Submitted by:	
•	Date:

Cmt #	Organization	Point of	Comment	Section, Annex,	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
		Contact		etc., and Page Nbr	,	Troposod shangs
	Valley		General,	, ,		
	Authority		E-			
1	TVA	TVAP*		D1, Abstract Title, p. iv	The heading reverses the words "standards" and "processing"	"Federal Information Processing Standards 201"
2		TVAP		D1, Abstract,		NIST needs to consider two changes. At a minimum,
				Section 9, p. v	The bifurcation of responsibilities between NIST and OMB for the	the following should be added to this section: "Agencies
					implementation of HSPD-12 could undermine cost effective	shall meet the requirements of PIV-I to the maximum
						extent practicable." Second, the first implementation
					establish the criteria that agencies are to apply, but OMB is tasked	
					with developing guidance for transitioning between PIV-I and PIV-	
					II. If the standard, including the issuance of new smart badges, has	
					to be fully implemented in the first phase, (PIV-I), it is unclear why	include identity proofing and registration and even
						completing this task by October of this year will be very
						difficult. This would allow specifications to be finalized
					the intention, there is a real risk that funds could be expended on	and better ensure that the badges and associated
						equipment acquired by agencies conform to the final
						specifications and any OMB transition guidance. It
						would also partially alleviate the serious budget
					this will require updating internal agency processes, completing the	problem. We think both of these changes would be fully
					proofing and identification of employees and contractors, including,	
					possibly National	
					possion, reduction	

Submitted by:		
,	Date:	

	Organization Tennessee Valley Authority	Point of Contact		Section, Annex, etc., and Page Nbr	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
Cont.					Checks (NACs), installing necessary card readers, and issuing the specified credentials (smart badges). Since detailed specifications have yet to be finalized, we question whether suppliers of compliant badges and associated systems will be able to meet the schedule. Even if suppliers purport to be able to do this, agencies will have insufficient time to evaluate the merits of competing proposals from suppliers, assuming there are multiple suppliers. There is also the serious budget problem. FIPS 201 mandates agencies complete significant activities this budget year, but budgets have already been set for this year and these activities have not been included. Even if OMB is able to supplement agency budgets to cover these activities that would not help TVA which receives no federal appropriations or other agencies who may be in the same situation.	
3		TVAP	G	D1, Section 1.3, p 2	Section 1.3. describes Sections 2 and 5 as "normative," meaning that compliance with both is required. Both contain overlapping requirements and substantively similar information, particularly regarding identity proofing and registration. However, Section 2 sets forth phase 1 requirements and Section 5 is part of phase 2 requirements. We assume that Section 5 is intended to be a more detailed discussion of the phase 1 processes, but this needs to be clarified. This overlap adds further emphasis to TVA's Comment No. 2 and suggests a need for better explanation of the relationship between and schedule for implementing PIV-I and PIV-II.	

Submitted by:	
•	Date:

Cmt #	Organization	Point of	Commont	Section, Annex,	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
Cilit #	Tennessee	Contact		etc., and Page Nbr	Comment (include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
	Valley	Contact	General,	elc., and hage Noi		
			,			
	Authority		E-			
4		TVAP	E/G		See TVA Comment No. 2. It will be virtually impossible to update	The following should be substituted for the last sentence
					processes, complete identity proofing and registration, issue new	on page 3, the cover page for PIV-I: "Implementation
					credentials and install associated equipment by the October 2005	Timeframe: In accordance with HSPD-12, agencies
					date. Our experience has been that NACs take a long time to	shall meet the requirements of this part no later than
					complete, and we understand there is a significant backlog on	October 2005, to the maximum extent practicable."
					requests now. NIST should limit PIV-I to identify proofing and	
					registration. Even completing this by the October date will be very	
					difficult if NACs are a mandatory requirement for identify proofing	
					and registration.	
5		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 2.2, p	Section 2.2 appears not to allow an individual more than one role	Allow an individual to hold more than one role or allow
				4.	in the identity proofing and registration process. There could be	agencies to define who fills roles.
					situations where this process is too restrictive.	
6		TVAP			Extending FIPS 201 requirements to all contractors is going to be	The standard format needs to provide some flexibility to
				•	very difficult and may actually undermine the objective of the	meet individual agency needs, particularly in identifying
					proposed standard, increasing agency security. Agencies employ	and extending its requirements to agency "contractors."
					a variety of different contractors on short- and long-term bases.	
					TVA, for example, employs large numbers of un-skilled and skilled	
					labor to assist in the maintenance and repair of its power plants	
					during limited outage periods. When generating units suddenly fail	
					(called a forced outage), labor must be hired and deployed very	
					quickly, within hours or a day or two at most. Applying the same	
					identity proofing and registration processes to these individuals is	
					simply not possible. It has been our experience that NACs by the	
					Office of Personnel Management literally take months to complete.	
					(For this reason, TVA has ceased doing NACs on most of its	
					employees and uses other methods for identity proofing.)	
					Moreover, because these individuals are employed on a temporary	
					basis, are not always directly employed by TVA itself (but rather by	
					companies	

Submitted by:	
•	Date:

	T _	1	T _	T	T	I
Cmt #				Section, Annex,	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
		Contact		etc., and Page Nbr		
	Valley		General,			
	Authority		E-			
Cont.					that contract with TVA to perform services), and they are often	
					transitory, moving from one plant and one location/area to another,	
					recovering credentials that may be issued to them (smart badges)	
					will be difficult. Some number of issued credentials will not be	
					recovered and security could actually be undermined as the	
					number of un-recovered badges grows. The standard carefully	
					and appropriately preserves to each agency decisions about who	
					should be granted access to facilities and systems. The standard	
					should likewise note that agencies also retain discretion as to who	
					to treat as a "contractor" for purposes of issuing credentials and	
					FIPS 201.	
7		TVAP	G	D1, Table 2-2, p 6	Minimum uniform criteria for identity proofing are an appropriate	TVA urges NIST to make NACs an optional requirement
					and necessary part of the proposed standard. However, the	or limit it to positions designated high or critical. At a
						minimum, NIST should ascertain how long NACs take
					and agencies should have as much flexibility as possible to	on the average and what OPM plans to do to address
						the influx of additional requests that could result from
					TVA finds especially problematic is the use of National Agency	FIPS 201 in a very short time period. The standard
					Checks for positions designated moderate, high, and critical.	should be written to accord agencies as much flexibility
					NACs take an inordinate amount of time and are not compatible	as possible to conform existing identity proofing
					with the more business-like activities of agencies such as TVA.	processes to minimum requirements established by the
					We largely have dispensed with NACs because of this. TVA urges	standard.
					NIST to make this an optional requirement or limit it to positions	
					designated high or, preferably, only critical. At a minimum, NIST	
					should ascertain how long NACs take on the average and what	
					OPM plans to do to address the influx of additional requests that	
					could result from FIPS 201 in a very short time period.	
				ĺ		

Submitted by:		
-	Date:	

Cmt # Organ Tenno Valley Autho	essee Co	ontact	Section, Annex, etc., and Page Nbr	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
8	T	VAP	p. 6	proofing, registration, and the issuance of credentials. This will be time consuming and potentially costly and needs to be reconsidered. TVA has a centralized background investigation staff at one location that covers employees and contractors in an 80,000 square mile area spanning parts of seven states. The number of face to face meetings needs to be reduced to the minimum possible number.	The standard should tailor the credential issuance process to the type of sensitivity level with fewer checks required for low and moderate positions. It should be sufficient for the Requesting Official to visually confirm that an applicant is the individual that appears on the required forms of identification. If those forms do not contain pictures, the Requesting Official should be tasked with photographing an applicant and the photo should accompany the documentation through the rest of the process. Ideally, the Issuing Authority should issue identity credentials to applicants by sending them directly to the Requesting Official (the person most likely to be at or near the location at which the an applicant will be employed) who would in turn issue the credentials to the applicant after verifying that the applicant matches the photograph or form of identification that has a photograph. If this is unacceptable to NIST, the requirement for a face to fact meeting with the Registration Authority at least should be eliminated.

Submitted by:	
•	Date:

Cmt #	Organization Tennessee Valley Authority	Point of Contact		Section, Annex, etc., and Page Nbr	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
9		TVAP		D1, Section 2.2.2, p. 7	This section appropriately suggests that current employees need not be fully reprocessed and basically will be "grandfathered" on the basis of previous agency background checks. TVA strongly supports this. It is commonsense recognition that agencies have already checked the backgrounds and proved the identities of their employees. Ignoring this and requiring all employees and contractors to be fully reprocessed under the new standard would be very burdensome and not possible to do by the October 2005 compliance date for phase 1. TVA, and presumably other agencies, also do background checks on some or all parts of their contractor workforces. The standard should also accept these background checks.	To clarify that previously checked employees need not be re-proved, the following underlined phrase should be added to this section:"most recent previous check satisfying previous agency requirements are on file." We also recommend that similar recognition be extended to existing background checks for contractors.
10		TVAP		D1, Section 2.2.3, p. 7	Federal Investigations Notice 01-10 acknowledges the delay that occurs with NAC checks and gives agencies the authority to grant interim clearances pending completion of certain elements of an SBI. SBIs are a very high level of background investigation and is used for granting Top Secret clearances. FIPS 201 should provide similar flexibility.	TVA recommends that the proposed standard allow agencies the option of issuing interim clearances pending completion of NACs or other minor elements that may inordinately delay the process. See TVA Comment No. 7.
11		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 2.3, p. 7	The specification that the Authorizing official photograph the applicant is unnecessarily restrictive. A photograph could be taken at any time in the process, but it would be more efficient overall if any required photograph is taken by Requesting Official so that it can accompany documentation through the rest of the process. Additionally, if the forms of identification provided by an applicant contain a photograph that is sufficiently recent and a copy of this identification is included with the documentation another photograph should not be required.	Delete "shall photograph the applicant at the time of issuance" and replace with "shall obtain an appropriate photograph of the Applicant if one does not already exist among applicant documentation." See TVA Comment No. 8.
12		TVAP	E	D1, 2.3, p 7.	This section duplicates information in section 5.2.2, pp. 42 and 43, but is not as complete.	See TVA Comment No. 3.

Submitted by: _	
	Date:

Cmt #	Organization	Point of	Comment	Section, Annex,	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
	Tennessee	Contact	Type (G-	etc., and Page Nbr	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Valley Authority		General, E-			
13		TVAP	G	D1, Part 2: PIV II,	See TVA Comment No. 3. Without an opportunity to review	NIST should ensure that full implementation of FIPS
				p. 9	OMB's guidance for PIV-II in concert with this proposed standard,	201 is aligned with OMB's guidance issuance schedule. At a minimum, limiting implementation of PIV-I to just identify proving and registration will reduce the risk of inconsistencies with OMB guidance.
14		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 4.1.3. g, p.18	In order to enable cardholders to display the card when in an agency facility, they only need to be able to use a cord, chain or clip to attach the card to clothing. To require the use of badge sleeves is an unnecessary expense. The size of the punched hole can be limited to be unobtrusive to the function of the card.	Delete existing 4.1.3.g, and replace with: The PIV card may be punched with a hole no larger than 5/8" by 1/8" [or similar measurement]. The PIV card shall not be otherwise physically altered in any way."
15		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 4.1.4, p. 19	The specification of font size, etc., is unnecessarily restrictive. The standard should focus on the kind of information that must be included on badges and not limit agency discretion to format the information in ways that best serve their individual needs.	The standard format needs to provide some flexibility to meet individual agency needs.
16		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 4.1.6.1, p. 24	l ' '	Replace first sentence in 4.1.6.1 with: For access to designated facilities of sensitivity levels 3 and above, PIV cards shall implement PIN-based cardholder activation.
17		TVAP	E	D1, Section 5.2.1, pp 40-41	Information in the section is duplicative of information in 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.4, pp. 5-7, including Tables 5-1, and 5-2.	See TVA Comment No. 5.
18		TVAP	Т	D1, Section 5.2.4, p. 46	The draft proposes to require new biometric and identity verification when a card expires or attributes change or, possibly, when it is renewed. To avoid unnecessary costs, this needs to be	Do not require new biometric and identity verification when a card expires, a replacement is needed, or attributes change unless the particular situation calls into question the continuing validity of these data.
19	TVA	IS**	E	4.1.4.4.f., p22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1) "shall be Arial Regular font", (2) The font used"

Submitted by:	
•	Date:

Cmt #	Organization	Point of	Comment	Section, Annex,	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Proposed change
	Tennessee	Contact	Type (G-	etc., and Page Nbr		
	Valley		General,			
	Authority		E-			
20	TVA	IS	Ē	5.2.1.2, p42	The word "expect" should be "except" in the text "for current employees expect that"	"for current employees except that"
21	TVA	IS	G	5.2.5, p47	The section on how to ensure the card is deactivated/retrieved when it is no longer valid should be strengthened to ensure that all agencies use the same process for this important function.	Strengthen and/or better define the process. See TVA Comment No. 6.
					Additional Comments on Special Publication 800-73	
22	TVA	IS	E	E.2, p77-78	This section (E.2 Acronyms) does not list all acronyms used in the	Define BSMB, CMS, CBEFF, DN, and all other
					document.	undefined acronyms used in the document.
23	TVA	IS	E	Page 13, 4th	Clarification	Delete the word "is"
				paragraph, 2nd sentence		
24	TVA	IS	E	Page 20, last paragraph	Clarification	Need to put the chapter number in instead of "xxx"
25	TVA	IS	E	Page 39, Purpose		Need to put a space between the words "selected" and "card"
26	TVA	IS	E	Page 56, 1st sentence	"Comprining" is not a word	Change the word "comprining"
27	TVA	IS	E	Page 67, 1st sentence	Clarification	Change "is used search"
28	TVA	IS	E	Page 92, 1st		Spell "response" correctly
				sentence		
-					* TVA Police - TVAP	
					** TVA Information Systems - IS	
					1 VA IIIIOIIII alioii Systeilis - 13	