

RBGC: Chains of RBGs

John Kelsey, NIST and COSIC/KU Leuven

Disclaimer: all this is still in discussion and subject to change

Chains of RBGS are common in software



- Hard to see how else to do it
- 90C needs to allow this

... without making the standard too complex to understand

Solution: RBGC construction



- DRBG
- Seed source
 - ... which can be another RBGC

RBGC components

Seed source



- Any of
- RBG2
- RBG3
- Full entropy source
- Another RBGC \leftarrow this allows chains and trees of RBGCs



The initial source



An RBGC can be a seed source...

... but the initial seed source has to provide some entropy

- RBG2
- RBG3
- Full entropy source

Everything depends on initial source



Requirements:

- Available entropy source
- Strong output bits

Initial seed source may be:

- RBG2(P)
- RBG2(NP)
- RBG3
- Full entropy source

Chains of DRBGs: requirements



- Security strength can't increase
- Each DRBG has exactly one seed source
 - May also incorporate additional input
- A DRBG may provide seed material AND random bits
- No loops allowed (See below)

Example chain

- Each DRBG has one seed source
- Security strength can't go up



Trees of RBGs

- Each DRBG: one seed source
 - May also incorporate additional input
- Initial source: Ultimate root of trust
- One source \rightarrow many DRBGs
- DRBGs can provide seed to other DRBGs ...AND random bits to applications



No limit on DRBGs in chain or tree



Ancestors, descendants, and loops

For each DRBG in RBGC:

• Ancestors

Everything in chain that seeds DRBG

• Descendants

Everything in tree seeded from this DRBG

• Loop

Any DRBG is its own ancestor Not permitted (for obvious reasons)



Loops are not allowed

Example: RBGC with loop

- No entropy, no security
- Every DRBG is its own ancestor



Loops are not allowed

Example: RBGC with loop

- No entropy, no security
- Every DRBG is its own ancestor



This is insecure, and not allowed in 90C

What about additional input?

- DRBGs can incorporate additional input
 - Instantiate, Reseed, Generate
- Not many requirements on additional input
- Additional input is allowed for DRBGs in an RBGC construction
- DRBGs should not make a loop using additional input
 - Not a security issue, but seems like a bad idea

Example: How to use additional input?



Could design RNG to instantiate only when sufficient entropy from interrupt timings

Reseeding a DRBG in RBGC construction

 Reseed draws new seed material from Initial seed source source • DRBGs SHALL support reseed request DRBG This DRBG is unaffected Seed source provides requested amount of seed material DRBG This DRBG provides the seed material • Reseed **DOES NOT** recurse up the chain This DRBG reseeds DRBG

No guarantee of fresh entropy from reseed.

Prediction resistance is not supported

- DRBGs in RBGC do not support prediction resistance
- Avoid potential for denial of service from too much demand on initial source
- RBG2s do not always reseed on demand
 - Sometimes reseed as entropy becomes available



Hard problem: Modularity

Common for software to be written without knowledge of what else will be on platform.



Problem: how does lab evaluate RBGC in software module without seeing seed source?

This is extremely common situation. How should we deal with it?

Suppose we're given this DRBG

Claim: RBGC

For this to be a valid RBGC:

- Seed source must be one of
 - RBG2
 - RBG3
 - Full entropy source
 - RBGC
- Seed source security strength

At least as strong as DRBG

• Seed source must not rely on DRBG for seed *No loops*



Lab can't evaluate what it can't see

How should we approach this?

Obvious approaches:



- 1. Validate DRBG, specify requirements for seed source in certificate, hope for the best
- 2. Validate as DRBG only. To get validation as RBGC, require it to be combined with seed source meeting requirements.

