IDManagement.gov

CIO Council, ICAM Steering Committee Access Control & Attribute Governance Working Group (ACAG WG):

The Attribute Roadmap

Martin Smith, PM-ISE
ACAG WG Co-Chair
July 17, 2013

Attribute registry

- Initial focus of effort is person attributes
  - NPE, resource, and environment attributes are on the roadmap
- "Market Driven" Approach – Attributes that aren't used will either not be proposed or will fall off of registry
- Registry should serve as a "dictionary" of attribute definitions to minimize redundant, nearly identical attributes
- On-boarding of attribute includes normalization of syntax, semantics, and namespace assignments (but does not obligate any entity to provision the attribute)—the end result of on-boarding is visibility in the Registry, not necessarily the ownership of the attribute
- Current focus on unclassified systems registry effort with eye to potential duplication at other classification domains

➢ Expect approval of Attribute Management CONOPS and "open for business" with limited (not automated) registry by end of CY13

- Organizations should begin to consider user authorization (privilege) attributes needed for external access to their info resources

- Likewise begin to consider if their organization is the authoritative source of an attribute needed for access to any Federal info resources

- Considering MAX.gov, IDManagement.gov or dedicated registry resource

- ➤ National Strategy for Information Sharing and Safeguarding (Dec. 2012)
- ➤ Priority Objective 4: "Extend & implement FICAM Framework on all [Federal network] fabrics"
- ➤ "Extend" means fill in FICAM framework with key pieces identified in 800-162
  - User attribute management
  - Resource attribute management (data tagging)
  - Digital policy creation and management
- ➤ Emphasis on interoperability across organizations and security domains implies "Federal enterprise" coordination/governance perspective