^ Required Field \*Type: E - Editorial, G - General T - Technical

## Comment Template for Initial Public Draft NIST SP 800-171B

#	Organization Name	Submitted By	Type*	Page #^	Starting Line #^	Ending Line #	Section #	(Include rationale for	Suggested Change <sup>^</sup>
1	N/A	DHF	E	1	198	198		Subjective "paramount"	This transcends "paramount" -
2	N/A	DHF	E	1	207	207		Vague - "several deficiencies"	Specify - deficiencies can
3	N/A	DHF	Т	12	478	480		qualified individuals approve	The approval is separate from
4	N/A	DHF	Т	12	483	484		Where does "cloud" fit in?	Provisioned is vague.
5	N/A	DHF	G	16	560	560		What is the distinction between "an authoritative source" and "an authoritative repository" in practical terms?	Over-worded; need to simplify - the focus is on "authoritative".
	N/A	DHF	G	16	574	575		Confusion between CM and SI families of controls	SI handles flaw remediation; this requirement is "multiple requirements".
	N/A	DRFH	т	19	653	654		This phrase does not belong in the IA family "System components that are either unknown or in an unapproved state are placed in a quarantine or remediation network that allows for patching, configuration, or other appropriate mitigations."	Drop phrase - same wording is also used inappropriately in CM section.
8	N/A	DHF	G	20	678	679		Need to accommodate "virtual SOC"	Physical deployment is not always possible for third- parties.
9	N/A	DHF	G	25	737	771		Arguably, the two requirements should be associated with incident response (pro-active).	Reword to accommodate within Incident Response; build out specific risk assessment requirements.

All public comments received will be posted at https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/protecting-cui/public-comments and https://www.regulations.gov docket number NIST-2019-0002 without change or redaction ^ Required Field \*Type: E - Editorial, G - General T - Technical

## Comment Template for Initial Public Draft NIST SP 800-171B

#	Organization Name	Submitted By	Туре*	Page #^	Starting Line #^	Ending Line #	Section #	(Include rationale for	Suggested Change <sup>^</sup>
								How about actually developing	Develop a PL family.
								a Planning Family as per NIST SP	
								800-53 rather than overloading	
								requirements? Primes and in	
								some cases sub-contractors	
								have to submit SSPs or attest	
10	N/A	DHF	G	26	787	789		that they exist.	
									Not identified in 800-53 R4 or
11	N/A	DHF	G	66	1222	1224		SR-2; SR-6(1)	R5