

29th Annual Conference

"The Quest for the Un-hackable Human: The Pow of Cybersecurity Awareness and Training" National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, Maryland

IG Metrics: Maturity Model and the New IG FISMA Assessment Approach

John Ippolito CISSP, PMP

Consultant

Mary Harmison CPA, Audit Manager

Office of Inspector General

Federal Trade Commission



Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014 Replaced

Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA)

FISMA Requires Annual Independent Evaluation

FISMA Independent Evaluations Combine Information Securit Structured Processes with Control Effectiveness Metrics

Each evaluation under this section shall include

2002 FISMA

- "(A) testing of the effectiveness of information security policies, procedures, and practices of a representative subset of the agency's information systems;
- (B) an assessment (made on the basis of the results of the testing) of compliance with
- (i) the requirements of this subchapter; and
 (ii) related information security policies, procedures, standards, and guidelines."



 "(A) testing of the effectiveness of information security policies, procedures, and practices of a representative subset of the agency's information systems;

FISMA Modernization Act



 (B) an assessment of the effectiveness of the information security policies, procedures, and practices of the agency."

NIST 800-53 Definition of Effectiveness

ecurity control effectiveness addresses the extent to which the ntrols are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and oducing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the curity requirements for the information system in its perational environment."

Proposed IG ISCM Maturity Model

ISCM Attributes

- 1.1.1 Documented policies and procedures for ISCM
- 1.1.2 Documented strategy for ISCM that includes consideration of risk assessments
- 1.1.3 Implementation of ISCM for IT assets and performance of security controls assessment

1.1.4 ISCM reporting

Level 1 Ad-hoc

ISCM policies, procedures, and strategy not formalized; ISCM activities performed in an ad-hoc, reactive manner

Level 2 Defined

ISCM policies, procedures, and strategy are formalized and documented but not consistently implemented

Level 3 Consistently Implemented

ISCM policies, procedures, and strategy are consistently implemented and agency performs validation testing. However, quantitative and qualitative effectiveness measures are lacking

Level 4 Managed & Measurable

Quantitative and qualitative measures on the effectiveness of ISCM policies and procedures are collected across the organization and used to assess the ISCM program and make necessary changes

Level 5 Optimized

ISCM policies, procedures, and strategy are fully institutionalized, repeatable, self generating, consistently implemented, and regularly updated based on a changing threat and technology landscape and business/mission needs.

INFORMATION SECURITY AND PRIVACY ADVISORY BOARD IG Panel June 10, 2015

ISCM Maturity Model for FY2015 FISMA

5 level scale across 3 domains

Scale/Domain	People	Processes	Technology
I - Ad-hoc			
2 - Defined			
3 - Consistently Implemented			
4 - Managed and Measurable			
5 - Optimized			

ducator's Role

Level 2

Assess the skills, knowledge, and resources needed to effectively implement an ISCM program. Develop a plan for closing any gaps identified.

Level 3

Implement plans to close any gaps in skills, knowledge, and resources required to successfully implement an ISCM program. Personnel possess the required knowledge, skills, and abilities to effectively implement the organization's ISCM program.

Level 4

Consistently implement, monitor, and analyze qualitative and quantitative performance measures across the organization and collect, analyze, and report data on the effectiveness of the organization's ISCM program.

Level 5

Ensure assigned personnel collectively possess a high skill level to perform and update ISCM activities on a near real-time basis to make any changes needed to address ISCM results based or organization risk tolerance, the threat environment, and business/mission requirements.

ddress Evaluation Criteria

- Demonstrate training effectiveness of training material
- Demonstrate training effectiveness
- Elimination of training GAPS
- Adapts to change
- Quantitative vs Qualitative measures