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## Overview

- Optimizing Boolean circuits
- Multiplicative Complexity (MC)
- Number of Boolean functions with MC $k$
- Open questions


## Boolean Circuits

A Boolean circuit with $n$ inputs and $m$ outputs is a directed acyclic graph (DAG), where

- the inputs and the gates are nodes,
- the edges correspond to Boolean-valued wires,
- fanin/fanout of a node is the number of wires going in/out the node,
- the nodes with fanin zero are called input nodes,
- the nodes with fanout zero are called output nodes.


Circuit for Keccak s-box https://keccak.team/figures.html

## Straight Line Programs (SLPs)

An example SLP for the majority function:.

```
begin CIRCUIT MAJ3
# Description: The majority of x1,x2,x3
Inputs: x1:x3;
Outputs: y1;
GateSyntax: GateName Output Inputs
begin SLP
    XOR t1 x1 x2;
    XOR t2 x1 x3;
    AND t3 t1 t2;
    XOR y1 t3 x1
end SLP end CIRCUIT
```


## Optimizing Boolean Circuits

Problem: Given a set of Boolean gates (e.g., AND, NAND, XOR, NOR), construct a circuit that computes a Boolean function that is optimal w.r.t. a target metric.

Target metric depends on the application.

- Number of gates: for lightweight cryptography applications running on constrained devices.
- Number of nonlinear gates: for secure multi-party computation, zero-knowledge proofs and side channel protection.
- AND-depth: for homomorphic encryption schemes.
- etc.


## Benchmarking

## Example circuits: ${ }^{1}$

| Circuit | Gate count |  |  |  |  |  | Depth |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | AND | XOR | XNOR | NOT | Total | AND |  |
| AES S-Box | 113 | 32 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 6 |  |
| AES S-Box |  | 121 | 34 | 83 | 4 | 0 | 21 |  |
| AES-128 $(k, m)$ | 28600 | 6400 | 21356 | 844 | 0 | 326 | 60 |  |
| AES-128 $(0, m)$ | 21392 | 5120 | 14652 | 1620 | 0 | 325 | 60 |  |
| SHA-256 $(m)$ | 115882 | 22385 | 89248 | 3894 | 355 | 5403 | 1604 |  |
| SHA-256 $(c v, m)$ | 118287 | 22632 | 92802 | 2840 | 13 | 5458 | 1607 |  |

[^0]
## Multiplicative Complexity (MC)

Minimum number of nonlinear gates needed to implement $f$ by a Boolean circuit

- Min. \# of AND gates needed over the basis (AND, XOR, NOT).


## Some known results:

- MC of a function with degree $d$ is at least $d-1$ (degree bound).
- Almost all $f \in B_{n}$ have MC at least $2^{n / 2}-n-1$ with high probability.
- MC of all Boolean functions with $n \leq 6$
- Results on special classes of Boolean functions: quadratic, cubic and symmetric functions etc.


## Number of Boolean functions with MC $k$

$\lambda(n, k)$ : the number of $n$ variable Boolean functions with MC $k$

- useful to lower bound the MC of Boolean functions. e.g., 7-AND gates are not enough to compute 8 -variable Boolean functions.

Boyar et al. Bound: $\lambda(n, k) \leq 2^{k^{2}+2 k+2 k n+n+1}$
Proof (sketch):The inputs of the ith AND gate, denoted $a_{i}$, is a subset of

$$
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{i-1}, \mathbf{1}\right\}
$$

with $2^{2(n+i)}$ possible input choices. The bound is achieved by summing all possible inputs to the AND gates, and adding all possible linear terms and $a_{i}$ 's to be final output.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n+k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(2^{n+i}\right)^{2} & =2^{n+k+1} 2^{2 k n} 2^{\sum_{i=1}^{k} 2 i} \\
& =2^{k^{2}+2 k+2 k n+n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine Equivalence

Boolean functions $f, g \in B_{n}$ are affine equivalent if there exists a transformation of the form

$$
f(x)=g(A x+a)+b \cdot x+c,
$$

where $A$ is a non-singular $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}_{2} ; a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, and $c \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$.

- The set of affine equivalent functions constitute an equivalence class denoted by $[f]$, where $f$ is an arbitrary function from the class.
- Sizes of equivalence classes

$$
\frac{\# \text { affine transformations }}{\# \text { self mappings }}
$$

(self mappings of $f$ is an affine transformation that outputs $f$ ).
MC is affine invariant.

## Boolean functions with MC 1 and 2

## Boolean functions with MC 1 [FP02]

- Functions with MC 1 are affine equivalent to $x_{1} x_{2}$.
- The number of $n$-variable Boolean functions with MC 1 is $2\binom{2^{n}}{3}$.


## Boolean functions with MC 2 [FTT17]

- Functions with MC 2 are affine equivalent to one of these functions:

```
x}1\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mp@subsup{x}{3}{
x}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mp@subsup{x}{2}{}\mp@subsup{x}{3}{}+\mp@subsup{x}{1}{}\mp@subsup{x}{4}{
x
```

- The number of $n$-variable Boolean functions with MC 2 is

$$
2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n}-2\right)\left(2^{n}-4\right)\left(\frac{2}{21}+\frac{2^{n}-8}{12}+\frac{2^{n}-8}{360}\right) .
$$

## Affine Equivalence Classes with MC 3 [CTP19]

Dimension 4:

| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| :--- |
| $x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| $x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |

Dimension 5:

| $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ |
| $x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| $x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| $x_{1} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| $x_{1} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| $x_{2} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{1} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |

Dimension 6:

| $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{6}$ | $x_{1} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}$ | $x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ |
| $x_{1} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ | $x_{5} x_{6}+x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |
| $x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{6}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{5}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$ |  |

## Number of Boolean functions with MC 3 [CTP19]

The number of $n$-variable Boolean functions with MC 3 is

$$
\lambda(n, 3)=\sum_{d=4}^{6}\left(2^{n-d} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{2^{n}-2^{i}}{2^{d}-2^{i}} \beta(d, 3)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(4,3)=32768 \\
& \beta(5,3)=775728128 \\
& \beta(6,3)=183894007808
\end{aligned}
$$

## Affine Equivalence Classes with MC 4 [CTP19]

The number of $n$-variable Boolean functions with MC 4 is

$$
\lambda(n, 4)=\sum_{d=5}^{8}\left(2^{n-d} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \frac{2^{n}-2^{i}}{2^{d}-2^{i}} \beta(d, 4)\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(5,4) & =3515396096 \\
\beta(6,4) & =7944313921970176, \\
\beta(7,4) & =8217135092528316416, \\
\beta(8,4) & =5502415308673798144 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison of Boyar et al. bound and exact numbers

| MC | Bound | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ | $n=11$ | $n=12$ | $n=13$ | $n=14$ | $n=15$ | $n=16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Exact | 16.34 | 19.38 | 22.38 | 25.40 | 28.41 | 31.41 | 34.41 | 37.41 | 40.41 | 43.41 | 46.41 |
|  | Bound | 22 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 43 | 46 | 49 | 52 |
| 2 | Exact | 26.13 | 31.30 | 36.38 | 41.42 | 46.44 | 51.45 | 56.45 | 61.45 | 66.46 | 71.46 | 76.46 |
|  | Bound | 39 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | 79 | 84 | 89 |
| 3 | Exact | 38.03 | 45.64 | 52.92 | 60.05 | 67.12 | 74.15 | 81.17 | 88.18 | 95.18 | 102.18 | 109.18 |
|  | Bound | 58 | 65 | 72 | 79 | 86 | 93 | 100 | 107 | 114 | 121 | 128 |
| 4 | Exact | 52.81 | 63.15 | 71.94 | 80.29 | 88.46 | 96.56 | 104.63 | 112.70 | 120.82 | 129.02 | 137.35 |
|  | Bound | 79 | 88 | 97 | 106 | 115 | 124 | 133 | 142 | 151 | 160 | 169 |

Table: Number of Boolean functions with MC 1, 2, 3, and 4 compared to the Boyar et al. bound on a $\log$ scale with base 2

## Observation 1 - Elimination of equivalent inputs



$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}, f_{3}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{2}, f_{3}+f_{2}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{2}+f_{2}+f_{3}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{2}, f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{3}+f_{2}\right) & \rightarrow f_{2} f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{2}+f_{3}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}+f_{1}, f_{3}+f_{1}\right) & \rightarrow f_{2} f_{1}+f_{1}+f_{3}+f_{1}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{2}+f_{1}, f_{1}, f_{3}+f_{1}\right) & \rightarrow f_{2} f_{1}+f_{1}+f_{3}+f_{1}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

All inputs generate the same output as $f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3}$, and counted separately in Boyar et al. bound.

## Observation 2 - Elimination of the constant 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{1}+1, f_{2}, f_{3}+f_{2}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{2}+f_{3}+f_{2}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}+1, f_{3}+f_{1}\right) & \rightarrow f_{1} f_{2}+f_{1}+f_{3}+f_{1}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3} \\
\left(f_{1}+1, f_{2}+1, f_{3}+f_{1}+f_{2}\right) & \rightarrow f_{2} f_{1}+f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}+f_{1}+f_{2}=f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

All inputs generate the same output as $f_{1} f_{2}+f_{3}$, and counted separately in Boyar et al. bound.

## Improved Bound

The number of $n$-variable Boolean functions that can be generated with $k$-AND gates is at most

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(n, k) & \leq 2^{n+k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{24}\left(2^{n+i+1}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq 2^{k^{2}+2 n k+n-k+1} 3^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof (sketch): Let $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ be the left and right inputs of an AND gate. For each AND:

- only count the lexicographically smallest among

$$
\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right),\left(f_{2}, f_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{2}\right),\left(f_{2}, f_{1}+f_{2}\right),\left(f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{1}\right),\left(f_{1}, f_{1}+f_{2}\right)
$$

(improvement by a factor of 6 )

- only consider $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ without the constant term (improvement by a factor of 4 )


## Comparison of Boyar et al. and improved bound

| MC | Bound | $n=6$ | $n=7$ | $n=8$ | $n=9$ | $n=10$ | $n=11$ | $n=12$ | $n=13$ | $n=14$ | $n=15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Exact | 16.34 | 19.38 | 22.38 | 25.40 | 28.41 | 31.41 | 34.41 | 37.41 | 40.41 | 43.41 |
|  | Bound | 22 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 43 | 46 | 49 |
|  | Improved | 17.42 | 20.42 | 23.42 | 26.42 | 29.42 | 32.42 | 35.42 | 38.42 | 41.42 | 44.42 |
| 2 | Exact | 26.13 | 31.30 | 36.38 | 41.42 | 46.44 | 51.45 | 56.45 | 61.45 | 66.46 | 71.46 |
|  | Bound | 39 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | 79 | 84 |
|  | Improved | 29.83 | 34.83 | 39.83 | 44.83 | 49.83 | 54.83 | 59.83 | 64.83 | 69.83 | 74.83 |
| 3 | Exact | 38.03 | 45.64 | 52.92 | 60.05 | 67.12 | 74.15 | 81.17 | 88.18 | 95.18 | 102.18 |
|  | Bound | 58 | 65 | 72 | 79 | 86 | 93 | 100 | 107 | 114 | 121 |
|  | Improved | 44.25 | 51.25 | 58.25 | 65.25 | 72.25 | 79.25 | 86.25 | 93.25 | 100.25 | 107.25 |
| 4 | Exact | 52.81 | 63.15 | 71.94 | 80.29 | 88.46 | 96.56 | 104.63 | 112.70 | 120.82 | 129.02 |
|  | Bound | 79 | 88 | 97 | 106 | 115 | 124 | 133 | 142 | 151 | 160 |
|  | Improved | 60.66 | 69.66 | 78.66 | 87.66 | 96.66 | 105.66 | 114.66 | 123.66 | 132.66 | 141.66 |

Table: The improved bound for the number of Boolean functions with MC 1, 2, 3, and 4 compared to the Boyar et al. bound on a log scale with base 2

## Conclusion

- Studied the number of Boolean functions with a specific MC.
- Improved the Boyar et al. bound by a factor of 24 for each AND gate.

Open questions on the MC of Boolean functions:

- Generic heuristics to implement Boolean functions with $n \geq 7$ with small number of AND gates - Best known upper bound on the MC of 7 -variable Boolean functions is 13 .
- Extending the results to vectorial Boolean functions - Exhaustive list of affine equivalence classes for vectorial Boolean functions would be useful, e.g., 5-bit to 3-bit, 6 -bit to 2-bits.


## Thanks! Questions?

- NIST Circuit Complexity Project Webpage: https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/Circuit-Complexity
- GitHubLink: https://github.com/usnistgov/Circuits/
- Contact emails:
meltem.turan@nist.gov
circuit_complexity@nist.gov
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