- To work with bytes, round up so remainder of X-coordinate is a multiple of 8 bits, this truncates from 16 to 19 bits


## Quick RSA Review

- Choose odd public exponent e and primes p and $q$ such that e has no common factor with $p$ or $q$, set $n=p q$
- Find d such ed = $1 \bmod (p-1)(q-1)$
- Public key is (e, n), private key is (d, n)
- Hard to find d from (e, n) if $n>=1024$ bits
- ( $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathrm{n}$ ) is hard to invert for most M


## Micali-Schnorr DRBG



## Unlooped Flowchart



## Micali-Schnorr Truncation

- For MS truncation, we only use the RSA hard core bits as random bits
- This has high assurance that the number theory problem to be solved is as hard as possible!
- Reseed after 50,000 iterations


## NIST/ANSI X9 Security Levels Table

| Security Levels <br> (in bits) | ECC (order <br> of G in bits) | MS (RSA) <br> (modulus in bits) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 | 160 | 1024, <br> 10 hardcore bits |
| 112 | 224 | 2048, <br> 11 hardcore bits |
| 128 | 256 | 3072, <br> 11 hardcore bits |
| 192 | 384 | Not specified |

# Number Theory DRBGs Summary 

- 2 Number Theory DRBGs are specified based on ECC and RSA
- Use one for increased assurance, but do not expect it to be the fastest one possible
- No one has yet asked for an FFC DRBG, straightforward to design from ECC DRBG, but specifying algorithm and validation method has a cost

