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Abstract

This document specifies the data model and Extensible Markup Language (XML) representation for the Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) Version 1.1.3. An XCCDF document is a structured collection of security configuration rules for some set of target systems. The XCCDF specification is designed to support information interchange, document generation, organizational and situational tailoring, automated compliance testing, and compliance scoring. The specification also defines a data model and format for storing results of security guidance or checklist compliance testing. The intent of XCCDF is to provide a uniform foundation for expression of security checklists and other configuration guidance, and thereby foster more widespread application of good security practices.
Authority

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) developed this document in furtherance of its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002, Public Law 107-347.

NIST is responsible for developing standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements, for providing adequate information security for all agency operations and assets; but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130, Section 8b(3), “Securing Agency Information Systems,” as analyzed in A-130, Appendix IV: Analysis of Key Sections. Supplemental information is provided in A-130, Appendix III.

This guideline has been prepared for use by Federal agencies. It may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright, though attribution is desired.

Nothing in this document should be taken to contradict standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on Federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority, nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other Federal official.

Purpose and Scope

The Cyber Security Research and Development Act of 2002 tasks NIST to “develop, and revise as necessary, a checklist setting forth settings and option selections that minimize the security risks associated with each computer hardware or software system that is, or is likely to become widely used within the Federal Government.” Such checklists, when developed correctly, accompanied with automated tools, and leveraged with high-quality security expertise, vendor product knowledge, and operational experience, can markedly reduce the vulnerability exposure of an organization.


To promote the use, standardization, and sharing of effective security checklists, NIST and the National Security Agency (NSA) have collaborated with representatives of private industry to develop the XCCDF specification. The specification is vendor-neutral, flexible, and suited for a wide variety of checklist applications.
Audience

The primary audience of the XCCDF specification is government and industry security analysts, and industry security management product developers. NIST and NSA welcome feedback from these groups on improving the XCCDF specification.
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1. Introduction

The Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) was originally intended to be used for technical security checklists. Although this is still the primary use of XCCDF, XCCDF also has extensions into non-technical applications (e.g., owner’s manuals, user guides, non-technical Federal Information Security Management Act [FISMA] controls, and items considered “manual procedures”). Although these non-technical applications were unintended, they are most welcome. Non-technical applications are outside the scope of this specification document.

The security of an information technology (IT) system typically can be improved if the identified software flaws and configuration settings that affect security are properly addressed. The security of an IT system may be measured in a variety of ways; one operationally relevant method is determining conformance of the system configuration to a specified security baseline, guidance document, or checklist. These typically include criteria and rules for hardening a system against the most common forms of compromise and exploitation, and for reducing the exposed “attack surface” of a system. Many companies, government agencies, community groups, and product vendors generate and disseminate security guidance documents. While definition of the conditions under which a security setting should be applied can differ among the guidance documents, the underlying specification, test, and report formats used to identify and remediate said settings tend to be specialized and unique.

Configuring a system to conform to specified security guidance (e.g., NIST Special Publication [SP] 800-68, Guidance for Securing Microsoft Windows XP Systems for IT Professionals: A NIST Security Configuration Checklist, any of the Defense Information Systems Agency [DISA] Secure Technology Implementation Guides [STIG] and subsequent checklists) or other security specification is a highly technical task. To aid system administrators, commercial and community developers have created automated tools that can both determine a system’s conformance to a specified guideline and provide or implement remediation measures. Many of these tools are data-driven in that they accept a security guidance specification in some program-readable form (e.g., XML, .inf, csv), and use it to perform the checks and tests necessary to measure conformance, generate reports, and perhaps remediate as directed. However, with rare exceptions, none of these tools (commercial or government developed) employ the same data formats. This unfortunate situation perpetuates a massive duplication of effort among security guidance providers and provides a barrier for content and report interoperability.

This document describes a standard data model and processing discipline for supporting secure configuration and assessment. The requirements and goals are explained in the main content; however, in summary, this document addresses:

- Document generation
- Expression of policy-aware configuration rules
- Support for conditionally applicable, complex, and compound rules
- Support for compliance report generation and scoring
- Support for customization and tailoring.
The model and its XML representation are intended to be platform-independent and portable, to foster broad adoption and sharing of rules. The processing discipline of the format requires, for some uses, a service layer that can collect and store system information and perform simple policy-neutral tests against the system information; this is true for technical and non-technical applications of XCCDF. These conditions are described in detail below. The XML representation is expressed as an XML Schema in Appendix A.

1.1. Background

Today, groups promoting good security practices and system owners wishing to adopt them face an increasingly large and complex problem. As the number of IT systems increases, automated tools are necessary for uniform application of security rules and visibility into system status. These conditions have created a need for mechanisms that:

- Ensure compliance to multiple policies (e.g., IT Systems subject to FISMA, STIG, and/or Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act [HIPAA] compliance)
- Permit faster, more cooperative, and more automated definition of security rules, procedures, guidance documents, alerts, advisories, and remediation measures
- Permit fast, uniform, manageable administration of security checks and audits
- Permit composition of security rules and tests from different community groups and vendors
- Permit scoring, reporting, and tracking of security status and checklist conformance, both over distributed systems and over the same systems across their operational lifetimes
- Foster development of interoperable community and commercial tools for creating and employing security guidance and checklist data.

Today, such mechanisms exist only in some isolated niche areas (e.g., Microsoft Windows patch validation) and they support only narrow slices of security guidance compliance functionality. For example, patch-checking and secure configuration guidance often are not addressed at the same level of detail (or at all) in a single guidance document; however, both are required to secure a system against known attacks. This specification document proposes a data model and format specification for an extensible, interoperable checklist language that is capable of including both technical and non-technical requirements in the same XML document.

1.2. Vision for Use

XCCDF is designed to enable easier, more uniform creation of security checklists and procedural documents, and allow them to be used with a variety of commercial, Government off-the-shelf (GOTS), and open source tools. The motivation for this is improvement of security for IT systems, including the Internet, by better application of known security practices and configuration settings.

One potential use for XCCDF is streamlining compliance to FISMA and Department of Defense (DOD) STIGs. Federal agencies, state and local governments, and the private sector have difficulty measuring the security of their IT systems. They also struggle to both implement technical policy (e.g., DISA STIGs, NIST SPs) and then to demonstrate unambiguously to various audiences (e.g., Inspector General, auditor) that they have complied and ultimately
improved the security of their systems. This difficulty arises from various causes, such as different interpretations of policy, system complexity, and human error. XCCDF proposes to automate certain technical aspects of security by converting English text contained in various publications (e.g., configuration guides, checklists, the National Vulnerability Database [NVD]) into a machine-readable XML format such that the various audiences (e.g., scanning vendors, checklist/configuration guide, auditors) will be operating in the same semantic context. The end result will allow organizations to use commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) tools to automatically check their security and map to technical compliance requirements.

The scenarios below illustrate some uses of security checklists and tools that XCCDF will foster.

Scenario 1 –
An academic group produces a checklist for secure configuration of a particular server operating system version. A government organization issues a set of rules extending the academic checklist to meet more stringent user authorization criteria imposed by statute. A medical enterprise downloads both the academic checklist and the government extension, tailors the combination to fit their internal security policy, and applies an enterprise-wide audit using a commercial security audit tool. Reports output by the tool include remediation measures which the medical enterprise IT staff can use to bring their systems into full internal policy compliance.

Scenario 2 –
A federally-funded lab issues a security advisory about a new Internet worm. In addition to a prose description of the worm’s attack vector, the advisory includes a set of short checklists in a standard format that assess vulnerability to the worm for various operating system platforms. Organizations all over the world pick up the advisory, and use installed tools that support the standard format to check their status and fix vulnerable systems.

Scenario 3 –
An industry consortium, in conjunction with a product vendor, wants to produce a security checklist for a popular commercial server. The core security settings are the same for all OS platforms on which the server runs, but a few settings are OS-specific. The consortium crafts one checklist in a standard format for the core settings, and then writes several OS-specific ones that incorporate the core settings by reference. Users download the core checklist and the OS-specific extensions that apply to their installations, then run a checking tool to score their compliance with the checklist.

1.3. Summary of Changes since Version 1.0
XCCDF 1.0 received some review and critique after its release in January 2005. Most of the additions and changes in 1.1 come directly from suggestions by users and potential users. The list below describes the major changes; other differences are noted in the text.

- Persistent/standard identifiers - To foster standardization and re-use of XCCDF rules, community members suggested that Rule objects bear long-term, globally unique identifiers. Support for identifiers, along with the scheme or organization that assigns them, is now part of the Rule object.
• Versioning - To foster re-use of XCCDF rules, and to allow more precise tracking of Benchmark results over time, Benchmarks, Rules, and Profiles all support a version number. The version number also now supports a timestamp.

• Severity - Rules can now support a severity level: info, low, medium, and high. Severity levels can be adjusted via Profiles.

• Signatures – To foster sharing of XCCDF rules and groups of rules, each object that can be a standalone XCCDF document can have an XML digital signature: Benchmark, Group, Rule, Value, Profile, and TestResult. This allows any shared XCCDF object to have integrity and authenticity assurance.

• Rule result enhancements – Recording Benchmark results has been improved in version 1.1: the ‘override’ property was added for rule-results in a TestResult object, several new Rule result status values have been added, and better instance detail support was added to rule-results for multiply-instantiated Rules. Also, the descriptions of the different Rule result status values and their role in scores have been clarified.

• Enhancements for remediation - Several minor enhancements were made to the Rule’s properties for automated and interactive remediation (the Rule object's ‘fix’ and ‘fixtext’ elements).

• Interactive Value tailoring – To foster interactive tailoring by tools that can support it, the ‘interactive’ property was added to Value objects. It gives a Benchmark checking tool a hint that it should solicit a new value prior to each application of the Benchmark. Also, the ‘interfaceHint’ property was added, to allow a Benchmark author to suggest a UI model to the tool.

• Scoring models – XCCDF 1.0 had only a single scoring model. 1.1 supports the notion of multiple scoring models, and two new models have been added to the specification. To support custom scoring models, the model and param properties have been added to the TestResult’s score element.

• Re-usable plain text blocks – To foster re-use of common text with a Benchmark document, version 1.1 now supports definition of named, re-usable text blocks.

• Richer XHTML references – Formatted text within XCCDF Benchmarks can now use XHTML object and anchor tags to reference other XCCDF objects within a generated document.

• Target facts – It is important for a Benchmark test result to include extensive information from the system that was tested. To support this, the TestResult object now supports a list of target facts. Tools can use this list to store any relevant information they collect about the target platform or system.

• Complex checks – The Rule object now supports a mechanism for using Boolean operators to compose complex checks from multiple individual checks.
• Extension control – To give Benchmark authors more control over XCCDF inheritance, 1.1 supports the ‘override’ attribute on most property element children that can appear more than once in a Rule, Group, Value, or Profile.

• Value acquisition support – The new ‘source’ property on the Value object allows a Benchmark author to suggest one or more possible ways to obtain correct or candidate values. The suggestions must be given as URIs.

• Profile notes – To support better descriptions for Profiles, 1.1 supports a descriptive note facility for relating Rules and Profiles.

• CPE – Applicability of XCCDF Rules and other objects to specific IT platforms may be specified using Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) identifiers.

• Alternate check content – In 1.1.3, the semantics of checks permit multiple (alternative) references to checking engine content.

• Multiple alternative requirements – In 1.1.3, the requires property of Items has been extended to allow specification of several alternative Items, any one of which satisfies the requirement.
2. Requirements

The general objective for XCCDF is to allow security analysts and IT experts to create effective and interoperable automated checklists, and to support the use of automated checklists with a wide variety of tools. Figure 1 shows some potential utilization scenarios.

The following list describes some requirements for several of the use cases depicted in Figure 1:

1. Security and domain experts create a security guidance document or checklist, which is an organized collection of rules about a particular kind of system or platform. To support this use, XCCDF must be an open, standardized format, amenable to generation by and editing with a variety of tools. It must be expressive enough to represent complex conditions and relationships about the systems to be assessed, and it must also incorporate descriptive material and remediative measures. (XCCDF Benchmarks may include specification of the hardware and/or software platforms to which they apply; however, it is recommended that programmatically ascertainable information should be relegated to the lower-level identification and remediation languages. For specifying programmatically ascertainable information in the XCCDF file, the specification should...
2. Auditors and system administrators may employ tailoring tools to customize a security guidance document or checklist for their local environment or policies. For example, although NIST produces the technical security guidance for Windows XP Professional in the form of Special Publication 800-68, certain Federal agencies may have trouble applying all settings without exception. For those settings which hinder functionality (perhaps with Legacy systems, or in a hybrid Windows 2000/2003 domain), the agency may wish to tailor the XML received from the NIST Web site. For this reason, an XCCDF document must include the structure and interrogative text required to direct the user through the tailoring of a Benchmark, and it must be able to hold or incorporate the user’s tailoring responses. For example, a checklist user might want to set the password policy requirement to be more or less stringent than the provided security recommendations. XCCDF should be extensible to allow for the custom tailoring and inclusion of the explanatory text for deviation from recommended policy.

3. Although the goal of XCCDF is to distill English (or other language) prose checklists into machine-readable XML, XCCDF should be structured to foster the generation of readable prose documents from XCCDF-format documents.

4. The structure of an XCCDF document should support transformation into HTML, for posting the security guidance as a Web page.

5. An XCCDF document should be transformable into other XML formats, to promote portability and interoperability.

6. The primary use case for an XCCDF-formatted security guidance document is to facilitate the normalization of configuration content through automated security tools. Such tools should accept one or more XCCDF documents along with supporting system test definitions, and determine whether or not the specified rules are satisfied by a target system. The XCCDF document should support generation of a compliance report, including a weighted compliance score.

7. In addition to a report, some tools may utilize XCCDF-formatted content (and associated content from other tools) to bring a system into compliance through the remediation of identified vulnerabilities or misconfigurations. XCCDF must be able to encapsulate the remediation scripts or texts, including several alternatives.

8. XCCDF documents might also be used in vulnerability scanners, to test whether or not a target system is vulnerable to a particular kind of attack. For this purpose, the XCCDF document would play the role of a vulnerability alert, but with the ability to both describe the problem and drive the automated verification of its presence.

In addition to these use cases, an XCCDF document should be amenable to embedding inside other documents. Likewise, XCCDF’s extensibility should include provisions for incorporating other data formats. And finally, XCCDF must be extensible to include new functionality, features, and data stores without hindering the functionality of existing XCCDF-capable tools.
2.1. Structure and Tailoring Requirements

The basic unit of structure for a security guidance document or checklist is a rule. A rule simply describes a state or condition which the target of the document should exhibit. A simple document might consist of a simple list of rules, but richer ones require additional structure.

To support customization of the standardized XML format and subsequent generation of documents by and for consumers, XCCDF must allow authors to impose organization within the document. One such basic requirement is that authors will need to put related rules into named groups.

An author must be able to designate the order in which rules or groups are processed. In the simplest case, rules and groups are processed in sequence according to their location in the XCCDF document.

To facilitate customization, the author should include descriptive and interrogative text to help a user make tailoring decisions. The following two customization options are available:

*Selectability* – A tailoring action might select or deselect a rule or group of rules for inclusion or exclusion from the security guidance document. For example, an entire group of rules that relate to physical security might not apply if one were conducting a network scan. In this case, the group of rules delineated under the physical security group could be deselected. In the case of NIST SP 800-53, certain rules apply according to the Impact Rating of the system. For this purpose, systems that have an Impact Rating of *Low* might not have all of the same access control requirements as a system with a *High* Impact Rating, and therefore the those rules that are not applicable for the *Low* system can be deselected.

*Substitution* – A tailoring action might substitute a locally-significant value for a general value in a rule. For example, at a site where all logs are sent to a designated logging host, the address of that log server might be substituted into a rule about audit configuration. Using the NIST SP 800-53 example, a system with an Impact Rating of *High* might require a 12-character password, whereas a system with an Impact Rating of *Moderate* might only require an 8-character password. Depending on the Impact Rating of the target system, the user can customize or tailor the value through substitution.

When customizing security guidance documents, the possibility arises that some rules within the same document might conflict or be mutually exclusive. To avert potential problems, the author of a security guidance document must be able to identify particular tailoring choices as incompatible, so that tailoring tools can take appropriate actions.

In addition to specifying rules, XCCDF must support structures that foster use and re-use of rules. To this end, XCCDF must provide a means for related rules to be grouped and for sets of rules and groups to be designated, named, and easily applied. Examples of this requirement are demonstrated by DISA’s Gold and Platinum distinction with respect to STIG compliance (Gold being the less stringent of the two levels). NIST also provides distinctions according to environment and Impact Rating (High, Moderate, or Low) [12]. Likewise, the Center for Internet Security (CIS) designates multiple numeric levels for their checklists (e.g., Level 1, Level 2).

To facilitate XCCDF adoption for the aforementioned requirements, XCCDF provides two basic processing modes: rule checking and document generation. It must be possible for a security
guidance or checklist author to designate the modes (e.g., Gold, Platinum, High Impact Rating, Level 1) under which a rule should be processed.

2.2. Inheritance and Inclusion Requirements

Some use cases require XCCDF to support mechanisms for authors to extend (inherit from) existing rules and rule groups, in addition to expressing rules and groups in their entirety. For example, it must be possible for one XCCDF document to include all or part of another as demonstrated in the following scenarios:

- An organization might choose to define a foundational XCCDF document for a family of platforms (e.g., Unix-like operating systems) and then extend it for specific members of the family (e.g., Solaris) or for specific roles (e.g., mail server).
- An analyst might choose to make an extended version of an XCCDF document by adding new rules and adjusting others.
- If the sets of rules that constitute an XCCDF document come from several sources, it is useful to aggregate them using an inclusion mechanism. (Note: The XCCDF specification does not define its own mechanisms for inclusion; instead, implementations of XCCDF tools should support the XML Inclusion (XInclude) facility standardized by the World Wide Web Consortium [W3C] [10].)
- Within an XCCDF document, it is desirable to share descriptive material among several rules, and to allow a specialized rule to be created by extending a base rule.
- For updating an XCCDF document, it is convenient to incorporate changes or additions using extensions.
- To allow broader site-specific or enterprise-specific customization, a user might wish to override or amend any portion of an XCCDF rule.

2.3. Document and Report Formatting Requirements

Generating English (or other language) prose documents from the underlying XCCDF constitutes a primary use case. Authors require mechanisms for formatting text, including images, and referencing other information resources. These mechanisms must be separable from the text itself, so each can be filtered out by applications that do not support or require them. (XCCDF 1.1 currently satisfies these formatting requirements mainly by allowing inclusion of Extensible Hypertext Markup Language [XHTML] markup tags [4].)

The XCCDF language must also allow for the inclusion of content that does not contribute directly to the technical content. For example, authors tend to include ‘front matter’ such as an introduction, a rationale, warnings, and references. XCCDF allows for the inclusion of intra-document and external references and links.

2.4. Rule Checking Requirements

One of XCCDF’s main features is the organization and selection of target-applicable groups and rules for performing security and operational checks on systems. Therefore, XCCDF must have access to granular and expressive mechanisms for checking the state of a system according to the rule criteria. The model for this requirement includes the notion of collecting or acquiring the state of a target system, and then checking the state for conformance to conditions and criteria.
expressed as rules. The operations used have varied with different existing applications; some rule checking systems use a database query operation model, others use a pattern-matching model, and still others load and store state in memory during execution. Rule checking mechanisms used for XCCDF must satisfy the following criteria:

- **The mechanism must be able to express both positive and negative criteria.** A positive criterion means that if certain conditions are met, then the system satisfies the check, while a negative criterion means that if the conditions are met, the system fails the check. Experience has shown that both kinds are necessary when crafting criteria for checks.

- **The mechanism must be able to express Boolean combinations of criteria.** It is often impossible to express a high-level security property as a single quantitative or qualitative statement about a system’s state. Therefore, the ability to combine statements with ‘and’ and ‘or’ is critical.

- **The mechanism must be able to incorporate tailoring values set by the user.** As described above, substitution is important for XCCDF document tailoring. Any XCCDF checking mechanism must support substitution of tailored values into its criteria or statements as well as tailoring of the selected set of rules.

A single rule specification scheme (e.g., Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language [OVAL] [15]) may not satisfy all potential uses of XCCDF. To facilitate other lower-level rule checking systems, XCCDF supports referencing by including the appropriate file and check reference in the XCCDF document. It is important that the rule checking system be defined separately from XCCDF itself, so that both XCCDF and the rule checking system can evolve and be used independently. This duality implies the need for a clear interface definition between XCCDF and the rule checking system, including the specification of how information should pass from XCCDF to the checking system and vice versa.

### 2.5. Test Results Requirements

Another objective of XCCDF is to facilitate a standardized reporting format for automated tools. In the case of many Federal agencies, several COTS and GOTS products are used to determine the security of IT systems and their compliance to various stated polices. Unfortunately, the outputs from these tools are not standardized and therefore costly customization can be required for trending, aggregation, and reporting. Addressing this use case, XCCDF provides a means for storing the results of the rule checking subsystem.

Security tools sometimes include only the results of the test or tests in the form of a pass/fail status. Other tools provide additional information so that the user does not have to access the system to determine additional information (e.g., instead of simply indicating that more than one privileged account exists on a system, certain tools also provide the list of privileged accounts). Independent of the robust or minimal reporting of the checking subsystem, the following information is basic to all results:

- The security guidance document or checklist used, along with any adaptations via customization or tailoring applied

- Information about the target system to which the test was applied, including arbitrary identification and configuration information about the target system
• The time interval of the test, and the time instant at which each individual rule was evaluated
• One or more compliance scores
• References to lower-level details possibly stored in other output files.

2.6. Metadata and Security Requirements

As the recognized need for security increases, so does the number of recommended security configuration guidance documents. The DISA STIGs and accompanying checklist documents have been available under http://iase.disa.mil/stigs/checklist for many years. Likewise, NIST’s interactions with vendors and agencies have yielded checklist content provided at http://checklists.nist.gov/. NSA also maintain a web site for security guidance, at http://www.nsa.gov/snac/, and CIS provides checklist content at http://cisecurity.org/. Likewise, product vendors such as Microsoft Corporation, Sun Microsystems, and Hewlett-Packard (to name a few) are providing their own security guidance documents independent of traditional user guides.

As of mid-2006, the majority of these checklists exist in various repositories in English prose format; however, there is a recognized need and subsequent migration effort to represent said checklists in standardized XML format. To facilitate discovery and retrieval of security guidance documents in repositories and on the open Internet, XCCDF must support inclusion of metadata about a document. Some of the metadata that must be supported include: title, name of author(s), organization providing the guidance, version number, release date, update URL, and a description. Since a number of metadata standards already exist, it is preferable that XCCDF simply incorporate one or more of them rather than defining its own metadata model.

In addition to specifying rules to which a target system should comply, an XCCDF document must support mechanisms for describing the steps to bring the target into compliance. While checking compliance to a given security baseline document is common, remediation of an IT system to the recommended security baseline document should be a carefully planned and implemented process. Security guidance users should be able to trust security guidance documents, especially if they intend to accept remediation advice from them. Therefore, XCCDF must support a mechanism whereby guidance users can validate the integrity, origin, and authenticity of guidance documents.

Digital signatures are the natural mechanism to satisfy these integrity and proof-of-origin requirements. Fortunately, mature standards for digital signatures already exist that are suitable for asserting the authorship and protecting the integrity of guidance documents. XCCDF must provide a means to hold such signatures, and a uniform method for applying and validating them.
3. Data Model

The fundamental data model for XCCDF consists of four main object data types:

1. **Benchmark.** An XCCDF document holds exactly one Benchmark object. A Benchmark holds descriptive text, and acts as a container for Items and other objects.

2. **Item.** An Item is a named constituent of a Benchmark; it has properties for descriptive text, and can be referenced by an id. There are several derived classes of Items:
   - **Group.** This kind of Item can hold other Items. A Group may be selected or unselected. (If a Group is unselected, then all of the Items it contains are implicitly unselected.)
   - **Rule.** This kind of Item holds check references, a scoring weight, and may also hold remediation information. A Rule may be selected or unselected.
   - **Value.** This kind of Item is a named data value that can be substituted into other Items’ properties or into checks. It can have an associated data type and operator that expresses how the value should be used and how it can be tailored.

3. **Profile.** A Profile is a collection of attributed references to Rule, Group, and Value objects. It supports the requirement to allow definition of named levels or baselines in a Benchmark (see Section 2.1).

4. **TestResult.** A TestResult object holds the results of performing a compliance test against a single target device or system.

Figure 2 shows the data model relationships as a Unified Modeling Language (UML) diagram. As shown in the figure, one Benchmark can hold many Items, but each Item belongs to exactly one Benchmark. Similarly, a Group can hold many Items, but an Item may belong to only one Group. Thus, the Items in an XCCDF document form a tree, where the root node is the Benchmark, interior nodes are Groups, and the leaves are Values and Rules.

![Figure 2 – XCCDF High-Level Data Model](image-url)
A Profile object references Rule, Value, and Group objects. A TestResult object references Rule objects and may also reference a Profile object.

The definition of a Value, Rule, or Group can extend another Value, Rule, or Group. The extending Item inherits property values from the extended Item. This extension mechanism is separate and independent of grouping.

Group and Rule items can be marked by a Benchmark author as selected or unselected. A Group or Rule that is not selected does not undergo processing. The author may also stipulate, for a Group, Rule, or Value, whether or not the end user is permitted to tailor it.

Rule items may have a scoring weight associated with them, which can be used by a Benchmark checking tool to compute a target system’s overall compliance score. Rule items may also hold remediation information.

Value items include information about current, default, and permissible values for the Value. Each of these properties of a Value can have an associated selector id, which is used when customizing the Value as part of a Profile. For example, a Value might be used to hold a Benchmark’s lower limit for password length on some operating system. In a Profile for that operating system to be used in a closed lab, the default value might be 8, but in a Profile for that operating system to be used on the Internet, the default value might be 12.

### 3.1. Benchmark Structure

Typically, a Benchmark would hold one or more Groups, and each group would hold some Rules, Values, and additional child Groups. Figure 3 illustrates this relationship, and the order in which the contents of a Benchmark must appear.

![Figure 3 – Typical Structure of a Benchmark](image)

Groups allow a Benchmark author to collect related Rules and Values into a common structure and provide descriptive text and references about them. Further, groups allow Benchmark users to select and deselect related Rules together, helping to ensure commonality among users of the same Benchmark. Lastly, groups affect Benchmark compliance scoring. As Section 3.3 explains, an XCCDF compliance score is calculated for each group, based on the Rules and Groups in it. The overall XCCDF score for the Benchmark is computed only from the scores on
the immediate Group and Rule children of the Benchmark object. In the tiny Benchmark shown in Figure 3, the Benchmark score would be computed from the scores of Group (d) and Group (j). The score for Group (j) would be computed from Rule (l) and Rule (m).

**Inheritance**

The possible inheritance relations between Item object instances are constrained by the tree structure of the Benchmark, but are otherwise independent of it. In other words, all extension relationships must be resolved before the Benchmark can be used for compliance testing. An Item may only extend another Item of the same type that is ‘visible’ from its scope. In other words, an Item Y can extend a base Item X, as long as they are the same type, and one of the following visibility conditions holds:

1. X is a direct child of the Benchmark.
2. X is a direct child of a Group which is also an ancestor of Y.
3. X is a direct child of a Group which is extended by any ancestor of Y.

For example, in the tiny Benchmark structure shown in Figure 3, it would be legal for Rule (g) to extend Rule (f) or extend Rule (h). It would not be legal for Rule (i) to extend Rule (m), because (m) is not visible from the scope of (i). It would not be legal for Rule (l) to extend Group (g), because they are not of the same type.

The ability for a Rule or Group to be extended by another gives Benchmark authors the ability to create variations or specialized versions of Items without making copies.

### 3.2. Object Content Details

The tables below show the properties that make up each data type in the XCCDF data model. Note that the properties that comprise a Benchmark or Item are an ordered sequence of property values, and the order in which they appear determines the order in which they are processed. Properties with a data type of “text” are string data that can include embedded formatting directives and hypertext links. Properties of type “string” may not include formatting. Properties of type “identifier” must be strings without spaces or formatting, obeying the definition of “NCName” from the XML Schema specification [2].

Note that, in this table, and in the similar tables throughout the section, a minimum value of 0 in the Count column indicates that the property is optional, and a minimum value of 1 or greater indicates that the property is mandatory.

**Benchmark**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Benchmark identifier, mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Title of the XCCDF Benchmark document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Text that describes the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>string+date +URI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Version number of the Benchmark, with the date and time when the version was completed and an optional update URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>string+date</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>Status of the Benchmark (see below) and date at which it attained that status (at least one status property must appear; if several appear, then the one with the latest date applies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolved</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>True if Benchmark has already undergone the resolution process (see Section 3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notice</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Legal notices or copyright statements about this Benchmark; each notice has a unique identifier and text value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>front-matter</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Text for the front of the Benchmark document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rear-matter</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Text for the back of the Benchmark document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A bibliographic reference for the Benchmark document: metadata or a simple string, plus an optional URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpe-list</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>A list of platform descriptions, in Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) format [16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Target platforms for this Benchmark, each a URI referring to a platform listed in the community CPE dictionary or in the cpe-list property of this Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plain-text</td>
<td>string+identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Reusable text blocks, each with a unique identifier; these can be included in other text blocks in the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>model</td>
<td>URI+parameters</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Suggested scoring model or models to be used when computing a compliance score for this Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>profiles</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Profiles that reference and customize sets of Items in the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Tailoring values that support Rules and descriptions in the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>groups</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Groups that comprise the Benchmark; each group may contain additional Values, Groups, and Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rules</td>
<td>Rule</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Rules that comprise the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>test-results</td>
<td>TestResult</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Benchmark test result records (one per Benchmark run)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metadata</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Discovery metadata for the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signature</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>A digital signature asserting authorship and allowing verification of the integrity of the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conceptually, a Benchmark contains Group, Rule, and Value objects, and it may also contain Profile and TestResult objects. For ease of reading and simplicity of scoping, all Value objects must precede all Groups and Rules, which must precede all Profiles, which must precede all TestResults. These objects may be directly embedded in the Benchmark, or incorporated via W3C standard XML Inclusion [10].

Each status property consists of a status string and a date. Permissible string values are “accepted”, “draft”, “interim”, “incomplete”, and “deprecated”. Benchmark authors should mark their Benchmarks with a status to indicate a level of maturity or consensus. A Benchmark may contain one or more status properties, each holding a different status value and the data on which the Benchmark reached that status.

The cpe-list property contains a listing of one or more platform names, with additional descriptive data, expressed in Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) format [16]. In CPE, a specific platform is identified by a unique URI. Each Rule, Group, Profile, Value, and the Benchmark itself may possess platform properties, each containing a CPE URI, that indicate the hardware/software platform to which the object applies. The Benchmark cpe-list property and platform properties are optional. Benchmark authors should use them to identify the systems or products to which their Benchmarks apply.

Note that CPE URIs may be used in a Benchmark or other objects without defining them explicitly. CPE URIs that are described in the community dictionary should be used without re-definition. Authors can use the Benchmark cpe-list property to describe specific CPE URIs used in the Benchmark in more detail.

The plain-text properties, new in XCCDF 1.1, allow commonly used text to be defined once and then re-used in multiple text blocks in the Benchmark. Note that each plain-text must have a unique id, and that the ids of other Items and plain-text properties must not collide. This restriction is imposed to permit easier implementation of document generation and reporting tools.

Benchmark metadata allows authorship, publisher, support, and other information to be embedded in a Benchmark. Metadata should comply with existing commercial or government metadata specifications, to allow Benchmarks to be discovered and indexed. The XCCDF data model allows multiple metadata properties for a Benchmark; each property should provide metadata compliant with a different specification. The primary metadata format, which should appear in all published Benchmarks, is the simple Dublin Core Elements specification, as documented in [13].

Note that a digital signature, if any, applies only to the Object in which it appears, but after inclusion processing (note: it may be impractical to use inclusion and signatures together). Any digital signature format employed for XCCDF Benchmarks must be capable of identifying the signer, storing all information needed to verify the signature (usually, a certificate or certificate chain), and detecting any change to the content of the Benchmark. XCCDF tools that support signatures at all must support the W3C XML-Signature standard enveloped signatures [9].

Legal notice text is handled specially, as discussed in Section 3.3.

**Item (base)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unique object identifier, mandatory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Property Type Count Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Title of the Item (for human readers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Text that describes the Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warning</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A cautionary note or caveat about the Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>string+date</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Status of the Item and date at which it attained that status, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>string+date +URI</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Version number of the Benchmark, with the date and time when the version was completed and an optional update URI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>question</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Interrogative text to present to the user during tailoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hidden</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>If this Item should be excluded from any generated documents (default: false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prohibitChanges</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>If tools should prohibit changes to this Item during tailoring (default: false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abstract</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>If true, then this Item is abstract and exists only to be extended (default: false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cluster-id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>An identifier to be used from a Profile to refer to multiple Groups and Rules, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A reference to a document or resource where the user can learn more about the subject of this Item: content is Dublin Core metadata or a simple string, plus an optional URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signature</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Digital signature over this Item, optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Every Item may include one or more status properties. Each status property value represents a status that the Item has reached and the date at which it reached that status. Benchmark authors can use status elements to record the maturity or consensus level for Rules, Groups, and Values in the Benchmark. If an Item does not have an explicit status property value given, then its status is taken to be that of the Benchmark itself. The status property is not inherited.

There are several Item properties that give the Benchmark author control over how Items may be tailored and presented in documents. The ‘hidden’ property simply prevents an Item from appearing in generated documents. For example, an author might set the hidden property on incomplete Items in a draft Benchmark. The ‘prohibitChanges’ property advises tailoring tools that the Benchmark author does not wish to allow end users to change anything about the Item. Lastly, a value of true for the ‘abstract’ property denotes an Item intended only for other Items to extend. In most cases, abstract Items should also be hidden.

The ‘cluster-id’ property is optional, but it provides a means to identify related Value, Group and Rule items throughout the Benchmark. Cluster identifiers need not be unique: all the Items with the same cluster identifier belong to the same cluster. A selector in a Profile can refer to a cluster, thus making it easier for authors to create and maintain Profiles in a complex Benchmark. The cluster-id property is not inherited.
## Group :: Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>requires</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>The id of another Group or Rule in the Benchmark that must be selected for this Group to be applied and scored properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conflicts</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>The id of another Group or Rule in the Benchmark that must be unselected for this Group to be applied and scored properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>selected</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>If true, this Group is selected to be processed as part of the Benchmark when it is applied to a target system; an unselected Group is not processed, and none of its contents are processed either (i.e., all children of an unselected group are implicitly unselected). Default is true. Can be overridden by a Profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rationale</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Descriptive text giving rationale or motivations for abiding by this Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A platform to which this Group applies, a CPE platform URI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cluster-id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>An identifier to be used from Benchmark profiles to refer to multiple Groups and Rules, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extends</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>An id of a Group on which to base this Group, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>float</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The relative scoring weight of this Group, for computing a compliance score; can be overridden by a Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Values that belong to this Group, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>groups</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Sub-groups under this Group, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rules</td>
<td>Rule</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Rules that belong to this Group, optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Group can be based on (extend) another Group. The semantics of inheritance work differently for different properties, depending on their allowed count. For Items that belong to a Group, the extending Group includes all the Items of the extended Group, plus any defined inside the extending Group. For any property that is allowed to appear more than once, the extending Group gets the sequence of property values from the extended group, plus any of its own values for that property. For any property that is allowed to appear at most once, the extending Group gets its own value for the property if one appears, otherwise it gets the extended Group’s value of that property. Items that belong to an extended group are treated specially: the id property of any Item copied as part of an extended group must be replaced with a new, uniquely generated id. A Group for which the abstract property is true exists only to be extended by other Groups; it
should never appear in a generated document, and none of the Rules defined in it should be checked in a compliance test. Abstract Group objects are removed during resolution; for more information, see Section 3.3.

To give the Benchmark author more control over inheritance for extending Groups (and other XCCDF objects), all textual properties that may appear more than once can bear an override attribute. For more information about inheritance overrides and extension, see Section 3.3.

The requires and conflicts properties provide a means for Benchmark authors to express dependencies among Rules and Groups. Their exact meaning depends on what sort of processing the Benchmark is undergoing, but in general the following approach should be applied: if a Rule or Group is about to be processed, and any of the Rules or Groups identified in a requires property have a selected property value of false or any of the Items identified in a conflicts property have a selected property value of true, then processing for the Item should be skipped and its selected property should be set to false.

The platform property of a Group indicates that the Group contains platform-specific Items that apply to some set of (usually related) platforms. First, if a Group does not possess any platform properties, then it applies to the same set of platforms as its enclosing Group or the Benchmark. Second, for tools that perform compliance checking on a platform, any Group whose set of platform property values do not include the platform on which the compliance check is being performed should be treated as if their selected property were set to false. Third, the platforms to which a Group apply should be a subset of the platforms applicable for the enclosing Benchmark. Last, if no platform properties appear anywhere on a Group or its enclosing Group or Benchmark, then the Group nominally applies to all platforms.

The weight property denotes the importance of a Group relative to its sibling in the same Group or its siblings in the Benchmark (for a Rule that is a child of the Benchmark). Scoring is computed independently for each collection of sibling Groups and Rules, then normalized as part of the overall scoring process. For more information about scoring, see Section 3.3.

**Rule :: Item**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>selected</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>If true, this Rule is selected to be checked as part of the Benchmark when the Benchmark is applied to a target system; an unselected rule is not checked and does not contribute to scoring. Default is true. Can be overridden by a Profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extends</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The id of a Rule on which to base this Rule (must match the id of another Rule)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Whether this rule should be multiply instantiated. If false, then Benchmark tools should avoid multiply instantiating this Rule. Default is false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>role</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Rule’s role in scoring and reporting; one of the following: “full”, “unscored”, “unchecked”. Default is “full”. Can be overridden by a Profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>severity</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Severity level code, to be used for metrics and tracking. One of the following: “unknown”, “info”, “low”, “medium”, “high”. Default is “unknown”. Can be overridden by a Profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>float</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The relative scoring weight of this Rule, for computing a compliance score. Default is 1.0. Can be overridden by a Profile.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rationale</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Some descriptive text giving rationale or motivations for complying with this Rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Platforms to which this Rule applies; a set of CPE URIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>requires</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>The id of another Group or Rule in the Benchmark that should be selected for this Rule to be applied and scored properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conflicts</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>The id of another Group or Rule in the Benchmark that should be unselected for this Rule to be applied and scored properly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ident</td>
<td>string+URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A long-term, globally meaningful name for this Rule. May be the name or identifier of a security configuration issue or vulnerability that the Rule remediates. Has an associated URI that denotes the organization or naming scheme which assigns the name.(see below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>profile-note</td>
<td>text + identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Descriptive text related to a particular Profile. This property allows a Benchmark author to describe special aspects of the Rule related to one or more Profiles. It has an id that can be specified as the ‘note-tag’ property of a Profile (see the Profile description, below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fixtext</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Prose that describes how to fix the problem of non-compliance with this Rule; each fixtext property may be associated with one or more fix property values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fix</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A command string, script, or other system modification statement that, if executed on the target system, can bring it into full, or at least better, compliance with this Rule</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The definition of, or a reference to, the target system check needed to test compliance with this Rule. A check consists of three parts: the checking system specification on which it is based, a list of Value objects to export, and the content of the check itself. If a Rule has several check properties, each must employ a different checking system.

A complex check is a boolean expression of other checks. At most one complex-check may appear in a Rule (see below).

A Rule can be based on (extend) another Rule. This means that the extending Rule inherits all the properties of the extended or base Rule, some of which it might override with new values. For any property that is allowed to appear more than once, the extending Rule gets the sequence of property values from the extended group, plus any of its own values for that property. For any property that is allowed to appear at most once, the extending Rule gets its own value for the property if one appears, otherwise it gets the extended Rule’s value of that property. A Rule for which the abstract property is true should not be included in any generated document, nor should it be checked in any compliance test. Abstract Rules are removed during resolution (see Section 3.3).

The ‘multiple’ property provides direction about multiple instantiation to a processing tool applying the Rule. By setting ‘multiple’ to true, the Rule’s author is directing that separate components of the target to which the Rule can apply should be tested separately and the results recorded separately. By setting ‘multiple’ to false, the author is directing that the test results of such components be combined. If the processing tool cannot perform multiple instantiation, or if multiple instantiation of the Rule is not applicable for the target system, then processing tools may ignore this property.

The ‘role’ property gives the Benchmark author additional control over Rule processing during application of a Benchmark. The default role (“full”) means that the Rule is checked, contributes to scoring according to the scoring model, and appears in any output reports. The “unscored” role means that the Rule is checked and appears in any output reports, but does not contribute to score computations. The “unchecked” role means that the Rule does not get checked, its Rule result status is set to unknown, and it does not contribute to scoring, but it can appear in output reports. The “unchecked” role is meant primarily for Rules that contain informational text, but for which no automated check is practical.

The ‘weight’ property denotes the importance of a rule relative to its sibling in the same Group or its siblings in the Benchmark (for a Rule that is a child of the Benchmark). For more information about scoring, see Section 3.3.

Each ‘ident’ property contains a globally meaningful name in some security domain; the string value of the property is the name, and a Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) designates the scheme or organization that assigned the name. By setting an ‘ident’ property on a Rule, the
Benchmark author effectively declares that the Rule instantiates, implements, or remediates the issue for which the name was assigned. For example, the ident value might be a Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) identifier; the Rule would be a check that the target platform was not subject to the vulnerability named by the CVE identifier, and the URI would be that of the CVE Web site.

The ‘platform’ properties of a Rule indicate the platforms to which the Rule applies. Each platform property asserts a single CPE URI. If a Rule does not possess any platform properties, then it applies to the same set of platforms as its enclosing Group or Benchmark. For tools that perform compliance checking on a platform, if a Rule’s set of platform property values does not include the platform on which the compliance check is being performed, the Rule should be treated as if its selected property were set to false. Any platform property value that appears on a Rule should be a member of the set of platform property values of the enclosing Benchmark. Finally, if no platform properties appear anywhere on a Rule or its enclosing Group or Benchmark, then the Rule applies to all platforms.

The check property consists of the following: a selector for use with Profiles, a URI that designates the checking system or engine, a set of export declarations, and the check content. The checking system URI tells a compliance checking tool what processing engine it must use to interpret or execute the check. The nominal or expected checking system is MITRE’s OVAL system (designated by http://oval.mitre.org/), but the XCCDF data model allows for alternative or additional checking systems. XCCDF also supports conveyance of tailoring values from the XCCDF processing environment down to the checking system, via export declarations. Each export declaration maps an XCCDF Value object id to an external name or id for use by the checking system. The check content is an expression or document in the language of the checking system; it may appear inside the XCCDF document (an enveloped check) or it may appear as a reference (a detached check).

In place of a ‘check’ property, XCCDF 1.1 allows a ‘complex-check’ property. A complex check is a boolean expression whose individual terms are checks or complex-checks. This allows Benchmark authors to re-use checks in more flexible ways, and to mix checks written with different checking systems. A Rule may have at most one ‘complex-check’ property; on inheritance, the extending Rule’s complex-check replaces the extended Rule’s complex-check. If both check properties and a complex-check property appear in a Rule, then the check properties must be ignored. The following operators are allowed for combining the constituents of a complex-check:

- **AND** – if and only if all terms evaluate to Pass (true), then the complex-check evaluates to Pass.
- **OR** – if any term evaluates to Pass, then the complex-check evaluates to Pass.

Truth-tables for the operators appear under their detailed descriptions in the next section. Note that each complex-check may also specify that the expression should be negated (boolean **not**).

The properties ‘fixtext’ and ‘fix’ exist to allow a Benchmark author to specify a way to remediate non-compliance with a Rule. The ‘fixtext’ property provides a prose description of
the fix that needs to be made; in some cases this may be all that is possible to do in the Benchmark (e.g., if the fix requires manipulation of a GUI or installation of additional software). The ‘fix’ property provides a direct means of changing the system configuration to accomplish the necessary change (e.g., a sequence of command-line commands; a set of lines in a system scripting language like Bourne shell or in a system configuration language like Windows INF format; a list of update or patch ID numbers).

The ‘fix’ and ‘fixtext’ properties are enhanced for XCCDF 1.1, to help tools support more sophisticated facilities for automated and interactive remediation of Benchmark findings. The following attributes can be associated with a fix or fixtext property value:

- **strategy** – a keyword that denotes the method or approach for fixing the problem. This applies to both fix and fixtext. Permitted values: unknown (default), configure, combination, disable, enable, patch, policy, restrict, update.
- **disruption** – an estimate for how much disruption the application of this fix will impose on the target. This applies to fix and fixtext. Permitted values: unknown, low, medium, high.
- **reboot** – whether or not remediation will require a reboot or hard reset of the target. This applies to fix and fixtext. Permitted values: true (1) and false (0).
- **system** – a URI representing the scheme, language, engine, or process for which the fix contents are written. XCCDF 1.1 will define several general-purpose URNs for this, but it is expected that tool vendors and system providers may need to define target-specific ones. This applies to fix only.
- **id/fixref** – these attributes will allow fixtext properties to be associated with specific fix properties (pair up explanatory text with specific fix procedures).
- **platform** – in case different fix scripts or procedures are required for different target platform types (e.g., different patches for Windows 2000 and Windows XP), this attribute allows a CPE platform URI to be associated with a fix property.

For more information, consult the detailed definition of the fix and fixtext elements in Section 4.2.

### Value :: Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>string + id</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>The current value of this Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>default</td>
<td>string + id</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Default value of this Value object, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The data type of the Value: “string”, “number”, or “boolean” (default: “string”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extends</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The id of a Value on which to base this Value, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operator</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The operator to be used for comparing this Value to some part of the test system’s configuration (see list below), optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lower-bound</td>
<td>number + identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Minimum legal value for this Value (applies only if type is ‘number’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upper-bound</td>
<td>number + identifier</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Maximum legal value for this Value (applies only if type is ‘number’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>choices</td>
<td>list + id</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A list of legal or suggested values for this Value object, to be used during tailoring and document generation, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>match</td>
<td>regular expr.</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A regular expression which the Value must match to be legal, optional (for more information, see [8])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Tailoring for this Value should also be performed during Benchmark application, optional (default is false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interfaceHint</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>User interface recommendation for tailoring, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>source</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>URI indicating where the Benchmark tool may acquire a value for this Value object</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Value is content that can be substituted into properties of other Items, including the interior of structured check specifications and fix scripts. A tool may choose any convenient form to store a Value’s value property, but the data type conveys how the value should be treated during Benchmark compliance testing. The data type property may also be used to give additional guidance to the user or to validate the user’s input. For example, if a Value object’s type property was “number”, then a tool might choose to reject user tailoring input that was not composed of digits. The default property holds a default value for the value property; tailoring tools may present the default value to users as a suggestion.

A Value object may extend another Value object. In such cases, the extending object receives all the properties of the extended object, and may override them where needed. A Value object with the abstract property true should never be included in any generated document, and may not be exported to any compliance checking engine.

When defining a Value object, the Benchmark author may specify the operator to be used for checking compliance with the value. For example, one part of an operating system (OS) Benchmark might be checking that the configuration included a minimum password length; the Value object that holds the tailorable minimum could have type “number” and operator “greater than”. Exactly how Values are used in rules may depend on the capabilities of the checking system. Tailoring tools and document generation tools may ignore the ‘operator’ property; therefore, Benchmark authors should included sufficient information in the description and question properties to make the role of the Value clear. The table below describes the operators permitted for each Value type.
A Value object includes several properties that constrain or limit the values that the Value may be given: value, default, match, choices, upper-bound, and lower-bound. Benchmark authors can use these Value properties to assist users in Tailoring the Benchmark. These properties may appear more than once in a Value, and may be marked with a selector tag id. At most one instance of each may omit its selector tag. For more information about selector tags, see the description of the Profile object below.

The upper-bound and lower-bound properties constrain the choices for Value items with a type property of ‘number’. For any other type, they are meaningless. The bounds they indicate are always inclusive. For example, if the lower-bound property for a Value is given as “3”, then 3 is a legal value.

The ‘choices’ property holds a list of one or more particular values for the Value object; the ‘choices’ property also bears a boolean flag, ‘mustMatch’, which indicates that the enumerated choices are the only legal ones (mustMatch=”1”) or that they are merely suggestions (mustMatch=”0”). The choices property should be used when there are a moderate number of known values that are most appropriate. For example, if the Value were the authentication mode for a server, the choices might be “password” and “pki”.

The ‘match’ property provides a regular expression pattern that a tool may apply, during tailoring, to validate user input. The ‘match’ property applies only when the Value type is ‘string’ or ‘number’. For example, if the Value type was ‘string’, but the value was meant to be a Cisco IOS router interface name, then the Value match property might be set to “[A-Za-z]+*[0-9]+/([0-9.]+)*”. This would allow a tailoring tool to reject an invalid user input like “f8xq+” but accept a legal one like “Ethernet1/3”.

If a Value’s ‘prohibitChanges’ property is set to true, then it means that the Value’s value may not be changed by the user. This might be used by Benchmark authors in defining values that are integral to compliance, such as a timeout value, or it might be used by enterprise security officers in constraining a Benchmark to more tightly reflect organizational or site security policies. (In the latter case, a security officer could use the extension facility to make an untailorable version of a Value object, without rewriting it.) A Value object can have a ‘hidden’ property; if the hidden property is true, then the Value should not appear in a generated document, but its value may still be used.

If the ‘interactive’ property is set, it is a hint to the Benchmark checking tool to ask the user for a new value for the Value at the beginning of each application of the Benchmark. The checking tool is free to ignore the property if asking the user is not feasible or not supported. Similarly,
the ‘interfaceHint’ property allows the Benchmark author to supply a hint to a benchmarking or tailoring tool about how the user might select or adjust the Value. The following strings are valid for the ‘interfaceHint’ property: “choice”, “textline”, “text”, “date”, and “datetime”.

The ‘source’ property allows a Benchmark author to supply a URI, possibly tool-specific, that indicates where a benchmarking or tailoring tool may acquire values, value bounds, or value choices.

**Profile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unique identifier for this Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>Title of the Item, for human readers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>description</td>
<td>text</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Text that describes the Profile, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extends</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The id of a Profile on which to base this Profile, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abstract</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>If true, then this Profile exists solely to be extended by other Profiles, and may not be applied to a Benchmark directly; optional (default: false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>note-tag</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Tag identifier to match profile-note properties in Rules, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>status</td>
<td>string + date</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Status of the Profile and date at which it attained that status, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>string + date</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Version of the Profile, with timestamp and update URI, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prohibitChanges</td>
<td>boolean</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Whether or not tools should prohibit changes to this Profile (default: false)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A target platform for this Profile, a CPE URI. Multiple platform URIs may be listed if the Profile applies to several platforms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference</td>
<td>string + URL</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A reference to a document or resource where the user can learn more about the subject of this Profile: a string and optional URL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>selectors</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>References to Groups, Rules, and Values, see below (references may be the unique id of an Item, or a cluster id)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signature</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Digital signature over this Profile, optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Profile object is a named tailoring of a Benchmark. While a Benchmark can be tailored in place, by setting properties of various objects, only Profiles allow one Benchmark document to hold several independent tailorings.
A Profile can extend another Profile in the same Benchmark. The set of platform, reference, and selector properties of the extended Profile are prepended to the list of properties of the extending Profile. Inheritance of title, description, and reference properties are handled in the same way as for Item objects.

The note-tag property is a simple identifier. It specifies which profile-note properties on Rules should be associated with this Profile.

Benchmark authors can use the Profile’s ‘status’ property to record the maturity or consensus level of a Profile. If the status is not given explicitly in a Profile definition, then the Profile is taken to have the same status as its parent Benchmark. Note that status properties are not inherited.

Each Profile contains a list of selectors which express a particular customization or tailoring of the Benchmark. There are four kinds of selectors:

- **select** – a Rule/Group selector. This selector designates a Rule, Group, or cluster of Rules and Groups. It overrides the selected property on the designated Items. It provides a means for including or excluding rules from the Profile.

- **set-value** – a Value selector. This selector overrides the value property of a Value object, without changing any of its other properties. It provides a means for directly specifying the value of a variable to be used in compliance checking or other Benchmark processing. This selector may also be applied to the Value items in a cluster, in which case it overrides the value properties of all of them.

- **refine-rule** – a Rule/Group selector. This selector allows the Profile author to override the scoring weight, severity, and role of a Rule, Group, or cluster of Rules and Groups. Despite the name, this selector does apply for Groups, but only to their weight property.

- **refine-value** – a Value selector. This selector designates the Value constraints to be applied during tailoring, for a Value object or the Value members of a cluster. It provides a means for authors to impose different constraints on tailoring for different profiles. (Constraints must be designated with a selector id. For example, a particular numeric Value might have several different sets of ‘upper-bound’ and ‘lower-bound’ properties, designated with different selector ids. The refine-value selector tells benchmarking tools which set of bounds to enforce when that particular profile is in effect.)

### TestResult

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identifier for this TestResults object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benchmark</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Reference to Benchmark; mandatory if this TestResults object is in a file by itself, optional otherwise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The version number string copied from the Benchmark, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>title</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Title of the test, for human readers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remark</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A remark about the test, possibly supplied by the person administering the Benchmark checking run, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start-time</td>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Time when test began, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end-time</td>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Time when test was completed and the results recorded, mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>test-system</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Name of the test tool or program, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>Name of the target system whose test results are recorded in this object, mandatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target-address</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Network address of the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>target-facts</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>A sequence of named facts about the target system or platform, including a type qualifier, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>The CPE platform URI indicating a platforms which the target system was found to meet. Tools may insert multiple platform URIs if the target system met multiple relevant platform definitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>profile</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The identifier of the Benchmark profile used for the test, if any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set-value</td>
<td>string + id</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Specific settings for Value objects used during the test, one for each Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rule-results</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>Outcomes of individual Rule tests, one per Rule instance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>score</td>
<td>float + URI</td>
<td>1-n</td>
<td>An overall score for this Benchmark test; at least one must appear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>signature</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Digital signature over this TestResult object, optional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A TestResult object represents the results of a single application of the Benchmark to a single target platform. The properties of a TestResult object include test time, the identity and other facts about the system undergoing the test, and Benchmark information. If the test was conducted using a specific Profile of the Benchmark, then a reference to the Profile may be included. Also, multiple set-value properties may be included, giving the identifier and value for the Values that were used in the test.

At least one ‘target’ property must appear in the TestResult object. Each appearance of the property supplies a name by which the target host or device was identified at the time the test was run. The name may be any string, but applications should include the fully qualified
Domain Name System (DNS) name whenever possible. The ‘target-address’ property is optional; each appearance of the property supplies an address which was bound by the target at the time the test was run. Typical forms for the address include: Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4) address, Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6) address, and Ethernet media access control (MAC) address.

The ‘target-facts’ list is an optional part of the TestResult object. It contains a list of zero or more individual facts about the target system or platform. Each fact consists of the following: a name (URI), a type (“string”, “number”, or “boolean”), and the value of the fact itself.

The main content of a TestResult object is a collection of rule-result records, each giving the result of a single instance of a rule application against the target. The TestResult must include one rule-result record for each Rule that was selected in the resolved Benchmark; it may also include rule-result records for Rules that were unselected in the Benchmark. A rule-result record contains the properties listed below. For more information about Benchmark application and scoring, see page 38.

### TestResult/rule-result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rule-idref</td>
<td>identifier</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identifier of a Benchmark Rule (from the Benchmark designated in the TestResult)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Time when application of this instance of this Rule was completed, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The version number string copied from the version property of the Rule, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>severity</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>The severity string code copied from the Rule; defaults to “unknown”, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ident</td>
<td>string + URI</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>A globally meaningful name and URI for the issue or vulnerability, copied from the Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>result</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Result of this test: one of status values listed below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>override</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>An XML block explaining how and why an auditor chose to override the Rule’s result status, optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instance</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>0-n</td>
<td>Name of the target system component to which this result applies, for multiply instantiated Rules. May also include context and hierarchy information for nested contexts, optional (see below for details).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The result of a single test may be one of the following:

**pass** – the target system or system component satisfied all the conditions of the Rule; a pass result contributes to the weighted score and maximum possible score. [Abbreviation: P]

**fail** – the target system or system component did not satisfy all the conditions of the Rule; a fail result contributes to the maximum possible score. [Abbreviation: F]

**error** – the checking engine encountered a system error and could not complete the test, therefore the status of the target’s compliance with the Rule is not certain. This could happen, for example, if a Benchmark testing tool were run with insufficient privileges. [Abbreviation: E]

**unknown** – the testing tool encountered some problem and the result is unknown. For example, a result of ‘unknown’ might be given if the Benchmark testing tool were unable to interpret the output of the checking engine. [Abbreviation: U]

**notapplicable** – the Rule was not applicable to the target of the test. For example, the Rule might have been specific to a different version of the target OS, or it might have been a test against a platform feature that was not installed. Results with this status do not contribute to the Benchmark score. [Abbreviation: N]

**notchecked** – the Rule was not evaluated by the checking engine. This status is designed for Rules with a role of “unchecked”, and for Rules that have no check properties. It may also correspond to a status returned by a checking engine. Results with this status do not contribute to the Benchmark score. [Abbreviation: K]

**notselected** – the Rule was not selected in the Benchmark. Results with this status do not contribute to the Benchmark score. [Abbreviation: S]
**informational** – the Rule was checked, but the output from the checking engine is simply information for auditor or administrator; it is not a compliance category. This status is the default for Rules with a role of “unscored”. This status value is designed for Rules whose main purpose is to extract information from the target rather than test compliance. Results with this status do not contribute to the Benchmark score. [Abbreviation: I]

**fixed** – the Rule had failed, but was then fixed (possibly by a tool that can automatically apply remediation, or possibly by the human auditor). Results with this status should be scored the same as **pass**. [Abbreviation: X]

The ‘instance’ property specifies the name of a target subsystem or component that passed or failed a Rule. This is important for Rules that apply to components of the target system, especially when a target might have several such components. For example, a Rule might specify a particular setting that needs to be applied on every interface of a firewall; for Benchmark compliance results, a firewall target with three interfaces would have three rule-result elements with the same rule id, each with an independent value for the ‘result’ property. For more discussion of multiply instantiated Rules, see page 40.

The ‘check’ property consists of the URI that designates the checking system, and detailed output data from the checking engine. The detailed output data can take the form of encapsulated XML or text data, or it can be a reference to an external URI. (Note: this is analogous to the form of the Rule object’s check property, used for referring to checking engine input.)

The override property provides a mechanism for an auditor to change the Rule result assigned by the Benchmark checking tool. This is necessary (a) when checking a rule requires reviewing manual procedures or other non-IT conditions, and (b) when a Benchmark check gives an inaccurate result on a particular target system. The override element contains the following properties:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time</td>
<td>timestamp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>When the override was applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>authority</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Name or other identification for the human principal authorizing the override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>old-result</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The rule result status before this override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new-result</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The new, override rule result status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remark</td>
<td>string</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rationale or explanation text for why or how the override was applied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XCCDF is not intended to be a database format for detailed results; the TestResult object offers a way to store the results of individual tests in modest detail, with the ability to reference lower-level testing data.
3.3. Processing Models

The XCCDF specification is designed to support automated XCCDF document processing by a variety of tools. There are five basic types of processing that a tool might apply to an XCCDF document:

1. **Tailoring.** This type of processing involves loading an XCCDF document, allowing a user to set the value property of Value items and the selected property of all Items, and then generating a tailored XCCDF output document.

2. **Document Generation.** This type of processing involves loading an XCCDF document and generating textual or formatted output, usually in a form suitable for printing or human perusal.

3. **Transformation.** This is the most open-ended of the processing types: it involves transforming an XCCDF document into a document in some other representation. Typically, a transformation process will involve some kind of stylesheet or specification that directs the transformation (e.g., an Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformation [XSLT] stylesheet). This kind of processing can be used in a variety of contexts, including document generation.

4. **Compliance Checking.** This is the primary form of processing for XCCDF documents. It involves loading an XCCDF document, checking target systems or data sets that represent the target systems, computing one or more scores, and generating one or more XCCDF TestResult objects. Some tools might also generate other outputs or store compliance information in some kind of database.

5. **Test Report Generation.** This form of processing can be performed only on an XCCDF document that includes one or more TestResult objects. It involves loading the document, traversing the list of TestResult objects, and generating non-XCCDF output and/or human-readable reports about selected ones.

Tailoring, document generation, and compliance checking all share a similar processing model consisting of two steps: loading and traversal. The processing sequence required for loading is described in the subsection below. Note that loading must be complete before traversal begins. When loading is complete, a Benchmark is said to be resolved.

### Loading Processing Sequence

Before any loading begins, a tool should initialize an empty set of legal notices and an empty dictionary of object ids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Import</td>
<td>Import the XCCDF document into the program and build an initial internal representation of the Benchmark object, Groups, Rules, and other objects. If the file cannot be read or parsed, then Loading fails. (At the beginning of this step, any inclusion processing specified with XInclude elements should be performed. The resulting XML information set should be validated against the XCCDF schema given in Appendix A.) Go to the next step: Loading.Noticing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Step</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Noticing</td>
<td>For each notice property of the Benchmark object, add the notice to the tool’s set of legal notices. If a notice with an identical id value is already a member of the set, then replace it. If the Benchmark’s resolved property is set, then Loading succeeds, otherwise go to the next step: Loading.Resolve.Items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Resolve.Items</td>
<td>For each Item in the Benchmark that has an extends property, resolve it by using the following steps: (1) if the Item is Group, resolve all the enclosed Items, (2) resolve the extended Item, (3) prepend the property sequence from the extended Item to the extending Item, (4) if the Item is a Group, assign values for the id properties of Items copied from the extended Group, (5) remove duplicate properties and apply property overrides, and (6) remove the extends property. If any Item’s extends property identifier does not match the identifier of a visible Item of the same type, then Loading fails. If the directed graph formed by the extends properties includes a loop, then Loading fails. Otherwise, go to the next step: Loading.Resolve.Profiles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Resolve.Profiles</td>
<td>For each Profile in the Benchmark that has an extends property, resolve the set of properties in the extending Profile by applying the following steps: (1) resolve the extended Profile, (2) prepend the property sequence from the extended Profile to that of the extending Profile, (3) remove all but the last instance of duplicate properties. If any Profile’s extends property identifier does not match the identifier of another Profile in the Benchmark, then Loading fails. If the directed graph formed by the extends properties of Profiles includes a loop, then Loading fails. Otherwise, go to Loading.Resolve.Abstract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Resolve.Abstract</td>
<td>For each Item in the Benchmark for which the abstract property is true, remove the Item. For each Profile in the Benchmark for which the abstract property is true, remove the Profile. Go to the next step: Loading.Resolve.Finalize.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading.Resolve.Finalize</td>
<td>Set the Benchmark resolved property to true; Loading succeeds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the Loading step succeeds for an XCCDF document, then the internal data model should be complete, and every Item should contain all of its own content. An XCCDF file that has no extends properties is called a resolved document. Only resolved XCCDF documents should be subjected to Transformation processing.

XML Inclusion processing must happen before any validation or processing. Typically, it will be performed by the XML parser as the XML file is processed at the beginning of Loading.Import. XML Inclusion processing is independent of all XCCDF processing.

During the Loading.Resolve.Items and Loading.Resolve.Profiles steps, the processor must flatten inheritance relationships. The conceptual model for XCCDF object properties is a list of name-value pairs; property values defined in an extending object are appended to the list inherited from the extending object.
There are five different inheritance processing models for Item and Profile properties.

- **None** – the property value or values are not inherited.
- **Prepend** – the property values are inherited from the extended object, but values on the extending object come first, and inherited values follow.
- **Append** – the property values are inherited from the extended object; additional values may be defined on the extending object.
- **Replace** – the property value is inherited; a property value explicitly defined on the extending object replaces an inherited value.
- **Override** – the property values are inherited from the extended object; additional values may be defined on the extending object. An additional value can override (replace) an inherited value, if explicitly tagged as ‘override’.

The table below shows the inheritance processing model for each of the properties supported on Group, Rule, Value, and Profile objects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing Model</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>abstract, cluster-id, extends, id, signature, status</td>
<td>These properties cannot be inherited at all; they must be given explicitly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepend</td>
<td>source, choices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Append</td>
<td>requires, conflicts, ident, fix, value, default, choices, operator, lower-bound, upper-bound, match, select, note-tag, refine-value, refine-rule, set-value</td>
<td>Additional rules may apply during Benchmark processing, tailoring, or report generation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace</td>
<td>hidden, prohibitChanges, selected, version, weight, operator, interfaceHint, check, complex-check, role, severity, type, interactive, multiple</td>
<td>For the check property, checks from different systems are considered different properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Override</td>
<td>title, description, platform, question, rationale, warning, reference, fixtext, profileNote</td>
<td>For properties that have a locale (xml:lang specified), values with different locales are considered to be different properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Every resolved document must satisfy the condition that every id attribute is unique. Therefore, it is very important that the Loading.Resolution step generate a fresh unique id for any Group, Rule, or Value object that gets created through extension of its enclosing Group. One way to do this would be to generate and assign a random unique id during sub-step (4) of Loading.Resolve.Items. Also note that it is necessary to assign an extends property to the newly
created Items, based on the id or extends property of the Item that was copied (if the Item being copied has an extends property, then the new Item gets the same value for the extends property, otherwise the new Item gets the id value of the Item being copied as its extends property).

The second step of processing is Traversal. The concept behind Traversal is basically a pre-order, depth-first walk through all the Items that make up a Benchmark. However, Traversal works slightly differently for each of the three kinds of processing, as described further below.

**Benchmark Processing Algorithm**

The id of a Profile may be specified as input for Benchmark processing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark.Front</td>
<td>Process the properties of the Benchmark object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark.Profile</td>
<td>If a Profile id was specified, then apply the settings in the Profile to the Items of the Benchmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark.Content</td>
<td>For each Item in the Benchmark object’s items property, initiate Item.Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark.Back</td>
<td>Perform any additional processing of the Benchmark object properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sub-steps Front and Back will be different for each kind of processing, and each tool may perform specialized handling of Benchmark properties. For document generation, Profiles may be processed separately as part of Benchmark.Back, to generate part of the output document.

**Item Processing Algorithm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item.Process</td>
<td>Check the contents of the requires and conflicts properties, and if any required Items are unselected or any conflicting Items are selected, then set the selected and allowChanges properties to false.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item.Select</td>
<td>If any of the following conditions holds, cease processing of this Item. 1. The processing type is Tailoring, and the optional property and selected property are both false. 2. The processing type is Document Generation, and the hidden property is true. 3. The processing type is Compliance Checking, and the selected property is false. 4. The processing type is Compliance Checking, and the current platform (if known by the tool) is not a member of the set of platforms for this Item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group.Front</td>
<td>If the Item is a Group, then process the properties of the Group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group.Content</td>
<td>If the Item is a Group, then for each Item in the Group’s items property, initiate Item.Process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Processing an Item

Processing the properties of an Item is the core of Benchmark processing. The list below describes some of the processing in more detail.

- For Tailoring, the key to processing is to query the user and incorporate the user’s response into the data. For a Group or Rule, the user should be given a yes/no choice if the optional property is true. For a Value item, the user should be given a chance to supply a string value, possibly validated using the type property. The output of a tailoring tool will usually be another XCCDF file.

- For Document Generation, the key to processing is to generate an output stream that can be formatted as a readable or printable document. The exact formatting discipline will depend on the tool and the target output format. In general, the selected and optional properties are not germane to Document Generation. The platform properties may be used during Document Generation for generation of platform-specific versions of a document.

- For Compliance Checking, the key to processing is applying the Rule checks to the target system or collecting data about the target system. Tools will vary in how they do this and in how they generate output reports. It is also possible that some Rule checks will need to be applied to multiple contexts or features of the target system, generating multiple pass or fail results for a single Rule object.

Note that it is possible (but inadvisable) for a Benchmark author to set up circular dependencies or conflicts using the requires and conflicts properties. To prevent ambiguity, tools must process the Items of the Benchmark in order, and must not change the selected property of any Rule or Group more than once during a processing session.

### Substitution Processing

XCCDF supports the notion of named parameters, Value objects, which can be set by a user during the tailoring process, and then substituted into content specified elsewhere in the Benchmark. XCCDF 1.1 also supports the notion of plain-text definitions in a Benchmark; these are re-usable chunks of text that may be substituted into other texts using the substitution facilities described here.

As described in the next section, a substitution is always indicated by a reference to the id of a particular Value object, plain-text definition, or other Item in the Benchmark.

During Tailoring and Document Generation, a tool should substitute the title property of the Value object for the reference in any text shown to the user or included in the document. At the tool author’s discretion, the title may be followed by the Value object’s value property, suitably demarcated. For plain-text definitions, any reference to the definition should be replaced by the string content of the definition.
Any appearance of the instance element in the content of a fix element should be replaced by a locale-appropriate string to represent a target system instance name.

During Compliance Checking, Value objects designated for export to the checking system are passed to it. In general, the interface between the XCCDF checking tool and the underlying checking system or engine must support passing the following properties of the Value: value, type, and operator.

During creation of TestResult objects on conclusion of Compliance Checking, any fix elements present in applied Rules, and matching the platform to which the compliance test was applied, should be subjected to substitution and the resulting string used as the value of the fix element for the rule-result element. Each sub element should be replaced by the value of the referenced Value object or plain-text definition actually used during the test. Each instance element should be replaced by the value of the rule-result instance element.

**Rule Application and Compliance Scoring**

When a Benchmark compliance checking tool performs a compliance run against a system, it accepts as inputs the state of the system and a Benchmark, and produces some outputs, as shown below.

- **Benchmark Report** – A human-readable report about compliance, including the compliance score, and a listing of which rules passed and which failed on the system. If a given rule applies to multiple parts or components of the system, then multiple pass/fail entries may appear on this list; multiply-instantiated rules are discussed in more detail below. The report may also include recommended steps for improving compliance. The format of the benchmark report is not specified here, but might be some form of formatted or rich text (e.g., HTML).
- **Benchmark results** – A machine-readable file about compliance, meant for storage, long-term tracking, or incorporation into other reports (e.g., a site-wide compliance report). This file may be in XCCDF, using the TestResult object, or it may be in some tool-specific data format.
• **Fix scripts** – Machine-readable files, usually text, the application of which will remediate some or all of the non-compliance issues found by the tool. These scripts may be included in XCCDF TestResult objects.

**Scoring and Results Model**

Semantically, the output or result of a single Benchmark compliance test consists of four parts:

1. Rule result list – a vector $V$ of result elements $e$, with each element a 6-tuple $e = \{r, p, I, t, F, O\}$ where:
   - $r$ is the Rule id
   - $p$ is the test result, one of {pass, fail, error, unknown, notapplicable, notchecked, notselected, informational, fixed}. A test whose result $p$ is ‘error’ or ‘unknown’ is treated as ‘fail’ for the purposes of scoring; tool developers may wish to alert the user to erroneous and unknown test results. A test whose result $p$ is one of {notapplicable, notchecked, informational, notselected} does not contribute to scoring in any way. A test whose result $p$ is ‘fixed’ is treated as a pass for score computation.
   - $I$ is the instance set, identifying the system components, files, interfaces, or subsystems to which the Rule was applied. Each element of $I$ is a triple $\{n,c,p\}$, where $n$ is the instance name, $c$ is the optional instance context, and $p$ is the optional parent context. The context $c$, when present, describes the scope or significance of the name $n$. The parent context $p$ allows the members of $I$ to express nested structure. $I$ must be an empty set for tests that are not the result of multiply instantiated Rules (see below).
   - $t$ is the time at which the result of the Rule application was decided.
   - $F$ is the set of fixes, from the Rule’s fix properties, that should bring the target system into compliance (or at least closer to compliance) with the rule. $F$ may be null if the Rule did not possess any applicable fix properties, and must be null when $p$ is equal to pass. Each fix $f$ in $F$ consists of all the properties defined in the description of the Rule fix property: content, strategy, disruption, reboot, system, id, and platform.
   - $O$ is the set of overrides, each $o$ in $O$ consisting of the five properties listed for the rule-result override property: time, authority, old-result, new-result, and remark. Overrides do not affect score computation.
2. Scores – a vector $S$, consisting of one or more score values $s$, with each $s$ a pair consisting of a real number and a scoring model identifier.
3. Identification – a vector of strings which identify the Benchmark, Profile (if any), and target system to which the Benchmark was applied.
4. Timestamps – two timestamps recording the beginning and the end of the interval when the Benchmark was applied and the results compiled.

Each element of the pass/fail list $V$ conveys the compliance of the system under test, or one component of it, with one Rule of the Benchmark. Each Rule has a weight, title, and other attributes as described above. Each element of $V$ may include an instance name, which gives the name of a system component to which the pass or fail designation applies.
XCCDF 1.1 defines a default scoring model and two optional scoring models, and also permits Benchmark checking tools to support additional proprietary or consensus models. A Benchmark may specify the scoring model to be used. In the absence of an explicit scoring model specified in the Benchmark, compliance checking tools must compute a score based on the default XCCDF model, and may compute additional scoring values based on other models. The default model computes a score based on relative weights of sibling rules, as described in the next subsection.

The fix scripts are collected from the fix properties of the rules in elements of $V$ where $p$ is False. A compliance checking or remediation tool may choose to concatenate, consolidate, and/or deconflict the fix scripts; mechanisms for doing so are outside the scope of this specification. In the simplest cases, tools must perform Value substitution on each rule’s fix property before making it part of the output results.

**Score Computation Algorithms**

This sub-section describes the XCCDF default scoring model, which compliance checking tools must support, and two additional models that tools may support. Each scoring model is identified by a URI. When a Benchmark compliance test is performed, the tool performing the Benchmark may use any score computation model designated by the user. The Benchmark author can suggest or recommend scoring models by indicating them in the Benchmark object using the “model” property. The default model is indicated implicitly for all Benchmarks.

**The Default Model**

This model is identified by the URI “urn:xccdf:scoring:default”. It was the only model supported in XCCDF 1.0, and remains the default for compatibility.

In the default model, computation of the XCCDF score proceeds independently for each collection of siblings in each Group, and then for the siblings within the Benchmark. This relative-to-siblings weighted scoring model is designed for flexibility and to foster independent authorship of collections of Rules. Benchmark authors must keep the model in mind when assigning weights to Groups and Rules. For a very simple Benchmark consisting only of Rules and no Groups, weights may be omitted.

The objects of an XCCDF Benchmark form the nodes of a tree. The default model score computation algorithm simply computes a normalized weighted sum at each tree node, omitting Rules and Groups that are not selected, and Groups that have no selected Rules under them. The algorithm at each selected node is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score.Rule</td>
<td>If the node is a Rule, then assign a count of 1, and if the test result is ‘pass’, assign the node a score of 100, otherwise assign a score of 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score.Group.Init</td>
<td>If the node is a Group or the Benchmark, assign a count of 0, a score $s$ of 0.0, and an accumulator $a$ of 0.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score.Group.Recurse</td>
<td>For each selected child of this Group or Benchmark, do the following: (1) compute the count and weighted score for the child using this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
algorithm, (2) if the child’s count value is not 0, then add the child’s weighted score to this node’s score $s$, add 1 to this node’s count, and add the child’s weight value to the accumulator $a$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score.Group.Normalize</td>
<td>Normalize this node’s score: compute $s = s / a$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score.Weight</td>
<td>Assign the node a weighted score equal to the product of its score and its weight.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The final test score is the normalized score value on the root node of the tree, which is the Benchmark object.

**The Flat Model**

This model is identified by the URI “urn:xccdf:scoring:flat”.

Under this model, the set of Rule results is treated as a vector $V$, as described above. The following algorithm is used to compute the score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Score.Init</td>
<td>Initialize both the score $s$ and the maximum score $m$ to 0.0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score.Rules</td>
<td>For each element $e$ in $V$ where $e.p$ is not equal to ‘notapplicable’:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- add the weight of rule $e.r$ to $m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- if the value $e.p$ equals ‘pass’ or ‘fixed’, add the weight of the rule $e.r$ to $s$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the flat model simply computes the sum of the weights for the Rules that passed as the score, and the sum of the weights of all the applicable Rules as the maximum possible score. This model is simple and easy to compute, but scores between different target systems may not be directly comparable because the maximum score can vary.

**The Flat Unweighted Model**

This model is identified by the URI “urn:xccdf:scoring:flat-unweighted”. It is computed in exactly the same way as the flat model, except that all weights are taken to be 1.0.

**Multiply-Instantiated Rules**

A security auditor applying a security guidance document to a system typically wants to know two things: how well does the system comply, and how can non-compliant items be reconciled (either fixed or determined not to be salient)?

Many XCCDF documents include Rules that apply to system components. For example, a host OS Benchmark would probably contain Rules that apply to all users, and a router Benchmark will contain Rules that apply to all network interfaces. When the system holds many of such components, it is not adequate for a tool to inform the administrator or auditor that a Rule failed; it should report exactly which components failed the Rule.
A processing engine that performs a Benchmark compliance test may deliver zero or more pass/fail triples, as described above. In the most common case, each compliance test Rule will yield one result element. In a case where a Rule was applied multiple times to multiple components of the system under test, a single Rule could yield multiple result elements. If each of multiple relevant components passes the Rule, the processing engine may deliver a single result element with an instance set \( I = \text{null} \). For the purposes of scoring, a Rule contributes to the positive score only if all instances of that Rule have a test result of ‘pass’. If any component of the target system fails a Rule, then the entire Rule is considered to have failed. This is sometimes called “strict scoring”.

4. **XML Representation**

This section defines a concrete representation of the XCCDF data model in XML, using both core XML syntax and XML Namespaces.

4.1. **XML Document General Considerations**

The basic document format consists of a root “Benchmark” element, representing a Benchmark object. Its child elements are the contents of the Benchmark object, as described in Section 3.2.

All the XCCDF elements in the document will belong to the XCCDF namespace, including the root element. The namespace URI corresponding to this version of the specification is “http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1”. The namespace of the root Benchmark element serves to identify the XCCDF version for a document. Applications that process XCCDF can use the namespace URI to decide whether or not they can process a given document. If a namespace prefix is used, the suggested prefix string is “cdf”.

XCCDF attributes are not namespace qualified. All attributes begin with a lowercase letter, except the “Id” attribute (for compatibility with XML Digital Signatures [9]).

The example below illustrates the outermost structure of an XCCDF XML document.

### Example 1 – Top-Level XCCDF XML

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" ?>
<cdf:Benchmark  id="example1"  xml:lang="en"  Id="toSign"
    xmlns:htm="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
    xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
    xmlns:cdfp="http://www.cisecurity.org/xccdf/platform/0.2.3"/>
<cdf:status date="2004-10-12">draft</cdf:status>
<cdf:title>Example Benchmark File</cdf:title>
<cdf:description>
    A <htm:b>Small</htm:b> Example
</cdf:description>
<cdf:version>0.2</cdf:version>
    Internet RFCs
</cdf:reference>
</cdf:Benchmark>
```

Validation is strongly suggested but not required for tools that process XCCDF documents. The XML Schema attribute ‘schemaLocation’ may be used to refer to the XCCDF Schema (see Appendix A).

Properties of XCCDF objects marked as type ‘text’ in Section 3.2 may contain embedded formatting, presentation, and hyperlink structure. XHTML Basic tags must be used to express
the formatting, presentation, and hyperlink structure within XCCDF documents. In particular, the core modules noted in the XHTML Basic Recommendation [4] are permitted in XCCDF documents, plus the Image module and the Presentation module. How an XCCDF processing tool handles embedded XHTML content in XCCDF text properties is implementation-dependent, but at least every tool must be able to process XCCDF files even when embedded XHTML elements are present. Tools that perform document generation processing should attempt to preserve the formatting semantics implied by the Text and List modules, support the link semantics implied by the Hypertext module, and incorporate the images referenced via the Image module.

4.2. XML Element Dictionary

This subsection describes each of the elements and attributes of the XCCDF XML specification. Each description includes the parent elements feasible for that element, as well as the child elements it might normally contain. Most elements are in the XCCDF namespace, which for version 1.1 is “http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1”. The full schema appears in Appendix A.

Many of the elements listed below are described as containing formatted text (type ‘text’ in Section 3.2). These elements may contain Value substitutions, and formatting expressed as described in Section 4.3.

XML is case-sensitive. The XML syntax for XCCDF follows a common convention for representing object-oriented data models in XML: elements that correspond directly to object classes in the data model have names with initial caps. Mandatory attributes and elements are shown in bold. Child elements are listed in the order in which they must appear. Elements which are not part of the XCCDF namespace are shown in italics.

<Benchmark>

This is the root element of the XCCDF document; it must appear exactly once. It encloses the entire Benchmark, and contains both descriptive information and Benchmark structural information. The id attribute must be a unique identifier.

Content: elements
Cardinality: 1
Parent Element: none
Attributes: id, resolved,
Id (note: “Id” is needed only for digital signature security)
Child Elements: status, title, description, notice, front-matter, rear-matter, reference, cpe-list, platform, version, metadata, Profile, Value, Group, Rule, signature

Note that the order of Group and Rule child elements may matter for the appearance of a generated document. Group and Rule children may be freely intermingled, but they must appear after any Value children. All the other children must appear in the order shown, and multiple instances of a child element must be adjacent.
<Group>

A Group element contains descriptive information about a portion of a Benchmark, as well as Rules, Values, and other Groups. A Group must have a unique id attribute to be referenced from other XCCDF documents or extended by other Groups. The id attribute must be a unique identifier. The ‘extends’ attribute, if present, must have a value equal to the id attribute of another Group. The ‘cluster-id’ attribute is an id; it designates membership in a cluster of Items, which are used for controlling Items via Profiles. The ‘hidden’ and ‘allowChanges’ attributes are of boolean type and default to false. The weight attribute is a positive real number.

Content: Elements
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Benchmark, Group
Attributes: id, cluster-id, extends, hidden, prohibitChanges, selected, weight, Id
Child Elements: status, version, title, description, warning, question, reference, rationale, platform, requires, conflicts, Value, Group, Rule

All child elements are optional, but every group should have a title, as this will help human editors and readers understand the purpose of the Group. Group and Rule children may be freely intermingled. All the other children must appear in the order shown, and multiple instances of a child element must be adjacent.

The extends attribute allows a Benchmark author to define a group as an extension of another group. The example XML fragment below shows an example of an extended and extending Group.

Example 2 – A Simple XCCDF Group

```xml
<cdf:Group id="basegrp" selected="0" hidden="1">
  <cdf:title>Example Base Group</cdf:title>
  <cdf:reference>Consult the vendor documentation.</cdf:reference>
</cdf:Group>
<cdf:Group extends="basegrp" id="fileperm" selected="1">
  <cdf:title>File Permissions</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
    Rules related to file access control and user permissions.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>
    Include checks for file access controls?
  </cdf:question>
    Administration manual, permissions settings reference
  </cdf:reference>
</cdf:Group>
```
An XCCDF Group may only extend a Group that is within its visible scope. The visible scope includes sibling elements, siblings of ancestor elements, and the visible scope of any Group that an ancestor Group extended.

Note that circular dependencies of extension are not permitted.

**<Rule>**

A Rule element defines a single Item to be checked as part of a Benchmark, or an extendable base definition for such Items. A Rule must have a unique id attribute, and this id is used when the Rule is used for extension, referenced from Profiles, or referenced from other XCCDF documents.

The ‘extends’ attribute, if present, must have a value equal to the id attribute of another Rule. The ‘weight’ attribute must be a positive real number. Rules may not be nested.

- **Content:** elements
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark, Group
- **Attributes:** id, cluster-id, extends, hidden, multiple, prohibitChanges, role, selected, severity, weight, Id
- **Child Elements:** status, version, title, description, warning, question, reference, rationale, platform, requires, conflicts, ident, profile-note, fixtext, fix, complex-check, check

The check child element of a Rule is the vital piece that specifies how to check compliance with a security practice or guideline. See the description of the check element below for more information. Example 3 shows a very simple Rule element.

---

**Example 3 – A Simple XCCDF Rule**

```xml
<cdf:Rule id="pwd-perm" selected="1" weight="6.5" severity="high">
  <cdf:title>Password File Permission</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>Check the access control on the password file. Normal users should not be able to write to it.</cdf:description>
  <cdf:requires idref="passwd-exists"/>
  <cdf:fixtext>
    Set permissions on the passwd file to owner-write, world-read
  </cdf:fixtext>
  <cdf:fix strategy="restrict" reboot="0" disruption="low">
    chmod 644 /etc/passwd
  </cdf:fix>
  <cdf:check system="http://www.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="ovaldefs.xml" name="OVAL123"/>
  </cdf:check>
</cdf:Rule>
```
An XCCDF Rule may only extend a Rule that is within its visible scope. The visible scope includes sibling Rules, Rules that are siblings of ancestor Groups, and the visible scope of any Group that an ancestor Group extended.

Circular dependencies of extension may not be defined.

<Value>

A Value element represents a named parameter whose title or value may be substituted into other strings in the Benchmark (depending on the form of processing to which the Benchmark is being subjected), or it may represent a basis for the definition of such parameters via extension. A Value object must have a unique id attribute to be referenced for substitution or extension or for inclusion in another Benchmark.

A Value object may appear as a child of the Benchmark, or as a child of a Group. Value objects may not be nested. The value and default child elements must appear first.

- **Content:** elements
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark, Group
- **Attributes:** id, cluster-id, extends, hidden, prohibitChanges, operator, type, interactive, interfaceHint, Id
- **Child Elements:** status, version, title, description, warning, question, reference, value, default, match, lower-bound, upper-bound, choices, source

The type attribute is optional, but if it appears it must be one of ‘number’, ‘string’, or ‘boolean’. A tool performing tailoring processing may use this type name to perform user input validation. Example 4, below, shows a very simple Value object.

**Example 4 – Example of a Simple XCCDF Value**

```xml
<cdf:Value id="web-server-port" type="number" operator="equals">
  <cdf:title>Web Server Port</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>TCP port on which the server listens</cdf:description>
  <cdf:value>12080</cdf:value>
  <cdf:default>80</cdf:default>
  <cdf:lower-bound>0</cdf:lower-bound>
  <cdf:upper-bound>65535</cdf:upper-bound>
</cdf:Value>
```

(Note that the match element applies only for validation during XCCDF tailoring, while the operator attribute applies only for rule checking. People often confuse these.)
A Profile element encapsulates a tailoring of the Benchmark. It consists of an id, descriptive text properties, and zero or more selectors that refer to Group, Rule, and Value objects in the Benchmark. There are three selector elements: select, set-value, and refine-value.

Profile elements may only appear as direct children of the Benchmark element. A Profile may be defined as extending another Profile, using the ‘extends’ attribute.

Profiles are designed to support encapsulation of a set of tailorings. A Profile implicitly includes all the Groups and Rules in the Benchmark, and the select element children of the Profile affect which Groups and Rules are selected for processing when the Profile is in effect. The example below shows a very simple Profile.

**Example 5 – Example of a Simple XCCDF Profile**

```xml
<cdf:Profile id="strict" prohibitChanges="1"
    extends="lenient" note-tag="strict-tag">
    <cdf:title>Strict Security Settings</cdf:title>
    <cdf:description>
        Strict lockdown rules and values, for hosts deployed to high-risk environments.
    </cdf:description>
    <cdf:set-value idref="password-len">10</cdf:set-value>
    <cdf:refine-value idref="session-timeout" selector="quick"/>
    <cdf:refine-rule idref="session-auth-rule" selector="harsh"/>
    <cdf:select idref="password-len-rule" selected="1"/>
    <cdf:select idref="audit-cluster" selected="1"/>
    <cdf:select idref="telnet-disabled-rule" selected="1"/>
    <cdf:select idref="telnet-settings-cluster" selected="0"/>
</cdf:Value>
```
<TestResult>

The TestResult object encapsulates the result of applying a Benchmark to one target system. The TestResult element normally appears as the child of the Benchmark element, although it may also appear as the top-level element of a file.

Content: elements
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Benchmark
Attributes: id, start-time, end-time, Id
Child Elements: title, remark, profile, set-value, target, target-address, target-facts, rule-result, score

The id attribute is a mandatory unique-id for a test result. The start-time and end-time attributes must have the format of a timestamp; the end-time attribute is mandatory, and gives the time that the application of the Benchmark completed.

The example below shows a TestResult object with a few rule-result children.

Example 6 – Example of XCCDF Benchmark Test Results

```xml
<cdf:TestResult id="ios-test5" end-time="2004-09-25T7:45:02-04:00" xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1">
  <cdf:benchmark href="ios-sample-12.4.xccdf.xml"/>
  <cdf:title>Sample Results Block</cdf:title>
  <cdf:remark>Test run by Bob on Sept 25</cdf:remark>
  <cdf:target>lower.test.net</cdf:target>
  <cdf:target-address>192.168.248.1</cdf:target-address>
  <cdf:target-address>2001:8::1</cdf:target-address>
  <cdf:target-facts>
    <cdf:fact name="urn:xccdf:fact:OS:IOS">1</cdf:fact>
  </cdf:target-facts>
  <cdf:set-value idref="exec-timeout-time">10</cdf:set-value>
  <cdf:rule-result idref="ios12-no-finger-service" time="2004-09-25T13:45:00-04:00">
    <cdf:result>pass</cdf:result>
  </cdf:rule-result>
  <cdf:rule-result idref="req-exec-timeout" time="2004-09-25T13:45:06-04:00">
    <cdf:result>fail</cdf:result>
    <cdf:instance>console</cdf:instance>
    <cdf:fix>
      line console
      exec-timeout 10 0
    </cdf:fix>
  </cdf:rule-result>
</cdf:TestResult>
```
<benchmark>

This simple element may only appear as the child of a TestResult. It indicates the Benchmark for which the TestResult records results. It has one attribute, which gives the URI of the Benchmark XCCDF document. It must be an empty element.

- Content: none
- Cardinality: 0-1
- Parent Elements: TestResult
- Attributes: href
- Child Elements: none

The Benchmark element should be used only in a standalone TestResult file (an XCCDF document file whose root element is TestResult).

<check>

This element holds a specification for how to check compliance with a Rule. It may appear as a child of a Rule element, or in somewhat abbreviated form as a child of a rule-result element inside a TestResult object.

The child elements of the check element specify the values to pass to a checking engine, and the logic for the checking engine to apply. The logic may be embedded directly as inline text or XML data, or may be a reference to an element of an external file indicated by a URI. If the compliance checking system uses XML namespaces, then the system attribute for the system should be its namespace. The default or nominal content for a check element is a compliance test expressed as an OVAL Definition or a reference to an OVAL Definition, with the system attribute set to the OVAL namespace.

The check element may also be used as part of a TestResult rule-result element; in that case it holds or refers to detailed output from the checking engine.

- Content: elements
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: Rule, rule-result
- Attributes: id, selector, system
- Child Elements: check-export, check-content-ref, check-content
A check element may have a selector attribute, which may be referenced from a Benchmark Profile as a means of refining the application of the Rule. When Profiles are not used, then all check elements with non-empty selectors are ignored.

Several check elements may appear as children of the same Rule element. Sibling check elements must have different values for the combination of their selector and system attributes, and different values for their id attribute (if any). A tool processing the Benchmark for compliance checking must pick at most one check or complex-check element to process for each Rule.

The check element may contain zero or more check-export elements, followed by zero or more check-content-ref elements, followed by at most one check-content element. If two or more check-content-ref elements appear, then they represent alternative locations from which a tool may obtain the check content. Tools should process the alternatives in order, and use the first one found. If both check-content-ref elements and check-content elements appear, tools should use the check-content only if all references are inaccessible.

When a check element is a child of a rule-result object check-export elements must not appear.

<check-export>

This specifies a mapping from an XCCDF Value object to a checking system variable. The value-id attribute must match the id attribute of a Value object in the Benchmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content:</th>
<th>none</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardinality:</td>
<td>0-n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Elements:</td>
<td>check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes:</td>
<td>value-id, export-name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Elements:</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<check-content>

This element holds the actual code of a Benchmark compliance check, in the language or system specified by the check element’s system attribute. Exactly one of check-content or check-content-ref must appear in each check element. The body of this element can be any XML, but cannot contain any XCCDF elements. XCCDF tools are not required to process this element; typically it will be passed to a checking system or engine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content:</th>
<th>any non-XCCDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardinality:</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Elements:</td>
<td>check</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributes:</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Elements:</td>
<td>special</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<check-content-ref>

This element points to a Benchmark compliance check, in the language or system specified by the check element’s system attribute. Exactly one of check-content or check-content-ref must appear in each check element. The ‘href’ attribute identifies the document, and the optional name attribute may be used to refer to a particular part, element, or component of the document.

- Content: none
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: check
- Attributes: href, name
- Child Elements: none

<choices>

The choices element may be a child of a Value, and it enumerates one or more legal values for the Value. If the boolean ‘mustMatch’ attribute is true, then the list represents all the legal values; if mustMatch is absent or false, then the list represents suggested values, but other values might also be legal (subject to the parent Value’s upper-bound, lower-bound, or match attributes). The choices element may have a selector attribute that is used for tailoring via a Profile. The list given by this element is intended for use during tailoring and document generation; it has no role in Benchmark compliance checking.

- Content: elements
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: Value
- Attributes: mustMatch, selector
- Child Elements: choice

(choice>

This string element is used to hold a possible legal value for a Value object. It must appear as the child of a choices element, and has no attributes or child elements.

- Content: string
- Cardinality: 1-n
- Parent Elements: choices
- Attributes: none
- Child Elements: none

If a tool presents the choice values from a choices element to a user, they should be presented in the order in which they appear.
<complex-check>

This element may only appear as a child of a Rule. It contains a boolean expression composed of operators (and, or, not) and individual checks.

- **Content:** elements
- **Cardinality:** 0-1
- **Parent Elements:** Rule
- **Attributes:** operator, negate
- **Child Elements:** complex-check, check

Truth tables for boolean operation in complex checks are given below; all the abbreviations in the truth tables come from the description of the ‘result’ element in the TestResult object (see page 48).

With an “AND” operator, the complex-check evaluates to Pass only if all of its enclosed terms (checks and complex-checks) evaluate to Pass. For purposes of evaluation, Pass (P) and Fixed (X) are considered equivalent. The truth table for “AND” is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AND</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ‘OR’ operator evaluates to Pass if any of its enclosed terms evaluate to Pass. The truth table for “OR” is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OR</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the negate attribute is set to true, then the result of the complex-check must be complemented (inverted). The full truth table for negation is given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>not</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>not</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The example below shows a complex-check with several components.

Example 7 – Example of XCCDF Complex Check

```xml
<cdf:complex-check operator="OR">
  <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="xpDefs.xml" name="XP-P1"/>
  </cdf:check>
  <cdf:complex-check operator="AND" negate="1">
    <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
      <cdf:check-content-ref href="xpDefs.xml" name="XP-CX"/>
    </cdf:check>
    <cdf:check system="http://www.cisecurity.org/xccdf/interactive/1.0">
      <cdf:check-content-ref href="xpInter.xml" name="XP-6"/>
    </cdf:check>
  </cdf:complex-check>
</cdf:complex-check>
```

<conflicts>

The conflicts element may be a child of any Group or Rule, and it specifies a list of the id properties of other Group or Rule item whose selection conflicts with this one. Each conflicts element specifies a single conflicting Item using its ‘idref’ attribute; if the semantics of the Benchmark need multiple conflicts, then multiple conflicts elements may appear. A conflicts element must be empty.

- **Content:** none
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Group, Rule
- **Attributes:** idref
- **Child Elements:** none

<cpe-list>

This element holds names and descriptions for one or more platforms, using the XML schema defined for the Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) [16]. CPE Names are URIs, and may be used for all platform identification in an XCCDF document.

- **Content:** elements (from the CPE schema)
- **Cardinality:** 0-1
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark
- **Attributes:** none
- **Child Elements:** cpe-item
<default>

This string element is used to hold the default or reset value of a Value object. It may only appear as a child of a Value element, and has no child elements. This element may have a selector attribute, which may be used to designate different defaults for different Benchmark Profiles.

Content: string
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Value
Attributes: selector
Child Elements: none

<description>

This element provides the descriptive text for a Benchmark, Rule, Group, or Value. It has no attributes. Multiple description elements may appear with different values for their xml:lang attribute (see also next section).

Content: mixed
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Benchmark, Group, Rule, Value, Profile
Attributes: xml:lang, override
Child Elements: sub, xhtml elements

The ‘sub’ element may appear inside a description, and in many other descriptive text elements. During document generation, each instance of the ‘sub’ element should be replaced by the title of the Item or other object to which it refers. For more information, see page 36.

<fact>

This element holds a single type-name-value fact about the target of a test. The name is a URI. Pre-defined names start with “urn:xccdf:fact”, but tool developers may define additional platform-specific and tool-specific facts.

Content: string
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: target-facts
Attributes: name, type
Child Elements: none

The following types are supported: “number”, “string”, and “boolean” (the default).
This element may appear as the child of a Rule element, or a rule-result element. When it appears as a child of a Rule element, it contains string data for a command, script, or procedure that should bring the target into compliance with the Rule. It may not contain XHTML formatting. The fix element may contain XCCDF Value substitutions specified with the sub element, or instance name substitution specified with an instance element.

Content: mixed
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Rule, rule-result
Attributes: id, complexity, disruption, platform, reboot, strategy, system
Child Elements: instance, sub

The fix element supports several attributes that the Rule author can use to provide additional information about the remediation that the fix element contains. The attributes and their permissible values are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>A local id for the fix, which allows fixtext elements to refer to it. These need not be unique; several fix elements might have the same id but different values for the other attributes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexity</td>
<td>A keyword that indicates the complexity or difficulty of applying the fix to the target. Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- unknown – default, complexity not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- low – the fix is very simple to apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- medium – fix is moderately difficult or complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- high – the fix is very complex to apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disruption</td>
<td>A keyword that designates the potential for disruption or degradation of target operation. Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- unknown – default, disruption not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- low – little or no disruption expected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- medium – potential for minor or short-lived disruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- high – potential for serious disruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platform</td>
<td>A platform identifier; this should appear on a fix when the content applies to only one platform out of several to which the Rule could apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reboot</td>
<td>Boolean – if remediation will require a reboot or hard reset of the target (‘1’ means reboot required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy</td>
<td>A keyword that designates the approach or method that the fix uses. Allowed values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- unknown – default, strategy not defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attribute</td>
<td>Values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>configure</td>
<td>adjust target configuration/settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patch</td>
<td>apply a patch, hotfix, update, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disable</td>
<td>turn off or uninstall a target component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enable</td>
<td>turn on or install a target component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restrict</td>
<td>adjust permissions, access rights, filters, or other access restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>policy</td>
<td>remediation requires out-of-band adjustments to policies or procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>combination</td>
<td>strategy is a combination or two or more approaches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The platform attribute defines the platform for which the fix is intended, if its parent Rule applied to multiple platforms. The value of the platform attribute should be one of the platform strings defined for the Benchmark. If the fix’s platform attribute is not given, then the fix applies to all platforms to which its enclosing Rule applies.

As a special case, fix elements may also appear as children of a rule-result element in a TestResult. In this case, the fix element should not any child elements, its content should be a simple string. When a fix element is the child of rule-result, it is assumed to have been ‘instantiated’ by the testing tool, and any substitutions or platform selection already made.

**<fixtext>**

This element, which may only appear as a child of a Rule element, provides text that explains how to bring a target system into compliance with the Rule. Multiple instances may appear in a Rule, with different attribute values.

- Content: mixed
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: Rule
- Attributes: xml:lang, fixref, disruption, reboot, strategy, override
- Child Elements: sub, xhtml elements

The fixtext element and its counterpart, the fix element, are fairly complex. They can accept a number of attributes that describe aspects of the remediation. The xml:lang attribute designates the locale for which the text was written; it is expected that fix elements usually will be locale-independent. The following attributes may appear on the fixtext element (for details about most of them, refer to the table under the fix element definition, p. 55).
### Attribute Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fixref</td>
<td>A reference to the id of a fix element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complexity</td>
<td>A keyword that indicates the difficulty or complexity of applying the described fix to the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disruption</td>
<td>A keyword that designates the potential for disruption or degradation of target operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reboot</td>
<td>Boolean – if the remediation described in the fixtext will require a reboot or reset of the target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategy</td>
<td>A keyword that designates the approach or method that the fix uses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fixtext element may contain XHTML elements, to aid in formatting.

#### <front-matter>

This element contains textual content intended for use during Document Generation processing only; it is introductory matter that should appear at or near the beginning of the generated document. Multiple instances may appear with different xml:lang values.

- **Content:** mixed
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark
- **Attributes:** xml:lang
- **Child Elements:** sub, xhtml elements

#### <ident>

This element contains a string (name) which is a long-term globally meaningful identifier in some naming scheme. The content of the element is the name, and the system attribute contains a URI which designates the organization or scheme that assigned the name (see Section 8 for assigned URIs).

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark
- **Attributes:** system
- **Child Elements:** none
<instance>

The instance element may appear in two situations. First, it may appear as part of a TestResult, as a child of a rule-result element; in that situation it contains the name of a target component to which a Rule was applied, in the case of multiply-instantiated rules.

- Content: string
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: rule-result
- Attributes: context, parentContext
- Child Elements: none

If the context attribute is omitted, the value of the context defaults to “undefined”. At most one instance child of a rule-result may have a context of “undefined”.

Second, the instance element may appear as part of a Rule, as a child of the fix element. In that situation it represents a place in the fix text where the name of a target component should be substituted, in the case of multiply-instantiated rules.

- Content: none
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: fix
- Attributes: context
- Child Elements: none

If the context attribute is omitted, the value of the context defaults to “undefined”.

<lower-bound>

This element may appear zero or more times as a child of a Value element. It is used to constrain value input during tailoring, when the Value’s type is “number”. It contains a number; values supplied by the user for tailoring the Benchmark must be no less than this number. This element may have a selector tag attribute, which identifies it for Value refinement by a Profile. If more than one lower-bound element appears as the child of a Value, at most one of them may omit the selector attribute.

- Content: number
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: Value
- Attributes: selector
- Child Elements: none
<match>

This element may appear zero or more times as a child of a Value element. It is used to constrain value input during tailoring. It contains a regular expression that a user’s input for the value must match. This element may have a selector tag attribute, which identifies it for Value refinement by a Profile. If more than one match element appears as the child of a Value, at most one of them may omit the selector attribute.

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Value
- **Attributes:** selector
- **Child Elements:** none

<message>

This element is optional, but may appear one or more times as a child of a rule-result element inside a TestResult object. It holds a single informational or error message that was returned by the checking engine.

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** rule-result
- **Attributes:** severity
- **Child Elements:** none

The severity attribute denotes the seriousness or conditions of the message. In XCCDF schema version 1.1.3.2 there are three message severity values: “error”, “warning”, and “info”. These elements do not affect scoring; they are present merely to convey diagnostic information from the checking engine. XCCDF tools that deal with TestResult data might choose to log these messages or display them to the user.

<metadata>

The metadata element is optional, but may appear one or more times as a child of the Benchmark element. It contains document metadata expressed in XML. The default format for Benchmark document metadata is the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI) Simple DC Element specification, as described in [11] and [13]. An example of the default format is shown in Example 8. Tools, especially document generation tools, should be prepared to process Dublin Core metadata in this element.

- **Content:** element
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Benchmark
Another suitable metadata format for XCCDF Benchmarks is the XML description format mandated by NIST for its Security Configuration Checklist Program [12].

Example 8 – Example of Benchmark Metadata Expressed with Dublin Core Elements

```xml
<cdf:metadata xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
    xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.0"
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    xsi:schemaLocation="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.0 http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.0.xsd">
  <dc:title>Security Benchmark for Ethernet Hubs</dc:title>
  <dc:creator>James Smith</dc:creator>
  <dc:publisher>Center for Internet Security</dc:publisher>
  <dc:subject>network security for layer 2 devices</dc:subject>
</cdf:metadata>
```

This element contains a specification for a suggested scoring model. This element may only appear as a child of a Benchmark, and has one mandatory attribute: the URI of the scoring model. Some models may need additional parameters; to support such a model, zero or more ‘param’ elements may appear as children of the ‘model’ element.

This specification defines the following three scoring model URIs; compliance checking tool developers may define additional models. For more information see Section 3.3.

- **urn:xccdf:scoring:default** – this is the default weighted aggregated model. All tools must support this model, and it is the default for Benchmarks that do not include any other model specifications.
- **urn:xccdf:scoring:flat** – this simple model computes the sum of the weights of rules that pass. All tools should support this model.
- **urn:xccdf:scoring:flat-unweighted** – this simplest of all models simply computes the number of rules that passed. It does not use weights. All tools should support this model.

An override rule result status. This element appears in an override element, inside a rule-result element, in a TestResult object. Its content must be one of the result status values listed in Section 3.2.
Content:   string  
Cardinality:   1  
Parent Elements: override  
Attributes: none  
Child Elements: none  

<notice>

This string element may only appear as the child of a Benchmark element, and supplies legal notice or copyright text about the Benchmark document. It may not contain any child elements. The id attribute must be a unique identifier.

Content: mixed  
Cardinality: 0-n  
Parent Elements: Benchmark  
Attributes: id  
Child Elements: xhtml elements  

The notice element may contain XHTML markup to give it internal structure and formatting.

<old-result>

This element holds an overridden rule result status. This element appears in an override element, inside a rule-result element, in a TestResult object. Its content must be one of the result status values listed in Section 3.2.

Content: string  
Cardinality: 1  
Parent Elements: override  
Attributes: none  
Child Elements: none  

<override>

This element may appear only as a child of a rule-result, and represents a human override of a Benchmark rule check result. It consists of five parts: a timestamp, the name of the human authority for the override, the old and new result status values, and remark text.

Content: elements  
Cardinality: 0-n  
Parent Elements: rule-result  
Attributes: time, authority
Child Elements:  old-result, new-result, remark

Example 9, below, shows how an override block would appear in a rule-result.

Example 9 – Example of rule-result Element with an Override

```xml
<cdf:rule-result idref="rule76"
    time="2005-04-26T14:38:19Z" severity="low">
    <cdf:result>pass</cdf:result>
    <cdf:override time="2005-04-26T15:03:20Z" authority="Bob Smith">
        <cdf:old-result>fail</cdf:old-result>
        <cdf:new-result>pass</cdf:new-result>
        <cdf:remark>
            Manual inspection showed this rule be satisfied. The relevant registry key was protected per policy, but with a more restrictive ACL than the benchmark was designed to check. The rule result has been overridden to ‘pass’.
        </cdf:remark>
    </cdf:override>
    <cdf:instance context="registry">
        HKLM\SOFTWARE\Policies
    </cdf:instance>
    <cdf:check system="http://www.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
        <cdf:check-content-ref href="oval-out.xml" name="OVAL123"/>
    </cdf:check>
</cdf:rule-result>
```

Note: if an override is added to a rule-result, then it will break any digital signature applied to the enclosing TestResult object.

<param>

This element may appear only as a child of a model element. It supplies a parameter that the compliance checking tool will need when computing the score using that model. None of the scoring models defined in the XCCDF 1.1 specification require parameters, but proprietary models may.

| Content:   | string |
| Cardinality: | 0-n |
| Parent Elements: | model |
| Attributes: | name |
| Child Elements: | none |

Param elements with equal values for the name attribute may not appear as children of the same model element.
<plain-text>

This element holds a re-usable chunk of text for a Benchmark. It may be used anywhere that
XHTML content or the XCCDF sub element may be used. Each plain-text definition must have
a unique id.

- **Content**: string
- **Cardinality**: 0-n
- **Parent Elements**: Benchmark,
- **Attributes**: id
- **Child Elements**: none

Note that plain-text definitions may only appear as children of Benchmark. The id on a plain-
text definition must not be equal to any of the ids on Value, Group, or Rule objects.

<platform>

The ‘platform’ element specifies a target platform for the Benchmark or a particular Item,
Profile, or TestResult. This element has no content; the platform identifier appears in the ‘idref’
attribute. Multiple ‘platform’ elements may appear as children of a Benchmark, Item, or Profile,
if the Benchmark or Item is suitable for multiple kinds of target systems.

- **Content**: none
- **Cardinality**: 0-n
- **Parent Elements**: Benchmark, Group, Rule, Value, TestResult
- **Attributes**: idref, override
- **Child Elements**: none

The platform element is optional. It indicates a platform with a CPE Name. The CPE Name
may be defined in the Benchmark cpe-list element.

The override attribute may not appear when the platform element is a child of a TestResult.

<platform-definitions>, <Platform-Specification>

Each of these elements contains information about platforms to which the Benchmark may
apply. The <platform-definitions> element contains a set of platform component and platform
definitions using the CIS platform schema; it is permitted in XCCDF 1.1 for compatibility with
1.0. Both of these elements are deprecated, and appear in this specification only for backward
compatibility. Common Platform Enumeration (CPE) Names should be used instead, see page
53.

- **Content**: elements
- **Cardinality**: 0-1
Parent Elements: Benchmark
Attributes: none
Child Elements: special

<profile>
This element specifies the Benchmark Profile used in applying a Benchmark; it can appear only as a child of a TestResult element.

Content: none
Cardinality: 0-1
Parent Elements: TestResult
Attributes: idref
Child Elements: none

<profile-note>
This element holds descriptive text about how one or more Profiles affect a Rule. It can appear only as a child of the Rule element.

Content: mixed
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Rule
Attributes: tag, xml:lang
Child Elements: sub, xhtml elements

The mandatory ‘tag’ attribute holds an identifier; Profiles can refer to this identifier using the ‘note-tag’ attribute.

<question>
This element specifies an interrogatory string with which to prompt the user during tailoring. It may also be included into a generated document. Note that this element may not contain any XCCDF child elements, nor may it contain XHTML formatting elements. Multiple instances may appear with different xml:lang attributes.

Content: string
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Group, Rule, Value
Attributes: xml:lang, override
Child Elements: none
For Rule and Group objects, the question text should be a simple binary (yes/no) question, because tailoring for Rules and Groups is for selection only. For Value objects, the question should reflect the designed data value needed for tailoring.

<rationale>
This element, which may appear as a child of a Group or Rule element, provides text that explains why that Group or Rule is important to the security of a target platform.

Content: mixed
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Group, Rule
Attributes: xml:lang, override
Child Elements: sub, xhtml elements

<rear-matter>
This element contains textual content intended for use during Document Generation processing only; it is concluding material that should appear at or near the end of the generated document. Multiple instances may appear with different xml:lang attributes.

Content: mixed
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Benchmark
Attributes: xml:lang
Child Elements: sub, xhtml elements

<reference>
This element provides supplementary descriptive text for a Benchmark, Rule, Group, or Value. It may have a simple string value, or a value consisting of simple Dublin Core elements as described in [13]. It may also have an attribute, ‘href’, giving a URL for the referenced resource. Multiple reference elements may appear; a document generation processing tool may concatenate them, or put them into a reference list, and may choose to number them.

Content: string or elements
Cardinality: 0-n
Parent Elements: Benchmark, Group, Rule, Value, Profile
Attributes: xml:lang, href
Child Elements: none or Dublin Core Elements

References should be given as Dublin Core descriptions; a bare string is allowed for simplicity. If a bare string appears, then it is taken to be the string content for a Dublin Core ‘title’ element. For more information, consult [11].
<refine-rule>

This element adjusts the check tailoring selector, weight, severity, and role properties of a Rule. It has five attributes: the id of a Rule (mandatory), and adjusted values for check selector, weight, severity, and role (all optional).

- **Content:** `none`
- **Cardinality:** `0-n`
- **Parent Elements:** Profile
- **Attributes:** `idref`, role, selector, severity, weight
- **Child Elements:** `none`

The ‘idref’ attribute must match the id attribute of a Rule, or a cluster-id of one or more Items in the Benchmark. The ‘idref’ attribute values of sibling refine-rule element children of a Profile must be different. When the ‘idref’ attribute refers to a Group, or if some of the Items in a cluster are Groups, then only the ‘weight’ attribute applies to them.

Selector tags apply only to the check children of a Rule. If the selector tag specified in a refine-rule element in a Profile does not match any of the selectors specified on any of the check children of a Rule, then the check child element without a selector attribute must be used.

<refine-value>

This element specifies the selector tag to be applied when tailoring a Value during use of a particular Profile. It has three attributes: the id of a Value or Item cluster (mandatory), the id of a Value selector tag, and a new setting for the Value operator.

- **Content:** `none`
- **Cardinality:** `0-n`
- **Parent Elements:** Profile
- **Attributes:** `idref`, operator, selector
- **Child Elements:** `none`

The ‘idref’ attribute must match the id attribute of a Value, or a cluster-id of one or more Items in the Benchmark. The ‘idref’ attribute values of sibling refine-value element children of a Profile must be different.

The ‘operator’ attribute of the refine-value element can be used to override or replace the operator attribute of a Value. This is useful for refining the semantics of a Value.

Selector tags apply to the following child elements of Value: choices, default, value, match, lower-bound, and upper-bound. If the selector tag specified in a refine-value element in a Profile does not match any of the selectors specified on any of the Value children, then the child with no selector tag is used. The example below illustrates how selector tags and the refine-value element work.
Example 10 – Example of Profile refine-value Selector Tags

```xml
<cdf:Value id="pw-length" type="number" operator="equals">
  <cdf:title>Minimum password length policy</cdf:title>
  <cdf:value>8</cdf:value>
  <cdf:value selector="high">14</cdf:value>
  <cdf:lower-bound>8</cdf:lower-bound>
  <cdf:lower-bound selector="high">12</cdf:lower-bound>
</cdf:Value>
<cdf:Profile id="enterprise-internet">
  <cdf:title>Enterprise internet server profile</cdf:title>
  <cdf:refine-value idref="pw-length" selector="high"/>
</cdf:Profile>
<cdf:Profile id="home">
  <cdf:title>Home host profile</cdf:title>
</cdf:Profile>
```

<remark>

The remark element may appear as the child of a TestResult or override element; it contains a textual remark about the test.

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 0-n (TestResult), 1 (override)
- **Parent Elements:** TestResult, override
- **Attributes:** xml:lang
- **Child Elements:** none

The remark content may not contain any XHTML tags or other structure; it must be a plain string.

<requires>

The requires element may be a child of any Group or Rule, and it specifies the id properties of one or more other Group or Rule which, at least one of which must be selected in order for this Item to be selected. In a sense, the requires element is the opposite of the conflicts element. Each requires element specifies a list of one or more required Items by their ids, using the ‘idref’ attribute. If more than one id is given, then if at least one of the specified Groups or Rules is selected, then the requirement is met.

- **Content:** none
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Group, Rule
- **Attributes:** idref
- **Child Elements:** none
<result>

This simple element holds the verdict of applying a Benchmark Rule to a target or component of a target. It may have only one of nine values: “pass”, “fail”, “error”, “unknown”, “notchecked”, “notapplicable”, “notselected”, “fixed” or “informational”. For more information see page 30.

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 1
- **Parent Elements:** rule-result
- **Attributes:** None
- **Child Elements:** None

<rule-result>

This element holds the result of applying a Rule from the Benchmark to a target system or component of a target system. It may only appear as the child of a TestResult element.

- **Content:** elements
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** TestResult
- **Attributes:** idref, role, time, severity, version
- **Child Elements:** result, override, ident, message, instance, fix, check

The ‘idref’ attribute of a rule-result element must refer to a Rule element in the Benchmark. The result child element expresses the result (pass, fail, error, etc.) of applying the Rule to the target system. If the Rule is multiply instantiated, the instance elements indicate the particular system component. If present, the override element provides information about a human override of a computed result status value.

<score>

This element contains the weighted score for a Benchmark test, as a real number. Scoring models are defined in Section 3.3. This element may only appear as a child of a TestResult element.

- **Content:** string (non-negative number)
- **Cardinality:** 1-n
- **Parent Elements:** TestResult
- **Attributes:** system, maximum
- **Child Elements:** none

The system attribute, a URI, identifies the scoring model (see the description of the model element on page 60 for a list of pre-defined models). If the system attribute does not appear,
then the model used was the default model. The maximum attribute, a real number, gives the maximum possible value of the score for this Benchmark test. If the maximum attribute does not appear, then it is taken to have a value of 100.

**<select>**

This element is part of a Profile; it overrides the selected attribute of a Rule or Group. Two attributes must be given with this element: the id of a Rule or Group (idref), and a boolean value (selected). If the boolean value is given as true, then the Rule or Group is selected for this Profile, otherwise it is unselected for this Profile.

- **Content:** none
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Profile
- **Attributes:** idref, selected
- **Child Elements:** none

The ‘idref’ attribute must match the id attribute of a Group or Rule in the Benchmark, or the cluster id assigned to one or more Rules or Groups. The ‘idref’ attribute values of sibling select element children of a Profile must be different.

**<set-value>**

This element specifies a value for a Value object. It may appear as part of a Profile; in that case it overrides the value property of a Value object. It may appear as part of a TestResult; in that case it supplies the value used in the test. This element has one mandatory attribute and no child elements.

- **Content:** string
- **Cardinality:** 0-n
- **Parent Elements:** Profile, TestResult
- **Attributes:** idref
- **Child Elements:** none

In the content of a Profile, the identifier given for the ‘idref’ attribute may be a cluster id, in which case it applies only to the Value item members of the cluster; in the context of a TestResult, the identifier must match the id of a Value object in the Benchmark. The ‘idref’ attribute values of sibling set-value element children of a Profile must be different.

**<signature>**

This element can hold an enveloped digital signature expressed according to the XML Digital Signature standard [9]. This element takes no attributes, and must contain exactly one element from the XML-Signature namespace.
At most one enveloped signature can appear in an XCCDF document. If multiple signatures are needed, others must be detached signatures.

The signature element should contain one child element, Signature from the XML-Signature namespace, and that Signature should contain exactly one Reference to the block enclosing the signature. The Reference URI should be a relative URI to the Id attribute value of the enclosing object. For example, if the Id="abc", then the Reference URI would be "#abc".

<source>

The source element contains a URI indicating where a tailoring or benchmarking tool might obtain the value, or information about the value, for a Value object. XCCDF does not attach any meaning to the URI; it may be an arbitrary community or tool-specific value, or a pointer directly to a resource.

If several values for the source property appear, then they represent alternative means or locations for obtaining the value, in descending order of preference (i.e., most preferred first).

<status>

This element provides a revision or standardization status for a Benchmark, along with the date at which the Benchmark attained that status. It must appear once in a Benchmark object, and may appear once in any Item. If an Item does not have its own status element, its status is that of its parent element. The permitted string values for status are “accepted”, “deprecated”, “draft”, “interim”, and “incomplete”.

If several values for the source property appear, then they represent alternative means or locations for obtaining the value, in descending order of preference (i.e., most preferred first).
A Benchmark must have at least one status child element.

**<sub>**

This element represents a reference to a parameter value that may be set during tailoring. The element never has any content, and must have its single attribute, value. The value attribute must equal the id attribute of a Value object or plain-text definition.

- Content: none
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: description, fix, fixtext, front-matter, rationale, rear-matter, title, warning
- Attributes: idref
- Child Elements: none

**<target>**

This element gives the name or description of a target system to which a Benchmark test was applied. It may only appear as a child of a TestResult element.

- Content: string
- Cardinality: 1
- Parent Elements: TestResult
- Attributes: none
- Child Elements: none

**<target-address>**

This element gives the network address of a target system to which a Benchmark test was applied. It may only appear as a child of a TestResult element.

- Content: string
- Cardinality: 0-n
- Parent Elements: TestResult
- Attributes: none
- Child Elements: none

**<target-facts>**

The TestResult object must be able to hold an arbitrary set of facts about the target of a test. This element holds those facts, each one of which is a fact element. It is an optional member of TestResult.
Content: string  
Cardinality: 0-1  
Parent Elements: TestResult  
Attributes: none  
Child Elements: fact

**<title>**

This element provides the descriptive title for a Benchmark, Rule, Group, or Value. Multiple instances may appear with different languages (different values of the xml:lang attribute).

Content: string  
Cardinality: 0-n  
Parent Elements: Benchmark, Value, Group, Rule, Profile, TestResult  
Attributes: xml:lang, override  
Child Elements: none

This element may not contain XHTML markup.

The ‘override’ attribute controls how the title property is inherited, if the Item in which it appears extends another Item.

**<upper-bound>**

This element may appear zero or more times as a child of a Value element. It is used to constrain value input during tailoring, when the Value’s type is “number”. It contains a number; values supplied by the user for tailoring the Benchmark must be no greater than this number. This element may have a selector tag attribute, which identifies it for Value refinement by a Profile. If more than one upper-bound element appears as the child of a Value, at most one may omit the selector attribute.

Content: number  
Cardinality: 0-n  
Parent Elements: Value  
Attributes: selector  
Child Elements: none

**<value>**

This string element is used to hold the value of a Value object. It must appear as the child of a Value element, and no child elements. This element may have a selector tag attribute, which identifies it for Value refinement by a Profile. This element may appear more than once, but at most one of the sibling instances of this element may omit the selector tag.
<version>

This element gives a version number for a Benchmark, Group, Rule, Value, or Profile. The version number content may be any string. This element allows an optional time attribute, which is a timestamp of when the Object was defined. This element also allows an optional update attribute, which should be the URI specifying where updates to the Object may be obtained.

<warning>

This element provides supplementary descriptive text for a Benchmark, Rule, Group, or Value. It has no attributes. Multiple warning elements may appear; processing tools should concatenate them for generating reports or documents (see also next section).

4.3. Handling Text and String Content

This sub-section provides additional information about how XCCDF processing tools must handle textual content in Benchmarks.
XHTML Formatting and Locale

Some text-valued XCCDF elements may contain formatting specified with elements from the XHTML Core Recommendation.

Many of the string and textual elements of XCCDF are listed as appearing multiple times under the same parent element. These elements, listed below, can have an xml:lang attribute that specifies the natural language locale for which they are written (e.g., “en” for English, “fr” for French). A processing tool should employ these attributes when possible during tailoring, document generation, and producing compliance reports, to create localized output. An example of using the xml:lang attribute is shown below.

Example 11 – A Simple Value Object with Questions in Different Languages

```xml
<cdf:Value id="web-server-port" type="number">
  <cdf:title>Web Server Port</cdf:title>
  <cdf:question xml:lang="en">
    What is the web server’s TCP port?
  </cdf:question>
  <cdf:question xml:lang="fr">
    Quel est le port du TCP du web serveur?
  </cdf:question>
  <cdf:value>80</cdf:value>
</cdf:Value>
```

Multiple values for the same property in a single Item are handled differently, as described below. Multiple instances with different values of their xml:lang attribute are always permitted; an Item with no value for the xml:lang attribute is taken to have the same language as the Benchmark itself (as given by the xml:lang attribute on the Benchmark element).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Inheritance Behavior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>description, title, fixtext, rationale, question, front-matter, rear-matter</td>
<td>At most one instance per language; inherited values with the same language get replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>warning, reference, notice</td>
<td>Multiple instances treated as an ordered list; inherited instances prepended to the list</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The platform element may also appear multiple times, each with a different id, to express the notion that a Rule, Group, Benchmark, or Profile applies to several different products or systems.

String Substitution and Reference Processing

There are three kinds of string substitution and one kind of reference processing that XCCDF document generation and reporting tools must support.

1. XCCDF `sub` element - The sub element supports substitution of information from a Value object, or the string content of a plain-text definition. The formatting for a sub element reference to a Value object is implementation-dependent for document generation, as described in Section 3.3.
Formatting for a sub element reference to a plain-text definition is very simple: the string content of the plain-text definition replaces the sub element.

2. **XHTML object** element -
   The object element supports substitutions of a variety of information from another Item or Profile, or the string content of a plain-text definition. To avoid possible conflicts with uses of an XHTML object that should not be processed specially, all XCCDF object references must be a relative URI beginning with “#xccdf:”. The following URI values can be used to refer to things from an "object" element, using the "data" attribute:
   
   - **#xccdf:value:id**
     Insert the value of the plain-text block, Value, or fact with id \textit{id}. When a URI of this form is used, the value of the reference should be substituted for the entire “object” element and its content (if any). In keeping with the standard semantics of XHTML, if the \textit{id} cannot be resolved, then the textual content of the “object” element should be retained.
   
   - **#xccdf:title:id**
     Insert the string content of the “title” child of the Item with id \textit{id}. Use the current language value locale setting, if any. When a URI of this form is used, the title string should be substituted for the entire “object” element and its content (if any). In keeping with the standard semantics of XHTML, if the \textit{id} cannot be resolved, then the textual content of the “object” element should be retained.

3. **XHTML anchor (a) element** -
   The anchor element can be used to create an intra-document link to another XCCDF Item or Profile. To avoid possible conflicts with uses of the XHTML anchor element that should not be processed specially, all XCCDF anchor references must be a relative URI beginning with “#xccdf:”. The following URI values can be used to refer to things from an anchor (“a”) element, using the ‘href’ attribute:
   
   - **#xccdf:link:id**
     Create an intra-document link to the point in the document where the Item \textit{id} is described. The content of the element should be the text of the link.
5. Conclusions

The XCCDF specification defines a means for expressing security guidance documents in a way that should foster development of interoperable tools and content. It is designed to permit the same document to serve in several roles:

- Source code for generation of publication documents and hardcopy
- Script for eliciting local security policy settings and values from a user
- Structure for containing and organizing code that drives system analysis and configuration checking engines
- Source code for text to appear in security policy compliance reports
- A record of a compliance test, including the results of applying various rules
- Structure for expressing compliance scoring/weighting decisions.

XCCDF 1.1 was designed as a compatible extension of 1.0, based on suggestions from early adopters and potential users. Many features have been added, but every valid 1.0 document should be a valid 1.1 document, once the namespace is adjusted. The forward compatibility will help ensure that content written for XCCDF 1.0 will not be made obsolete by the 1.1 specification. XCCDF 1.1.3 is a minor update of the specification and schema of XCCDF 1.1, mainly to add clarity and fix problems identified by initial users.

Adoption of a common format should permit security professionals, security tool vendors, and system auditors to exchange information more quickly and precisely, and also permit greater automation of security testing and configuration checking.
6. Appendix A – XCCDF Schema

The XML Schema below describes XCCDF in a manner that should allow automatic validation of most aspects of the format. It is not possible to express all of the constraints that XCCDF imposes in a Schema, unfortunately, and a few of the constraints that it is possible to express have been omitted for simplicity.

Whether or not to validate XCCDF XML documents is an implementation decision left to tool developers, but it is strongly recommended.

XCCDF 1.1 Schema (1.1.3.2)

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xsd:schema xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
    xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
    xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
    xmlns:cisp="http://www.cisecurity.org/xccdf/platform/0.2.3"
    xmlns:cdfp="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf-p/1.1"
    xmlns:cpe="http://cpe.mitre.org/XMLSchema/cpe/1.0"
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-Instance"
    targetNamespace="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
    elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            This schema defines the eXtensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF), a data format for defining security benchmarks and checklists, and for recording the results of applying such benchmarks.
            For more information, consult the specification document, "Specification for the Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format", version 1.1 revision 3.

            This schema was developed by Neal Ziring, with ideas and assistance from David Waltermire. The following helpful individuals also contributed ideas to the definition of this schema: David Proulx, Andrew Buttner, Ryan Wilson, Matthew Kerr, Stephen Quinn. Ian Crawford found numerous discrepancies between this schema and the spec document.
            Peter Mell and his colleagues also made many suggestions.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <!-- Import base XML namespace -->
        schemaLocation="xml.xsd">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
                Import the XML namespace because this schema uses the xml:lang and xml:base attributes.
            </xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:import>
</xsd:schema>
```
<!-- Import Dublin Core metadata namespace -->
<xsd:import namespace="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/
    schemaLocation="simpledc20021212.xsd">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Import the simple Dublin Core namespace because this
    schema uses it for benchmark metadata and for references.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
</xsd:import>

<!-- Import CIS platform specification namespace - DEPRECATED -->
<xsd:import namespace="http://www.cisecurity.org/xccdf/platform/0.2.3
    schemaLocation="platform-0.2.3.xsd">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Import the CIS platform schema, which we use for
    describing target IT platforms in the Benchmark. The
    CIS platform schema was designed by David Waltermire.
    Use of the CIS platform schema in XCCDF benchmarks is
    deprecated. The CIS platform schema is included only for
    backward compatibility with version 1.0. Use CPE instead.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
</xsd:import>

<!-- Import XCCDF-P platform specification namespace - DEPRECATED -->
<xsd:import namespace="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf-p/1.1
    schemaLocation="xccdfp-1.1.xsd">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Import the XCCDF-P platform schema, which we use
    for describing target IT platforms in the Benchmark.
    The CIS platform schema was designed by Neal Ziring
    using ideas and concepts developed by DISA, CIS, and
    others. Use of XCCDF-P platform specification in
    XCCDF benchmarks is deprecated. XCCDF-P is included
    in this schema only for backward compatibility with
    version 1.1 and 1.1.2. Use CPE instead.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
</xsd:import>

<!-- Import CPE platform definition namespace -->
<xsd:import namespace="http://cpe.mitre.org/XMLSchema/cpe/1.0
    schemaLocation="cpe-1.0.xsd">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Import the Common Platform Enumeration XML schema,
    which can be used for naming and describing target
    IT platforms in the Benchmark. Every CPE Name is
    a URI that begins with "cpe:". For more information
    consult the document "Common Platform Enumeration (CPE)
    - Name Format and Description" by Buttner, Wittbold,
    and Ziring (2007).
    Note that it is not necessary to define all the
    CPE URIs used in a benchmark; common platforms will
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be defined in a community consensus dictionary. Only
unusual or custom platforms typically will need to be
identified in a CPE cpe-list element in a benchmark.
</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
</xsd:import>

<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<!-- *****************  Benchmark Element  ************************ -->
<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<xsd:element name="Benchmark">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      The benchmark tag is the top level element representing a
      complete security checklist, including descriptive text
      and test items.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:status"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="title" type="cdf:textType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="description" type="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="notice" type="cdf:noticeType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="front-matter" type="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="rear-matter" type="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="reference" type="cdf:referenceType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element name="plain-text" type="cdf:plainTextType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1">
        <xsd:element ref="cisp:platform-definitions"
          minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xsd:element ref="cdfp:Platform-Specification"
          minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xsd:element ref="cpe:cpe-list"
          minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
      </xsd:choice>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:platform"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:version"
        minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      <xsd:element name="metadata" type="cdf:metadataType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:model"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:Profile"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:element ref="cdf:Value"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
        <xsd:element ref="cdf:Group"/>
      </xsd:choice>
    </xsd:sequence>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:element>
<xsd:element ref="cdf:Rule"/>
</xsd:choice>
<xsd:element ref="cdf:TestResult"
  minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
<xsd:element name="signature" type="cdf:signatureType"
  minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
</xsd:sequence>
<xsd:attribute name="id" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<!-- the 'Id' attribute is needed for XML-Signature -->
<xsd:attribute name="Id" type="xsd:ID" use="optional"/>
<xsd:attribute name="resolved" type="xsd:boolean"
  default="false" use="optional"/>
<xsd:attribute ref="xml:lang"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:key name="noticeIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Legal notices must have unique id values.
  </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="cdf:notice"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>
<xsd:key name="itemIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Items must have unique id values, and also they must not collide
  </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath=".//cdf:Value|.//cdf:Group|.//cdf:Rule|.cdf:plain-text"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>
<xsd:key name="modelSystemKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Model system attributes must be unique.
  </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:model"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@system"/>
</xsd:key>
<xsd:key name="valueIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Value item ids are special keys, need this for the valueIdKeyRef and valueExtIdKeyRef keyrefs below.
  </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="."/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>
<xsd:key name="groupIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
    Group item ids are special keys, need this for the groupIdKeyRef keyref below.
  </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="."/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>
<xsd:key name="ruleIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Rule items have a unique key, we need this for the ruleIdKeyRef keyref below. (Rule key refs are used by rule-results.)</xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:Rule"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>

<xsd:key name="selectableItemIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Group and Rule item ids are special keys, we need this for the requiresIdKeyRef keyref below.</xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:Group | ./cdf:Rule"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>

<xsd:key name="plainTextValueIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Plaintext objects and Value objects each have an id, and they must be unique and not overlap.</xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:plain-text | ./Value"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>

<xsd:key name="profileIdKey">
  <xsd:annotation><xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Profile objects have a unique id, it is used for extension, too. </xsd:documentation></xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:Profile"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
</xsd:key>

<xsd:keyref name="valueExtIdKeyRef" refer="cdf:valueIdKey">
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:Value"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@extends"/>
</xsd:keyref>

<xsd:keyref name="groupExtIdKeyRef" refer="cdf:groupIdKey">
  <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:Group"/>
  <xsd:field xpath="@extends"/>
</xsd:keyref>

<xsd:keyref name="ruleExtIdKeyRef" refer="cdf:ruleIdKey">
</xsd:keyref>
<xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">An extends attribute on Profile object must reference an existing Profile.</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:selector>
</xsd:complexType>
Metadata for the Benchmark, should be Dublin Core or some other well-specified and accepted metadata format. If Dublin Core, then it will be a sequence of simple Dublin Core elements. The NIST checklist metadata should also be supported, although the specification document is still in draft in NIST special pub 800-70.
Parameter names must be unique.

Type for a scoring model parameter: a name and a string value.

The possible status codes for a Benchmark or Item to be inherited from the parent element if it is not defined.

Type for a version number, with a timestamp attribute for when the version was made.
<xsd:complexType name="profileNoteType" mixed="true">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for a string with embedded Value substitutions and XHTML elements, and an xml:lang attribute. Elements of this type can also have an override attribute to help manage inheritance. [Note: this definition is rather loose, it allows anything whatsoever to occur insides XHTML tags inside here. Further, constraints of the XHTML schema do not get checked! It might be possible to solve this using XML Schema redefinition features.]
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xsd:element name="sub" type="cdf:idrefType" />
    <xsd:any namespace="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml" processContents="skip" />
  </xsd:choice>
  <xsd:attribute ref="xml:lang" />
  <xsd:attribute name="override" type="xsd:boolean" use="optional" default="0" />
</xsd:complexType>
Type for a string with embedded Value substitutions and XHTML elements, an xml:lang attribute, and a profile-note tag.

Elements of this type can also have an override attribute to help manage inheritance.
<xsd:complexType name="URIidrefType">  
  <xsd:annotation>  
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">  
      Data type for elements that have no content, just a mandatory URI as an id.  (This is mainly for CPE URIs used as platform identifiers.)  
    </xsd:documentation>  
  </xsd:annotation>  
  <xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:anyURI" use="required"/>  
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="overrideableURIidrefType">  
  <xsd:annotation>  
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">  
      Data type for elements that have no content, just a mandatory URI reference, but also have an override attribute for controlling inheritance.  
    </xsd:documentation>  
  </xsd:annotation>  
  <xsd:complexContent>  
    <xsd:extension base="cdf:URIidrefType">  
      <xsd:attribute name="override" type="xsd:boolean" use="optional" default="0"/>  
    </xsd:extension>  
  </xsd:complexContent>  
</xsd:complexType>

<!-- ************************************************************** -->  
<!-- **************** Item Element (Base Class) ****************** -->  
<!-- ************************************************************** -->  
<xsd:element name="Item" type="cdf:itemType">  
  <xsd:annotation>  
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">  
      Type element type imposes constraints shared by all Groups, Rules and Values. The itemType is abstract, so the element Item can never appear in a valid XCCDF document.  
    </xsd:documentation>  
  </xsd:annotation>  
</xsd:element>

<xsd:complexType name="itemType" abstract="1">  
  <xsd:annotation>  
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">  
      This abstract item type represents the basic data shared by all Groups, Rules and Values  
    </xsd:documentation>  
  </xsd:annotation>  
  <xsd:sequence>  
    <xsd:element ref="cdf:status"/>  
  </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="selectableItemType" abstract="true">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      This abstract item type represents the basic data shared by all Groups and Rules. It extends the itemType given above.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:complexContent>
    <xsd:extension base="cdf:itemType">
      <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="rationale" type="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="platform" type="cdf:overrideableURIidrefType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="requires" type="cdf:idrefListType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="conflicts" type="cdf:idrefType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" default="true" use="optional"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="weight" type="cdf:weightType" default="1.0" use="optional"/>
    </xsd:extension>
  </xsd:complexContent>
</xsd:complexType>

<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<!-- ************ Selectable Item Type (Base Class) ************** -->
<!-- ************************************************************** -->

<!-- *************** Specification for the Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) Version 1.1.3 -->
<!-- *************** Selectable Item Type (Base Class) ************** -->
<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<xsd:complexType name="selectableItemType" abstract="true">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      This abstract item type represents the basic data shared by all Groups and Rules. It extends the itemType given above.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:complexContent>
    <xsd:extension base="cdf:itemType">
      <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="rationale" type="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="platform" type="cdf:overrideableURIidrefType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="requires" type="cdf:idrefListType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="conflicts" type="cdf:idrefType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xsd:sequence>
      <xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" default="true" use="optional"/>
      <xsd:attribute name="weight" type="cdf:weightType" default="1.0" use="optional"/>
    </xsd:extension>
  </xsd:complexContent>
</xsd:complexType>
**Group Element**

```xml
<xsd:element name="Group" type="cdf:groupType"/>
```

**Group Type**

```xml
<xsd:complexType name="groupType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Data type for the Group element that represents a grouping of Groups, Rules and Values.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:complexContent>
        <xsd:extension base="cdf:selectableItemType">
            <xsd:sequence>
                <xsd:element ref="cdf:Value" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
                <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
                    <xsd:element ref="cdf:Group"/>
                    <xsd:element ref="cdf:Rule"/>
                </xsd:choice>
                <xsd:element name="signature" type="cdf:signatureType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
            </xsd:sequence>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:complexContent>
</xsd:complexType>
```

**Rule Element**

```xml
<xsd:element name="Rule" type="cdf:ruleType">
    <xsd:unique name="ruleCheckSelectorKey">
        <xsd:selector xpath="./cdf:check"/>
        <xsd:field xpath="@selector"/>
        <xsd:field xpath="@system"/>
    </xsd:unique>
    <xsd:unique name="ruleCheckIdKey">
        <xsd:selector xpath="//cdf:check"/>
        <xsd:field xpath="@id"/>
    </xsd:unique>
</xsd:element>
```

**Rule Type**

```xml
<xsd:complexType name="ruleType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Data type for the Rule element that represents a specific benchmark test.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:complexContent>
        <xsd:extension base="cdf:selectableItemType">
            <xsd:sequence>
                <xsd:element ref="cdf:Value" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
                <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
                    <xsd:element ref="cdf:Group"/>
                    <xsd:element ref="cdf:Rule"/>
                </xsd:choice>
                <xsd:element name="signature" type="cdf:signatureType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"/>
            </xsd:sequence>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:complexContent>
</xsd:complexType>
```
<xsd:element name="check" type="cdf:checkType"
    minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</xsd:choice>
</xsd:sequence>
<xsd:attribute name="role" type="cdf:roleEnumType"
    use="optional" default="full"/>
<xsd:attribute name="severity" type="cdf:severityEnumType"
    default="unknown" use="optional"/>
<xsd:attribute name="multiple" type="xsd:boolean"
    use="optional"/>
</xsd:extension>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="warningCategoryEnumType">
<xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
  Allowed warning category keywords for the warning element. The allowed categories are:
  general=broad or general-purpose warning (default for compatibility for XCCDF 1.0)
  functionality=warning about possible impacts to functionality or operational features
  performance=warning about changes to target system performance or throughput
  hardware=warning about hardware restrictions or possible impacts to hardware
  legal=warning about legal implications
  regulatory=warning about regulatory obligations or compliance implications
  management=warning about impacts to the mgmt or administration of the target system
  audit=warning about impacts to audit or logging
  dependency=warning about dependencies between this Rule and other parts of the target system, or version dependencies.
  </xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
<xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
  <xsd:enumeration value="general"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="functionality"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="performance"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="hardware"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="legal"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="regulatory"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="management"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="audit"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="dependency"/>
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:complexType name="fixTextType" mixed="true">
<xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
  Data type for the fixText element that represents a rich text string, with substitutions allowed, and a series of attributes that qualify the fix.
  </xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
<xsd:complexContent>
<xsd:extension base="cdf:htmlTextWithSubType">
  <xsd:attribute name="fixref" type="xsd:NCName" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="reboot" type="xsd:boolean" use="optional" default="0"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="strategy" type="cdf:fixStrategyEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="disruption" type="cdf:ratingEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="complexity" type="cdf:ratingEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
</xsd:extension>
</xsd:complexContent>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="fixType" mixed="true">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for a string with embedded Value and instance
      substitutions and an optional platform id ref attribute, but
      no embedded XHTML markup.
      The platform attribute should refer to a platform-definition
      element in the platform-definitions child of the Benchmark.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xsd:element name="sub" type="cdf:idrefType"/>
    <xsd:element name="instance" type="cdf:instanceFixType"/>
  </xsd:choice>
  <xsd:attribute name="id" type="xsd:NCName" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="reboot" type="xsd:boolean" use="optional" default="0"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="strategy" type="cdf:fixStrategyEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="disruption" type="cdf:ratingEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="complexity" type="cdf:ratingEnumType" use="optional" default="unknown"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="system" type="xsd:anyURI" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="platform" type="xsd:anyURI" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:simpleType name="fixStrategyEnumType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Allowed strategy keyword values for a Rule fix or
      fixtext. The allowed values are:
      unknown= strategy not defined (default for forward
      compatibility for XCCDF 1.0)
      configure=adjust target config or settings
      patch=apply a patch, hotfix, or update
      policy=remediation by changing policies/procedures
      disable=turn off or deinstall something
      enable=turn on or install something
      restrict=adjust permissions or ACLs
      update=install upgrade or update the system
      combination=combo of two or more of the above
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
    <xsd:enumeration value="unknown"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="configure"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="combination"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="disable"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="enable"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="patch"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="policy"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="restrict"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="update"/>
  </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
<xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
  <xsd:enumeration value="unknown"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="low"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="medium"/>
  <xsd:enumeration value="high"/>
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:complexType name="instanceFixType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for an instance element in a fix element. The instance element inside a fix element designates a spot where the name of the instance should be substituted into the fix template to generate the final fix data. The instance element in this usage has one optional attribute: context.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:attribute name="context" type="xsd:string" default="undefined" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="complexCheckType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      The type for an element that can contains a boolean expression based on checks. This element can have only complex-check and check elements as children. It has two attributes: operator and negate. The operator attribute can have values "OR" or "AND", and the negate attribute is boolean. See the specification document for truth tables for the operators and negations. Note: complex-check is defined in this way for conceptual equivalence with OVAL.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:choice minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xsd:element name="check" type="cdf:checkType"/>
    <xsd:element name="complex-check" type="cdf:complexCheckType"/>
  </xsd:choice>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:choice>
  <xsd:attribute name="operator"
    type="cdf:ccOperatorEnumType" use="required"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="negate" default="0"
    type="xsd:boolean" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:simpleType name="ccOperatorEnumType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      The type for the allowed operator names for the
complex-check operator attribute. For now, we just
allow boolean AND and OR as operators. (The
complex-check has a separate mechanism for inversion.)
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
    <xsd:enumeration value="OR"/>
    <xsd:enumeration value="AND"/>
  </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:complexType name="checkType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Data type for the check element, a checking system
specification URI, and XML content. The content of the
check element is: zero or more check-export elements,
zero or more check-content-ref elements, and finally
an optional check-content element. An content-less
check element isn't legal, but XSD cannot express that!
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:element name="check-export" type="cdf:checkExportType"
      minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xsd:element name="check-content-ref"
      minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"
      type="cdf:checkContentRefType"/>
    <xsd:element name="check-content"
      minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="1"
      type="cdf:checkContentType"/>
  </xsd:sequence>
  <xsd:attribute name="system" type="xsd:anyURI" use="required"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="id" type="xsd:NCName" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="selector" default="" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute ref="xml:base"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="checkExportType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Data type for the check-export element, which specifies
a mapping between an XCCDF internal Value id and a
value name to be used by the checking system or processor.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:attribute name="value-id" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:attribute name="export-name" type="xsd:string" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="checkContentRefType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Data type for the check-content-ref element, which points to the code for a detached check in another file. This element has no body, just a couple of attributes: href and name. The name is optional, if it does not appear then this reference is to the entire other document.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:attribute name="href" type="xsd:anyURI" use="required"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="name" type="xsd:string"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="checkContentType" mixed="true">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Data type for the check-content element, which holds the actual code of an enveloped check in some other (non-XCCDF) language. This element can hold almost anything; XCCDF tools do not process its content directly.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xsd:any namespace="##other" processContents="skip"/>
  </xsd:choice>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:simpleType name="weightType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Data type for a Rule's weight, a non-negative real number.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:restriction base="xsd:decimal">
    <xsd:minInclusive value="0.0"/>
    <xsd:totalDigits value="3"/>
  </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
Data type for the Value element, which represents a tailorable string, numeric, or boolean value in the Benchmark.

The choice element specifies a list of legal or suggested choices for a Value object. It holds one or more choice elements, a mustMatch attribute, and a selector attribute.

<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<!-- ***************  Value-related Types  ************************ -->
<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<xsd:complexType name="selChoicesType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">The choice element specifies a list of legal or suggested choices for a Value object. It holds one or more choice elements, a mustMatch attribute, and a selector attribute.</xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:element name="choice" type="xsd:string" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xsd:sequence>
  <xsd:attribute name="mustMatch" type="xsd:boolean" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="selector" default="" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="selStringType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            This type is for an element that has string content and a selector attribute. It is used for some of the child elements of Value.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:string">
            <xsd:attribute name="selector" default="" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:simpleContent>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="selNumType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            This type is for an element that has numeric content and a selector attribute. It is used for two of the child elements of Value.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:decimal">
            <xsd:attribute name="selector" default="" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:simpleContent>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="uriRefType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Data type for elements that have no content, just a mandatory URI.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:attribute name="uri" type="xsd:anyURI" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:simpleType name="valueTypeType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Allowed data types for Values, just string, numeric, and true/false.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
        <xsd:enumeration value="number"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="string"/>
        <xsd:enumeration value="boolean"/>
    </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:simpleType name="valueOperatorType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
</xsd:simpleType>
Allowed operators for Values. Note that most of these are valid only for numeric data, but the schema doesn't enforce that.

```xml
<xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
    <xsd:enumeration value="equals" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="not equal" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="greater than" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="less than" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="greater than or equal" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="less than or equal" />
    <xsd:enumeration value="pattern match" />
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
```

```xml
<xsd:simpleType name="interfaceHintType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Allowed interface hint values. When an interfaceHint appears on the Value, it provides a suggestion to a tailoring or benchmarking tool about how to present the UI for adjusting a Value.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
        <xsd:enumeration value="choice" />
        <xsd:enumeration value="textline" />
        <xsd:enumeration value="text" />
        <xsd:enumeration value="date" />
        <xsd:enumeration value="datetime" />
    </xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
```
Data type for the Profile element, which holds a specific tailoring of the Benchmark.

<xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
Type for the select element in a Profile; all it has are two attributes, no content. The two attributes are idref which refers to a Group or Rule, and selected which is boolean.
</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:complexType>
<!--  Profile-related Types  -->
<!--  Profile-related Types  -->
<!--  Profile-related Types  -->
<xsd:complexType name="profileSelectType">
<xsd:annotation>
<xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
Type for the select element in a Profile; all it has are two attributes, no content. The two attributes are idref which refers to a Group or Rule, and selected which is boolean.
</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
<xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="profileSetValueType">
<xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="profileRefineValueType">
<xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="profileRefineRuleType">
<xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="profileSignatureType">
<xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
<xsd:attribute name="selected" type="xsd:boolean" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="profileRefineValueType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for the refine-value element in a Profile; all it has are three attributes, no content. The three attributes are 'idref' which refers to a Value, 'selector' which designates certain element children of the Value, and 'operator' which can override the operator attribute of the Value.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="selector" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="operator" type="cdf:valueOperatorType" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="profileRefineRuleType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for the refine-rule element in a Profile; all it has are four attributes, no content. The main attribute is 'idref' which refers to a Rule, and three attributes that allow the Profile author to adjust aspects of how a Rule is processed during a benchmark run: weight, severity, role.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="weight" type="cdf:weightType" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="selector" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="severity" type="cdf:severityEnumType" use="optional"/>
  <xsd:attribute name="role" type="cdf:roleEnumType" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<!-- *******************  TestResult Element  ********************* -->
<!-- ************************************************************** -->
<xsd:element name="TestResult" type="cdf:testResultType"/>
<xsd:complexType name="testResultType">
  <xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
      Type for the set-value element in a Profile; it has one attribute and string content. The attribute is 'idref' which refers to a Value.
    </xsd:documentation>
  </xsd:annotation>
  <xsd:complexType>
    <xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
  </xsd:complexType>
</xsd:complexType>
Data type for the TestResult element, which holds the results of one application of the Benchmark. The optional test-system attribute gives the name of the benchmarking tool.

Type for a score value in a TestResult, the content is a real number and the element can have two optional attributes.
<xsd:complexType name="targetFactsType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            This element holds a list of facts about the target system or platform. Each fact is an element of type factType. Each fact must have a name, but duplicate names are allowed. (For example, if you had a fact about MAC addresses, and the target system had three NICs, then you'd need three instance of the "urn:xccdf:fact:ethernet:MAC" fact.)
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="fact" type="cdf:factType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="factType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Element type for a fact about a target system: a name-value pair with a type. The content of the element is the value, the type attribute gives the type. This is an area where XML schema is weak: we can't make the schema validator check that the content matches the type.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:string">
            <xsd:attribute name="name" type="xsd:anyURI" use="required"/>
            <xsd:attribute name="type" type="cdf:valueTypeType" default="boolean" use="optional"/>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:simpleContent>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="ruleResultType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            This element holds all the information about the application of one rule to a target. It may only appear as part of a TestResult object.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="result" type="cdf:resultEnumType" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xsd:element name="override" type="cdf:overrideType"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>
<xsd:complexType name="checkType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Type for a check element in a rule-result.
            It contains three mandatory parts: idref, role, and severity.
            This type records the details of the target system.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="role" type="cdf:roleEnumType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
        <xsd:element name="severity" type="cdf:severityEnumType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:attribute name="idref" type="xsd:NCName" use="required"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="role" type="cdf:roleEnumType" use="optional"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="severity" type="cdf:severityEnumType" use="optional"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="time" type="xsd:dateTime" use="optional"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="version" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="overrideType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Type for an override block in a rule-result.
            It contains five mandatory parts: time, authority, old-result, new-result, and remark.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element name="old-result" type="cdf:resultEnumType" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xsd:element name="new-result" type="cdf:resultEnumType" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
        <xsd:element name="remark" type="cdf:textType" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
    </xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:attribute name="time" type="xsd:dateTime" use="required"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="authority" type="xsd:string" use="required"/>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="instanceResultType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Type for an instance element in a rule-result.
            The content is a string, but the element may also have two attributes: context and parentContext.
            This type records the details of the target system instance for multiply instantiated rules.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:string">
            <xsd:attribute name="context" default="undefined" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
            <xsd:attribute name="parentContext" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:simpleContent>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="resultEnumType">
    <xsd:enumeration name="pass">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Pass</xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:enumeration>
    <xsd:enumeration name="fail">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Fail</xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:enumeration>
    <xsd:enumeration name="unknown">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Unknown</xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:enumeration>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:extension base="cdf:resultEnumType">
    <xsd:attribute name="context" default="undefined" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
    <xsd:attribute name="parentContext" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
</xsd:extension>

<xsd:complexType name="identType">
    <xsd:enumeration name="ident">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Ident</xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:enumeration>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="messageType">
    <xsd:enumeration name="message">
        <xsd:annotation>
            <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">Message</xsd:documentation>
        </xsd:annotation>
    </xsd:enumeration>
</xsd:complexType>

<xsd:complexType name="instanceResultType">
    <xsd:annotation>
        <xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
            Type for an instance element in a rule-result.
            The content is a string, but the element may also have two attributes: context and parentContext.
            This type records the details of the target system instance for multiply instantiated rules.
        </xsd:documentation>
    </xsd:annotation>
    <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:string">
            <xsd:attribute name="context" default="undefined" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
            <xsd:attribute name="parentContext" type="xsd:string" use="optional"/>
        </xsd:extension>
    </xsd:simpleContent>
</xsd:complexType>

Type for a message generated by the checking engine or XCCDF tool during benchmark testing. Content is string plus required severity attribute.
<xsd:enumeration value="fixed"/>
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:simpleType name="severityEnumType">
<xsd:annotation>
<xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
Allowed severity values for a Rule.
there are several possible values:
unknown= severity not defined (default, for compatibility with XCCDF 1.0)
info = rule is informational only, failing the rule does not
imply failure to conform to guidance of the benchmark.
(usually would also have a weight of 0)
low = not a serious problem
medium= fairly serious problem
high = a grave or critical problem
</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
<xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
<xsd:enumeration value="unknown"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="info"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="low"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="medium"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="high"/>
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>

<xsd:simpleType name="roleEnumType">
<xsd:annotation>
<xsd:documentation xml:lang="en">
Allowed checking and scoring roles for a Rule.
There are several possible values:
full = if the rule is selected, then check it and let the
result contribute to the score and appear in reports
(default, for compatibility for XCCDF 1.0).
unscored = check the rule, and include the results in
any report, but do not include the result in
score computations (in the default scoring model
the same effect can be achieved with weight=0)
unchecked = don't check the rule, just force the result
status to 'unknown'. Include the rule's
information in any reports.
</xsd:documentation>
</xsd:annotation>
<xsd:restriction base="xsd:string">
<xsd:enumeration value="full"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="unscored"/>
<xsd:enumeration value="unchecked"/>
</xsd:restriction>
</xsd:simpleType>
</xsd:schema>

Testing
The XCCDF 1.1 schema has been checked for syntax and tested with the Apache
Xerces 2.6 schema-validating parser and with Altova XMLSpy™ 2005 release 3.
7. **Appendix B – Sample Benchmark File**

The sample below illustrates some of the concepts of XCCDF. It gives a few simple rules about configuration of a Cisco IOS router, based on material from the publicly available NSA Router Security Configuration Guide.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<cdf: Benchmark id="ios-test-1" resolved="0" xml:lang="en"
 xmlns:cdf="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1"
 xmlns:cpe="http://cpe.mitre.org/XMLSchema/cpe/1.0"
 xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
 xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
 xmlns:htm="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml"
 xmlns:dsig="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#"
 xsi:schemaLocation="http://checklists.nist.gov/xccdf/1.1 xccdf-1.1.xsd
 http://cpe.mitre.org/XMLSchema/cpe/1.0 cpe-1.0.xsd">

<cdf:status date="2006-12-12">draft</cdf:status>
<cdf:title>XCCDF Sample for Cisco IOS</cdf:title>
<cdf:description>
This document defines a small set of rules for securing Cisco
IOS routers. The set of rules constitute a <htm:i>benchmark</htm:i>. A
benchmark usually represents an industry consensus of best
practices. It lists steps to be taken as well as rationale for
them. This example benchmark is merely a small subset of the
rules that would be necessary for securing an IOS router.
</cdf:description>

<cdf:notice id="Sample-Terms-Of-Use" xml:lang="en">
This document may be copied and used subject to the
subject to the NIST terms of use
(http://www.nist.gov/public_affairs/disclaim.htm)
and the NSA Legal Notices
(http://www.nsa.gov/notices/notic00004.cfm?Address=/).
</cdf:notice>
<cdf:front-matter>
<htm:p>
This benchmark assumes that you are running IOS 11.3 or later.
</htm:p>
</cdf:front-matter>
<cdf:reference href="http://www.nsa.gov/ia/">
NSA Router Security Configuration Guide, Version 1.1c
</cdf:reference>
<cdf:reference>
<dc:title>Hardening Cisco Routers</dc:title>
<dc:creator>Thomas Akin</dc:creator>
<dc:publisher>O'Reilly and Associates</dc:publisher>
<dc:identifier>http://www.ora.com</dc:identifier>
</cdf:reference>
<cdf:plain-text id="os-name">
Cisco Internet Operating System (tm)
</cdf:plain-text>
```
<cpe:cpe-list>
  <cpe:cpe-item name="cpe://cisco:ios:12.3">
    <cpe:title>Cisco IOS version 12.3</cpe:title>
  </cpe:cpe-item>
  <cpe:cpe-item name="cpe://cisco:ios:12.2">
    <cpe:title>Cisco IOS version 12.2</cpe:title>
  </cpe:cpe-item>
  <cpe:cpe-item name="cpe://cisco:ios:12.1">
    <cpe:title>Cisco IOS version 12.1</cpe:title>
  </cpe:cpe-item>
  <cpe:cpe-item name="cpe://cisco:ios:12.0">
    <cpe:title>Cisco IOS version 12.0</cpe:title>
  </cpe:cpe-item>
  <cpe:cpe-item name="cpe://cisco:ios:11.3">
    <cpe:title>Cisco IOS version 11.3</cpe:title>
  </cpe:cpe-item>
</cpe:cpe-list>

<cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.3"/>
<cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.2"/>
<cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.1"/>
<cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.0"/>
<cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:11.3"/>
<cdf:version>0.1.15</cdf:version>
<cdf:model system="urn:xccdf:scoring:default"/>
<cdf:model system="urn:xccdf:scoring:flat"/>
<cdf:model system="urn:bindview.com:scoring:relative">
  <cdf:param name="floor">0.0</cdf:param>
  <cdf:param name="ceiling">1000</cdf:param>
</cdf:model>

<cdf:Profile id="profile1" prohibitChanges="1" note-tag="lenient">
  <cdf:title>Sample Profile No. 1</cdf:title>
  <cdf:select idref="mgmt-plane" selected="0"/>
  <cdf:select idref="ctrl-plane" selected="1"/>
  <cdf:select idref="finger" selected="1"/>
  <cdf:set-value idref="exec-timeout-time">30</cdf:set-value>
  <cdf:refine-value idref="buffered-logging-level" selector="lenient"/>
</cdf:Profile>
<cdf:Profile id="profile2" extends="profile1" note-tag="strict">
  <cdf:title override="1">Sample Profile No. 2</cdf:title>
  <cdf:select idref="mgmt-plane" selected="1"/>
  <cdf:select idref="data-plane" selected="1"/>
  <cdf:set-value idref="exec-timeout-time">10</cdf:set-value>
  <cdf:refine-value idref="buffered-logging-level" selector="strict"/>
  <cdf:refine-rule idref="no-tcp-small-servers"
                   weight="0.8" severity="medium"/>
</cdf:Profile>

<cdf:Value id="exec-timeout-time" type="number" operator="less than or equal">
  <cdf:title>IOS - line exec timeout value</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
    The length of time, in minutes, that an interactive session should be allowed to stay idle before being terminated.
  </cdf:description>
</cdf:Value>
<cdf:question>Session exec timeout time (in minutes)</cdf:question>
<cdf:value>10</cdf:value>
<cdf:first>15</cdf:first>
<cdf:lower-bound>1</cdf:lower-bound>
<cdf:upper-bound>60</cdf:upper-bound>
</cdf:Value>

<cdf:Group id="mgmt-plane" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1" weight="3">
  <cdf:title>Management Plane Rules</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Services, settings, and data streams related to setting up and examining the static configuration of the router, and the authentication and authorization of administrators/operators.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:requires idref="no-directed-broadcast no-tcp-small-servers"/>
  <cdf:Rule id="no-finger-service-base" selected="0" weight="5.0"
    prohibitChanges="1" hidden="1"
    abstract="1" cluster-id="finger">
    <cdf:title>IOS - no IP finger service</cdf:title>
    <cdf:description>
    Disable the finger service, it can reveal information about logged in users to unauthorized parties.
    (For <cdf:sub idref="os-name" version="11.3 and later."/>
    </cdf:description>
    <cdf:question>Prohibit the finger service</cdf:question>
    <cdf:fixtext fixref="no-finger" xml:lang="en">
    Turn off the finger service altogether, it is very rarely used.
    </cdf:fixtext>
    <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
      <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="OVAL1002"/>
    </cdf:check>
  </cdf:Rule>
  <cdf:Rule id="ios11-no-finger-service"
    selected="0" prohibitChanges="1"
    hidden="0" weight="5.0" extends="no-finger-service-base">
    <cdf:title override="1">IOS 11 - no IP finger service</cdf:title>
    <cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:11.3"/>
    <cdf:fix id="no-finger" system="urn:xccdf:fix:system:commands"
      disruption="low" strategy="disable">
      no service finger
    </cdf:fix>
  </cdf:Rule>
  <cdf:Rule id="ios12-no-finger-service"
    selected="0" prohibitChanges="1"
    hidden="0" weight="5.0" extends="no-finger-service-base">
    <cdf:title override="1">IOS 12 - no IP finger service</cdf:title>
    <cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.3"/>
    <cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.2"/>
    <cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.1"/>
    <cdf:platform idref="cpe://cisco:ios:12.0"/>
    <cdf:fix id="no-finger" system="urn:xccdf:fix:system:commands"
      disruption="low" strategy="disable">
      no service finger
    </cdf:fix>
  </cdf:Rule>
</cdf:Group>
<cdf:Rule id="req-exec-timeout" selected="1" weight="8" multiple="1">
  <cdf:title>Require exec timeout on admin sessions</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Configure each administrative access line to terminate idle
  sessions after a fixed period of time determined by local policy
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>Require admin session idle timeout</cdf:question>
  <cdf:profile-note tag="lenient">
    Half an hour
  </cdf:profile-note>
  <cdf:profile-note tag="strict">
    Ten minutes or less
  </cdf:profile-note>
  <cdf:fix strategy="configure" disruption="low" system="urn:xccdf:fix:commands">
    line vty 0 4
    exec-timeout <cdf:sub idref="exec-timeout-time"/>
  </cdf:fix>
  <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval" id="foo">
    <cdf:check-export value-id="exec-timeout-time" export-name="var-2"/>
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="OVAL708"/>
  </cdf:check>
</cdf:Rule>
</cdf:Group>

<cdf:Group id="ctrl-plane" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1" weight="3">
  <cdf:title>Control Plane Rules</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Services, settings, and data streams that support the
  operation and dynamic status of the router.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>Check rules related to system control</cdf:question>

  <cdf:Value id="buffered-logging-level" type="string" operator="equals" prohibitChanges="0" interfaceHint="choice">
    <cdf:title>Logging level for buffered logging</cdf:title>
    <cdf:description>
    Logging level for buffered logging; this setting is
    a severity level. Every audit message of this
    severity or more (worse) will be logged.
    </cdf:description>
    <cdf:question>Select a buffered logging level</cdf:question>
    <cdf:value selector="strict">informational</cdf:value>
    <cdf:value selector="lenient">warning</cdf:value>
    <cdf:value>notification</cdf:value>
    <cdf:choices mustMatch="1">
      <cdf:choice>warning</cdf:choice>
      <cdf:choice>notification</cdf:choice>
      <cdf:choice>informational</cdf:choice>
    </cdf:choices>
  </cdf:Value>
</cdf:Group>
<cdf:source uri="urn:OS:Cisco:IOS:logging:levels"/>
</cdf:Value>

<cdf:Rule id="no-tcp-small-servers" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1" weight="7">
  <cdf:title>Disable tcp-small-servers</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Disable unnecessary services such as echo, chargen, etc.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>Prohibit TCP small services</cdf:question>
  <cdf:fixtext>
  Disable TCP small servers in IOS global config mode.
  </cdf:fixtext>
  <cdf:fix>no service tcp-small-servers</cdf:fix>
  <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="OVAL1000"/>
  </cdf:check>
</cdf:Rule>

<cdf:Rule id="no-udp-small-servers" selected="1" role="full" prohibitChanges="1" weight="5.7">
  <cdf:title>Disable udp-small-servers</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Disable unnecessary UDP services such as echo, chargen, etc.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>Forbid UDP small services</cdf:question>
  <cdf:fixtext>
  Disable UDP small servers in IOS global config mode.
  </cdf:fixtext>
  <cdf:fix>no service udp-small-servers</cdf:fix>
  <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="OVAL1001"/>
  </cdf:check>
</cdf:Rule>

<cdf:Rule id="enabled-buffered-logging-at-level" selected="1" prohibitChanges="0" weight="8.5">
  <cdf:title xml:lang="en">Ensure buffered logging enabled at proper level</cdf:title>
  <cdf:description>
  Make sure that buffered logging is enabled, and that
  the buffered logging level to one of the appropriate
  levels, Warning or higher.
  </cdf:description>
  <cdf:question>Check buffered logging and level</cdf:question>
  <cdf:fix>
  logging on
  logging buffered <cdf:sub idref="buffered-logging-level"/>
  </cdf:fix>
  <cdf:complex-check operator="AND" negate="1">
    <cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
      <cdf:check-export value-id="buffered-logging-level" export-name="var-4"/>
      <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="org.cisecurity.cisco.ios.logging.buf.level"/>
    </cdf:check>
  </cdf:complex-check>
</cdf:Rule>
<cdf:check system="http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval">
    <cdf:check-content-ref href="iosDefns.xml" name="org.cisecurity.cisco.ios.logging.enabled"/>
</cdf:check>
</cdf:complex-check>
</cdf:Rule>
</cdf:Group>

<cdf:Group id="data-plane" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1" weight="2">
</cdf:Group>

<cdf:Group id="data-plane" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1" weight="2">
<cdf:title>Data Plane Level 1</cdf:title>
<cdf:description>
Services and settings related to the data passing through the router (as opposed to directed to it). Basically, the data plane is for everything not in control or mgmt planes.
</cdf:description>
<cdf:question>Check rules related to data flow</cdf:question>
<cdf:Rule id="no-directed-broadcast" weight="7" multiple="1" selected="1" prohibitChanges="1">
<cdf:title>IOS - no directed broadcasts</cdf:title>
<cdf:description>
Disable IP directed broadcast on each interface.
</cdf:description>
<cdf:question>Forbid IP directed broadcast</cdf:question>
<cdf:fixtext>
Disable IP directed broadcast on each interface using IOS interface configuration mode.
</cdf:fixtext>
<cdf:fix>
interface <cdf:instance context="interface"/>
    no ip directed-broadcast
</cdf:fix>
</cdf:Rule>
</cdf:Group>
</cdf:Group>

<cdf:TestResult id="ios-test-5" end-time="2004-09-25T13:45:02-04:00">
    <cdf:benchmark href="ios-sample-v1.1.xccdf.xml"/>
    <cdf:title>Sample Results Block</cdf:title>
    <cdf:remark>Test run by Bob on Sept 25</cdf:remark>
    <cdf:target>lower.test.net</cdf:target>
    <cdf:target-address>192.168.248.1</cdf:target-address>
<cdf:target-address>2001:8::1</cdf:target-address>
<cdf:target-facts>
  <cdf:fact type="string" name="urn:xccdf:fact:ethernet:MAC">
    02:50:e6:c0:14:39
  </cdf:fact>
  <cdf:fact name="urn:xccdf:fact:OS:IOS">1</cdf:fact>
  <cdf:fact name="urn:xccdf:fact:OS:IOS:12">1</cdf:fact>
  <cdf:fact name="urn:xccdf:fact:OS:IOS:12:3">1</cdf:fact>
  <cdf:fact type="string" name="urn:xccdf:fact:OS:IOS:relname">
    12.3(14)T
  </cdf:fact>
</cdf:target-facts>
<cdf:set-value idref="exec-timeout-time">10</cdf:set-value>
<cdf:rule-result idref ="ios12-no-finger-service" time="2004-09-25T13:45:00-04:00">
  <cdf:result>pass</cdf:result>
</cdf:rule-result>
<cdf:rule-result idref ="req-exec-timeout" time="2004-09-25T13:45:06-04:00">
  <cdf:result>pass</cdf:result>
  <cdf:override time="2004-09-25T13:59:00-04:00" authority="Neal Ziring">
    <cdf:old-result>fail</cdf:old-result>
    <cdf:new-result>pass</cdf:new-result>
    <cdf:remark>Test override</cdf:remark>
  </cdf:override>
  <cdf:instance context="line">console</cdf:instance>
  <cdf:fix>
    line console
    exec-timeout 10 0
  </cdf:fix>
</cdf:rule-result>
<cdf:rule-result idref="ios12-no-finger-service">
  <cdf:result>notselected</cdf:result>
</cdf:rule-result>
<cdf:score system="urn:xccdf:model:default">67.5</cdf:score>
<cdf:score system="urn:xccdf:model:flat" maximum="214"><br/>
  157.5<br/>
</cdf:score>
</cdf:Benchmark>
8. Appendix C – Pre-Defined URIs

The following URLs and URNs are defined for XCCDF 1.1.

**Long-Term Identification Systems**
These URIs may appear as the value of the system attribute of an ident element in a Rule.

- **http://cve.mitre.org/**
  MITRE’s Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures – the identifier value should be a CVE number or CVE candidate number.

- **http://www.cert.org/**
  CERT Coordination Center – the identifier value should be a CERT advisory identifier (e.g. “CA-2004-02”).

- **http://www.us-cert.gov/cas/techalerts/**
  US-CERT technical cyber security alerts – the identifier value should be a technical cyber security alert ID (e.g. “TA05-189A”)

- **http://www.kb.cert.org/**
  US-CERT vulnerability notes database – the identifier values should be a vulnerability note number (e.g. “709220”).

- **http://iase.disa.mil/IAalerts/**
  DISA Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts (IAVA) – the identifier value should be a DOD IAVA identifier.

**Check Systems**
These URIs may appear as the value of the system attribute of a check element (see p. 49).

- **http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval**
  MITRE’s Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (see [15]).

- **http://www.cisecurity.org/xccdf/interactive/1.0**
  Center for Internet Security interactive query check system, used for asking the user questions about the target system during application of a security guidance document.

**Scoring Models**
These URIs may appear as the value of the system attribute on the model element or a score element (see pp. 60 and 68).

- **urn:xccdf:scoring:default**
  This specifies the default (XCCDF 1.0) scoring model.

- **urn:xccdf:scoring:flat**
  This specifies the flat, weighted scoring model.

- **urn:xccdf:scoring:flat-unweighted**
  This specifies the flat scoring model with weights ignored (all weights set to 1).
Remediation Systems

The URIs represent remediation sources, mechanisms, schemes, or providers. They may appear as the system attribute on a fix element (see p. 55).

**urn:xccdf:fix:commands**

This specifies that the content of the fix element is a list of target system commands; executed in order, the commands should bring the target system into compliance with the Rule.

**urn:xccdf:fix:urls**

This specifies that the content of the fix element is a list of one or more URLs. The resources identified by the URLs should be applied to bring the system into compliance with the Rule.

**urn:xccdf:fix:script:language**

A URN of this form specifies that the content of the fix element is a script written in the given *language*. Executing the script should bring the target system into compliance with the Rule. The following languages are pre-defined:

- **sh** – Bourne shell
- **csh** – C Shell
- **perl** – Perl
- **batch** – Windows batch script
- **python** – Python and all Python-based scripting languages
- **vbscript** – Visual Basic Script (VBS)
- **javascript** – Javascript (ECMAScript, JScript)
- **tcl** – Tcl and all Tcl-based scripting languages

**urn:xccdf:fix:patch:vendor**

A URN of this form specifies that the content of the fix element is a patch identifier, in proprietary format as defined by the vendor. The vendor string should be the common short name of the vendor (e.g., “Sun”, “Microsoft”) or the vendor’s DNS name (e.g., “hp.com”, “apache.org”).
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## Appendix E – Acronym List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>Center for Internet Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTS</td>
<td>Commercial Off-the-Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE</td>
<td>Common Platform Enumeration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE</td>
<td>Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISA</td>
<td>Defense Information Systems Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNS</td>
<td>Domain Name System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD</td>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIPS</td>
<td>Federal Information Processing Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISMA</td>
<td>Federal Information Security Management Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSO</td>
<td>Field Security Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOTS</td>
<td>Government Off-the-Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUI</td>
<td>Graphical User Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPAA</td>
<td>Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTML</td>
<td>Hypertext Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv4</td>
<td>Internet Protocol version 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv6</td>
<td>Internet Protocol version 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITL</td>
<td>Information Technology Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>Media Access Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>National Institute of Standards and Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSA</td>
<td>National Security Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVD</td>
<td>National Vulnerability Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Operating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVAL</td>
<td>Open Vulnerability and Assessment Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Special Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIG</td>
<td>Secure Technology Implementation Guide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UML</td>
<td>Unified Modeling Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URI</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Uniform Resource Locator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMS</td>
<td>Vulnerability Management System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
W3C  World Wide Web Consortium

XCCDF  Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format

XHTML  Extensible Hypertext Markup Language

XML  Extensible Markup Language

XSLT  Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformation