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## General Structure of MQ Signature

- $F_{q}$ : finite field with $q$ elements
- $\mathcal{F}: F_{q}^{n} \rightarrow F_{q}^{m}$ by $\mathcal{F}(X)=\left(\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x), \ldots, \mathcal{F}^{(m)}(x)\right)$ for $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- $\mathcal{T}: F_{q}^{n} \rightarrow F_{q}^{n}, \mathcal{S}: F_{q}^{m} \rightarrow F_{q}^{m}$ invertible affine maps
- $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{T}: F_{q}^{n} \rightarrow F_{q}^{m}$
- Public key: $\mathcal{P}$, Secret key: $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}$


## Building Blocks of $\mathcal{F}$

- Solvable System of Quadratic Equations $\mathcal{Q}$
- char $\left(F_{q}\right)=2$, is odd.
- $\mathcal{Q}:\left(x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, \ldots, x x_{1}\right)=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta\right)$ for $\beta_{i} \quad F_{q}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \times\left(x_{2} x_{3}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(x x_{1}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1} x_{i}\right)^{2}=\left(\prod_{i=1} \beta_{i}\right) \\
\left(\prod_{i=1} x_{i}\right)=\sqrt{\left(\prod_{i=1} \beta_{i}\right)} \\
\left(x_{2} x_{3}\right) \times\left(x_{4} x_{5}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(x_{-1} \times\right)=\left(\prod_{i=2} x_{i}\right)=\left(\prod_{i: \text { even }} \beta_{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

Using E.q. (2) and E.q. (3), we can obtain $x_{1}$ and so $x_{2}, \ldots, x$.

## Central map $\mathcal{F}$

- $\mathcal{F}(X)=\left(\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x), \ldots, \mathcal{F}^{(m)}(x)\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x)=\Phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)+\delta_{1} x_{v+1} x_{v+2} \quad\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{v}\right)\right) \\
\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(x)=\Phi_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)+\delta_{2} x_{v+2} x_{v+3} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}\right)}(x)=\Phi_{o_{1}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}}\right)+\delta_{o_{1}} x_{v+o_{1}} x_{v+1}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+1\right)}(x)=\Psi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)+\delta_{o_{1}+1} x_{v_{1}+1} x_{v_{1}+2} \quad\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{v}_{1}}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{v+o_{1}}\right)\right) \\
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+2\right)}(x)=\Psi_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)+\delta_{o_{1}+2} x_{v_{1}+2} x_{v_{1}+3} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+o_{2}\right)}(x)=\Psi_{o_{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{v}_{1}}\right)+\delta_{o_{1}+o_{2} x_{v_{1}+o_{2}} x_{v_{1}+1}}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+o_{2}+1\right)}(x)=\sum_{v+1 \leq i \leq j \leq v_{1}} \beta_{j, i}^{(1)} x_{i} x_{j}+\Theta_{1}(x)+\Theta_{1}(x)+{ }_{1} x_{o_{1}+o_{2}+1} \\
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+o_{2}+2\right)}(x)=\sum_{v+1 \leq i \leq j \leq v_{1}} \beta_{j, i}^{(2)} x_{i} x_{j}+\Theta_{2}(x)+\Theta_{2}(x)+{ }_{2} x_{o_{1}+o_{2}+2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{F}^{\left(o_{1}+o_{2}+o_{3}\right)}(x)=\sum_{v+1 \leq i \leq j \leq v_{1}} \beta_{j, i}^{\left(o_{3}\right)} x_{i} x_{j}+\Theta_{o_{3}}(x)+\Theta_{o_{3}}(x)+o_{o_{3}} x_{o_{1}+o_{2}+o_{3}}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Central map $\mathcal{F}$ of HiMQ 3F

- $\Phi_{k}(x)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq v} \alpha_{i, j}^{(k)} x_{i} x_{j}, \quad \Psi_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{v} \sum_{j=v+1}^{v+o_{1}} \alpha_{i, j}^{\left(o_{1}+k\right)} x_{i} x_{j}$
- $\Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{v_{1}} \gamma_{i, j} x_{i} x_{v_{1}+(i+j-1)\left(\bmod o_{3}\right)}$,
$\Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{v_{2}} \gamma_{i, j} x_{i} x_{v_{2}+(i+j-1)\left(\bmod o_{3}\right)}$
- All the quadratic terms in $\Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x})\left(i=1, \cdots, o_{3}\right)$ don't overlap and symmetric matrix of the quadratic part of each $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}$ has full rank for $i=o_{1}+o_{2}+1, \cdots, m$.
$v \geq 2 o_{1}+1$ and $o_{2} \geq o_{3}$.


## Central map $\mathcal{F}$ of HiMQ 3

- $\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{v} \alpha_{i, j} x_{j} x_{1+(i+j-1)(\bmod v),}$
$\Psi_{i}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{v} \alpha_{i, j} x_{j} x_{v+(i+j-1)\left(\bmod o_{1}\right)}$.
- $\Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x}), \Theta_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ is the same as HiMQ-3F
- All the quadratic terms in $\Phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})\left(i=1, \cdots, o_{1}\right)$ and $\Psi_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ ( $i=1, \cdots, o_{2}$ ) don't overlap and symmetric matrix of the quadratic part of each $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}$ has full rank for $i=o_{1}+o_{2}+1, \cdots, m$.
$v \geq 2 o_{1}+1$ and $o_{1} \geq o_{2} \geq o_{3}$.


## Symmetric Matrices of the Quadratic Parts of $\mathcal{F}$ for HiMQ 3F








## Symmetric Matrices of the Quadratic Parts of $\mathcal{F}$ for HiMQ 3



## How to invert $\mathcal{F}$

- Given $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right)$, find $s$ such that $\mathcal{F}(s)=\xi$

1. Choose a random Vinegar vector $s_{v}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{v}\right)$ and plug it into $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}\left(1 \leq i \leq o_{1}\right)$.
2. Solve a quadratic system of $o_{1}$ equations with $o_{1}$ variables

$$
\left(\delta_{1} x_{v+1} x_{v+2}, \ldots, \delta_{o_{1}} x_{v+o_{1}} x_{v+1}\right)=\left(\xi_{1}-\Phi_{1}\left(s_{v}\right), \ldots, \xi_{o_{1}}-\Phi_{o_{1}}\left(s_{v}\right)\right)
$$

Find solution $\left(s_{v+1}, \ldots, s_{v+o_{1}}\right)$ by using E.q. (2) and E.q. (3).
3. To Invert $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}\left(o_{1}+1 \leq i \leq o_{1}+o_{2}\right)$ in the 2nd layer is similar to Step 1 and Step 2.
4. Plug $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{V+o_{1}+o_{2}}\right)$ into the polynomials $\mathcal{F}^{(i)}\left(o_{1}+o_{2}+1 \leq i \leq m\right)$.
5. Solve a linear system of $o_{3}$ equations with $o_{3}$ variables and find solution $\left(s_{\mathrm{v}+o_{1}+o_{2}}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ by Gaussian elimination.
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## Underlying problems for security of HiMQ 3

- Polynomial System Solving (PoSSo) Problem: Given a system $\mathcal{P}=\left(P^{(1)}, \cdots, P^{(m)}\right)$ of $m$ nonlinear polynomial equations defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with degree of $d$ in variables $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ and $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}\right) \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m}$, find values $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ such that $P^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=y_{1}, \cdots, P^{(m)}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=y_{m}$.
- EIP (Extended Isomorphism of Polynomials) Problem: Given a nonlinear multivariate system $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P}=S \circ \mathcal{F} \circ T$ for linear or affine maps $S$ and $T$, and $\mathcal{F}$ belonging to a special class of nonlinear polynomial system $\mathcal{C}$, find a decomposition of $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P}=S \circ \mathcal{F} \circ T$ for linear or affine maps $S$ and $T$, and $\mathcal{F} \quad \mathcal{C}$.
- MinRank Problem: Let $m, n, r, k \quad \mathbb{N}$ and $r, m<n$. The $\operatorname{MinRank}(r)$ problem is, given $\left(M_{1}, \cdots, M_{l}\right) \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{m \times n}$, find a non-zero $k$-tuple $\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{k}\right) \quad \mathbb{F}_{q}^{k}$ such that $\operatorname{Rank}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} M_{i}\right) \leq r$.


## Direct attack

- Complexity of HiMQ-3 against the direct attacks is estimated as

$$
C_{\text {Direct }}(q, m, n)=C_{M Q}(q, m, n)
$$

where $C_{M Q}(q, m, n)$ denotes complexity of solving a semi-regular system of $m$ equations in $n$ variables defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ by using HF5 algorithm.

- Running Time (Second) for Solving Two Types of Quadratic Systems over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}$.

| $\left(v, o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}\right)$ | $(7,3,3,2)$ | $(7,3,3,3)$ | $(9,3,3,3)$ | $(11,5,3,2)$ | $(11,5,4,3)$ | $(11,5,4,4)$ | $(11,5,5,4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Random System | 0.145 | 0.602 | 0.618 | 3.003 | 112.861 | 639.576 | 5753.369 |
| HiMQ-3 | 0.134 | 0.593 | 0.57 | 3.203 | 109.823 | 756 | 5712.19 |

## Rank attack

- MinRank Attacks: Complexity of HiMQ-3 against the MinRank attacks is

$$
C_{M R}\left(q, v, o_{1}, m\right)=o_{1} \cdot q^{v-o_{1}+3}
$$



Figure: 1st layer of HiMQ-3

- HighRank Attacks: Complexity of HiMQ-3 against the HighRank attacks is

$$
C_{H R}\left(q, o_{3}, n\right)=q^{o_{3}} \cdot \frac{n^{3}}{6}
$$

## Kipnis Shamir Attacks

－Complexity of HiMQ－3 against the Kipnis－Shamir Attacks is

$$
C_{K S}\left(q, v, o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}\right)=q^{v+o_{1}+o_{2}-o_{3}}
$$



Figure： $\mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{F}$ of HiMQ－3

## Key recovery attack(KRA)

- Complexity of HiMQ-3 against the KRAs using good keys is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{K R A g}(q, m, n)=C_{M Q}\left(q, m+n-1, n+\min \left(o_{1}, o_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{P}=\left(S \circ \Sigma^{-1}\right) \circ(\Sigma \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \Omega) \circ\left(\Omega^{-1} \circ T\right) \\
=S \circ \mathcal{F} \circ T \quad((S, \mathcal{F}, T): \text { equivalent key })
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure: Equivalent Key of HiMQ-3


Figure: Good Key of HiMQ-3

## Existential unforgeability of HiMQ 3

## Theorem

If the MQ-problem in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{Q}_{\text {HiMQ-3 }}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}, m, n\right)$ is $(t, \varepsilon)$-hard, HiMQ-3( $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q}, v, o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}\right)$ is $\left(t, q_{H}, q_{S}, \varepsilon\right)$-EUF-acma, for any $t$ and $\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$
\varepsilon \geq \mathrm{e} \cdot\left(q_{S}+1\right) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad t \geq t+q_{H} \cdot c_{V}+q_{S} \cdot c_{S},
$$

where $e$ is the base of the natural logarithm, and $c_{S}$ and $c_{V}$ are time for a signature generation and a signature verification, respectively, where $m=o_{1}+o_{2}+o_{3}$, and $n=v+m$ if the parameter set $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}, v, o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}\right)$ is chosen to be secure against the MinRank attack, HighRank attack, Kipnis-Shamir attack and KRAs using good keys.
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## Key feature of HiMQ 3

- Smaller public key size (compared to other MQ-signatures)
- Use an easily solvable system of quadratic equations in Oil $\times$ Oil parts of 1st and 2nd layers

Good keys of HiMQ-3 in KRA are different from that of Rainbow.
Increase the complexity of key recovery attack
Reduce the number of variables
Smaller public key size, signature size and faster verification.

## Key feature of HiMQ 3

- Smaller secret key size (compared to other MQ-signatures)
- HiMQ-3 and HiMQ-3F use sparse quadratic polynomials in the 3rd layer.
- HiMQ-3 also use sparse quadratic polynomials in the 1st and 2nd layer
- In HiMQ-3P, we use a small random seed for secret key and recover the entire secret key from the seed in signing via PRNG.


## Key feature of HiMQ 3

- Fast signature generation (compared to other MQ-signatures)
- Use an easily solvable system of quadratic equations instead of Oil-Vinegar system.
- No Gaussian elimination in 1st and 2nd layers.
- In 3rd layer, Gaussian elimination for equations with smaller number of variables than UOV or Rainbow.


## Parameter Selection and Expected Security

- HiMQ-3F: $\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)=2, \quad o_{1}, o_{2}$ are odd and $o_{2} \geq o_{3}, v \geq 2 o_{1}+1$
- HiMQ-3: $\operatorname{char}\left(F_{q}\right)=2, \quad o_{1}, o_{2}$ are odd and $o_{1} \geq o_{2} \geq o_{3}, v \geq 2 o_{1}+1$
- Complexities of HiMQ-3 and HiMQ-3F against All Known Attacks at 128 security level

| $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}, v, o_{1}, o_{2}, o_{3}\right)$ | Direct | KRA | Kipnis-Shamir | MinRank | HighRank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HiMQ-3 $\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 31,15,15,14\right)$ | $2^{131}$ | $2^{166}$ | $2^{368}$ | $2^{155}$ | $2^{128}$ |
| $\operatorname{HiMQ}-3 F\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 24,11,17,15\right)$ | $2^{129}$ | $2^{140}$ | $2^{280}$ | $2^{131}$ | $2^{135}$ |
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## Implementation results of HiMQ 3 and HiMQ 3F at the 128 bit Security Level.

- Implementation results (cycle)

| MQ-Scheme | KeyGen | Sign | Verify |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HiMQ-3( $\left.\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 31,15,15,14\right)$ | $50,593,934$ | 21,594 | 17,960 | AVX2 |
|  | $69,104,986$ | 44,703 | 237,999 | ANSI C |
| HiMQ-3F $\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 24,11,17,15\right)$ | $79,256,175$ | 25,613 | 14,645 | AVX2 |
|  | $107,559,999$ | 64,773 | 184,402 | ANSI C |

- Key size and Signature size (Byte)

| MQ-Scheme | Signature | PK | SK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{HiMQ}-3\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 31,15,15,14\right)$ | 75 | 128,744 | 12,074 |
| $\operatorname{HiMQ}-3 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{8}}, 24,11,17,15\right)$ | 67 | 100,878 | 14,878 |
| $\operatorname{HiMQ} 3 \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{F}_{2^{8}}, 24,11,17,15\right)$ | 67 | 100,878 | 32 |



Table Performance, Key Sizes and Signature Sizes of Schemes at the Classical Security Levels.
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## Advantages and limitations

- Advantages of HiMQ-3
- High speed in signing and verifying

Attractive in a small device with limited computational resources
High speed after adapting countermeasure against side-channel attacks

- Small signature size (comparable to ECDSA-256)
- Small public key and secret key size compared to other MQ-signatures
- Need to reduce the public key size of HiMQ-3.

