From:Nigel Smart <nigel.paul.smart@gmail.com>Sent:Wednesday, January 17, 2018 12:33 PMTo:pqc-forum@list.nist.govCc:Nigel SmartSubject:[pqc-forum] LIMAAttachments:signature.asc

Hi

Here is an update from the LIMA team. For those who have not read our submission I give a brief summary. It is a Ring-LWE scheme which is very conservative and has/had three differing features.

- a) It has tight ROM based proofs of security (which other submissions also simultaneously came up with)
- b) It has options to use safe-prime ring degrees to avoid issues with power-of-two cyclotomics if people are worried about them.
- c) It uses rejection sampling on encryption to avoid decryption errors.

It is point c) that we want to address in this email.

Leixiao Cheng and Yunlei Zhao have pointed out to us a mistake in the analysis of point c, which seems hard to correct.

A simple fix is as follows

- i) Delete the function RandCheck from the scheme
- ii) Replace the rejection sampling on encryption with a "standard" analysis of decryption errors. Indeed in doing that we believe our parameters will become smaller (but a decryption error probability will come into the advantage statements).

So overall the "fix" will make our scheme faster, but will involve additional analysis, and more complex advantage statements.

If LIMA survives until the second round we will make these changes. But as changes are now "closed" for the first round we will leave as is.

We end this email by thanking Leixiao and Yunlei for their work in looking at the LIMA submission.

Yours

Nigel (On behalf of the LIMA team)

---

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "pqc-forum" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to pqc-forum+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov. Visit this group at https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/group/pqc-forum/.

From:	Nigel Smart <nigel.paul.smart@gmail.com></nigel.paul.smart@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, September 20, 2018 4:18 AM
То:	pqc-forum@list.nist.gov
Subject:	[pqc-forum] OFFICIAL COMMENT: Lima
Attachments:	signature.asc

Hi

The LIMA team have updated their specification to a v1.1 variant available here...

## https://lima-pq.github.io/

This removes the problem with the rejection sampling for encryption mentioned in an earlier comment. This has been replaced with a standard decryption error analysis.

The result of this is that parameter sizes for the power-of-two rings can be made significantly smaller. We have created new parameters, and the reference and optimized implementations now implement these.

Yours

Nigel

---

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "pqc-forum" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to pqc-forum+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov. Visit this group at https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/group/pqc-forum/.