# The First SHA-3 Candidate Conference

The Way Forward

Bill Burr william.burr@nist.gov February 27, 2009

### What Have We Learned?

- Leuven is a great place
- We have many good candidates
- Hashes are everywhere
  - o Even the low end
  - o The low end is always with us
    - How often do low end apps need a collision resistant hash?

### Dissonances

- High-end vs. low-end
  - o Different focus in different submissions
- Standards ought have few options
  - Anything over 2<sup>200</sup> is overkill
  - So paramaterize performance/security
- Proofs are good (maybe necessary), but,
  - o How much performance is a proof worth?



## **Additional Thoughts**

- May help to submit to eBash
- Only one "tweek"
- If we think we need to adjust performance parameters in evaluation, we'll consult with the designer.



#### The Plan

- Cut down to about 15 2<sup>nd</sup> round candidates by Crypto 2009 to focus cryptanalysis
  - A balanced group with different approaches
  - Most would be a good final selection
  - Will cut some very good hashes
- 2<sup>nd</sup> SHA-3 conference close to Crypto 2010
- Post your comments & analysis by June 1 if you can



Thanks!!!