### Final Steps of the NIST Lightweight Cryptography Standardization

MELTEM SONMEZ TURAN NIST Lightweight Cryptography Team



METU IAM Colloquium MAY 2, 2023

# Overview of the Talk



 NIST Lightweight Cryptography Standardization Process

 Evaluation of the Finalists and the Selection of Ascon





# National Institute of Standards and Technology NIST

- Part of US Department of Commerce
- Founded in 1901, known as the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) prior to 1988

#### MISSION

to promote U.S. innovation and industrial competitiveness by advancing measurement science, standards, and technology in ways that enhance economic security and improve our quality of life.



Laboratory Programs  $\rightarrow$  Information Technology Lab  $\rightarrow$  Computer Security Division

# Computer Security Division (CSD)



#### **Developing Crypto Standards**

- International "competitions" e.g., AES, SHA-3, PQC, Lightweight Crypto
- Adoption of existing standards e.g., RSA, HMAC
- Open call for proposals: e.g., block cipher modes of operations

### **CSD** Publications

- Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS): Specify approved crypto standards.
- NIST Special Publications (SPs): Guidelines, technical specifications, recommendations etc.
- NIST Internal or Interagency Reports (IR): Reports of research findings.

### **Principles**

Transparency, openness, balance, integrity, technical merit, global acceptability, usability, continuous improvement, innovation and intellectual property.



# advanced encryption standard

1. Leech et al., The Economic Impacts of the Advanced Encryption Standard, 2018

- 2. Smid, Development of the Advanced Encryption Standard, 2021
- 3. Mouha, NISTIR 8319 Review of the Advanced Encryption Standard, 2021



# Why do the crypto community continue designing new symmetric-key primitives?

#### **New applications**

Format preserving encryption, searchable encryption, order-preserving encryption, white-box cryptography, ciphers to be used in protocols (e.g., multi-party computation, zero-knowledge proofs), full-disk encryption, etc.

#### **New features**

Nonce-misuse resistance, combined functionality, inherent side channel resistance, related-key security, post-quantum security, key commitment, RUP security, *suitable for constrained environments* etc.

# Lightweight Cryptography – Motivation NIST



#### CONSTRAINED DEVICES

e.g., RFID tags, sensors, IoT devices



0 0000

### NEW APPLICATIONS

e.g., home automation, healthcare, smart city



### PRIVATE INFORMATION

e.g., location, health data

### LACK OF CRYPTOGRAPHY STANDARDS

NIST crypto standards are optimized for general-purpose computers

# Designing Lightweight Primitives



- Earlier designs
  - Shorter keys, smaller block sizes, smaller security margins by design.

NIST

- Newer designs
  - Many iterations of simple rounds, simple operations (e.g., 4x4 Sboxes, bit permutations), simpler key schedules
- Engineering challenge

# Weight of an Algorithm



Weight of an algorithm is a property of its implementation depending on different metrics of the target platform.



#### Hardware applications

Area, latency, power consumption, throughput etc.

#### Software applications

# NIST Lightweight Cryptography Standardization



Public competition-like process with multiple rounds like AES, SHA3 and PQC standardization



Develop new guidelines, recommendations and standards optimized for constrained devices



Authenticated Encryption and (optional) hashing for constrained software and hardware environments

# Call for Submissions and Requirements



In August 2018, NIST published 'Submission Requirements and Evaluation Criteria for the Lightweight Cryptography Standardization Process'. Submission deadline: February 2019



#### Requirements





**Security requirements** At least 112-bit security level for messages up to 2<sup>50</sup> bytes,

etc.

#### Design requirements

Perform better than NIST standards, optimized for short messages etc.

#### **Implementation requirements**

Reference and optimized implementation compatible with API etc.

### **Evaluation Criteria**





	Date	Event
	July 2015	First Lightweight Cryptography Workshop at NIST
	October 2016	Second Lightweight Cryptography Workshop at NIST
	March 2017	Publication – NISTIR 8114 Report on Lightweight Cryptography
	August 2018	Submission call
	February 2019	Submission deadline
50	April 2019	Announcement of the first-round candidate
00	August 2019	Announcement of the second-round candidates
	October 2019	NISTIR 8268, First Round Status Report
45	November 2019	Third Lightweight Cryptography Workshop at NIST
	October 2020	Fourth Lightweight Cryptography Workshop (virtual
	March 2021	Announcement of the finalists
40 -	July 2021	NISTIR 8369, Second Round Status Report
	May 2022	Fifth Lightweight Cryptography Workshop (virtual)
	February 2023	Announcement of the selection
	June 2023	Sixth Lightweight Cryptography Workshop (virtual)

# **Evaluation through Rounds**

#### Round 1

April 2019 – August 2019 56 Round – 1 Candidates Evaluation based on security

#### Round 2

August 2019 – March 2021

32 Round – 2 Candidates

Evaluation based on security and performance

#### Round 3

March 2021 – February 2023

**10** Finalists

Evaluation based on security and performance (including protected implementations)

## Finalists



ASCON	Elephant	GIFT-COFB	Grain-128aead	ISAP
Photon-Beetle	Romulus	Sparkle	TinyJambu	Xoodyak

### Variants



Finalist	# Variants	Key size (bits)	Nonce size (bits)	Tag size (bits)	Digest size (bits)
Ascon	2 AEAD 2 hash	128 	128	128	 256
Elephant	3 AEAD	128	96	64-128	
GIFT-COFB	1 AEAD	128	128	128	
Grain-128aead	1 AEAD	128	96	64	
ISAP	4 AEAD	128	128	128	
PHOTON-Beetle	2 AEAD 1 hash	128 	128 	128 	 256
Romulus	3 AEAD 1 hash	128 	128 	128 	 256
Sparkle	4 AEAD 2 hash	128-256 	128-256 	128-256 	 256-384
TinyJambu	3 AEAD	128-256	96	64	
Xoodyak	1 AEAD 1 hash	128	128	128	 256

# Underlying Components of the Finalists NIST



# Software Benchmarking

### 

Microcontroller benchmarking by NIST LWC Team

#### **Devices:**

- 8-bit AVR
- 32-bit ARM Cortex M0+, M4, M3
- MIPS32 M4K
- Tensilica L106

#### **Metrics:**

- Code size
- Speed

Microcontroller benchmarking by Renner et al.

#### Devices:

- 8-bit AVR
- 32-bit ARM Cortex M3, M7
- Tensilica Xtensa LX6
- RISC-V

#### Metrics:

- Size
- RAM usage

Microcontroller benchmarking by Weatherly

#### **Devices:**

- AVR
- ARM Cortex-M3
- Tensilica Xtensa LX6

#### **Metrics:**

• Speed

eBACS (ECRYPT Benchmarking of Cryptographic Systems) by Lange and Bernstein

#### **Devices:**

 Many systems covering ARM, AMD, Intel, PPC, RISC V, and MIPS architectures

#### **Metrics:**

• Speed

# Number of available SW implementations

Finalist	#AEAD	#Hash	#Combined	Total
Ascon	120	110	52	282
Elephant	6	-	-	6
GIFT-COFB	11	-	-	11
Grain-128aead	6	-	-	6
ISAP	37	1	4	42
PHOTON-Beetle	20	10	16	46
Romulus	32	11	27	70
Sparkle	25	13	3	41
TinyJambu	9	-	-	9
Xoodyak	9	8	1	18
Total	275	153	103	531



#### Code size

Flash use of compiled executable for AEAD or hashing, as reported by PlatformIO.

For AEAD, compiled with support for:

- Authenticated encryption only
- Decryption-verification only
- Both encryption and decryption

#### **Execution time**

Ratio of candidate execution time over AES-GCM execution time for AEAD and hashing with various input lengths.

#### **Combined size**

The code size of combined implementations (when available).

Smallest AEAD





32-bit ARM Cortex-M0+



8-bit AVR

# Smallest hashing





32-bit ARM Cortex-M0+



ATmega328P

8-bit AVR

### **Execution time**

### 



		e	elepha	nt (4.7	1)				ç	jiftcofb	(0.92)	)
128	0.81	0.70	0.70	0.73	0.78	0.76	128	0.88	0.85	0.84		
(bytes) 64	0.85	0.68	0.68	0.73	0.79	0.77	(bytes) 64	0.80	0.77	0.77	0.75	
a length ( 32	1.07	0.78		0.82	0.87	0.81	a length ( 32	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.68	(
ated data 16	1.17	0.79	0.79	0.83	0.88	0.82	ated data 16	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.63	(
Associá 8	1.17	0.79	0.79	0.83	0.88	0.82	Associa 8	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.63	
0	1.36	0.80	0.80	0.85	0.89	0.82	0	0.90	0.79	0.79	0.75	(
	0	8 Me ro	16 essage le omulus	32 ength (by s (1.44)	64 tes)	128		0	8 Mes	16 ssage ler	32 1gth (byt	es
128	1.03	0.89	0.89	0.92	0.96	1.00			5	sparkle	e (0.73	)
64 64	1.13	0.90	0.90	0.94	0.99	1.03	ss) 4 128	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	
32							(1) N	0.04	0.05	0.05	11114	<u>ا</u>
<u></u>	1.24	0.91	0.91	0.96	1.01	1.05	ngth (byte 32 6	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	
aleu uala le	1.24 1.09	0.91 0.74	0.91 0.74	0.96 0.83	1.01 0.93	1.05 1.01	d data length (byte 16 32 6 <sup>,</sup>	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	
8 16	1.24 1.09 1.09	0.91 0.74 0.74	0.91 0.74 0.74	0.96 0.83 0.83	1.01 0.93 0.93	1.05 1.01 1.01	ssociated data length (byte 8 16 32 &	0.04 0.05 0.06 0.06	0.05	0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07	0.04 0.05 0.05	
0 8 16 (	1.24 1.09 1.09 1.57	0.91 0.74 0.74 0.93	0.91 0.74 0.74 0.93	0.96 0.83 0.83 0.99	1.01 0.93 0.93 1.04	1.05 1.01 1.01 1.07	Associated data length (byte 0 8 16 32 6	0.04 0.05 0.06 0.06 0.05	0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.06	0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.05	0.04 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.04	

ç	giftcofb	(0.92	)				
0.85	0.84	0.82	0.79	0.74	128	0.10	
0.77	0.77	0.75	0.72	0.69	(bytes) 64	0.09	
0.69	0.69	0.68	0.67	0.65	a length ( 32	0.08	
0.62	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.63	ated data 16	0.07	
0.63	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.63	Associa 8	0.05	
0.79	0.79	0.75	0.71	0.68	0	0.05	
8 Me	16 ssage lei	32 ngth (byt	64 tes)	128		0	

	sparkle (0.73)										
	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	128				
	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	(bytes) 64				
ļ	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	a length ( 32				
2	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	ated data 16				
,	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	Associa 8				
,	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0				
	0	8 Me	16 ssage lei	32 ngth (byt	64 tes)	128					

	grain128aead (2.53)									
128	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08				
(bytes) 64	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08				
a length ( 32	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07				
ated data 16	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07				
Associá 8	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07				
0	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07				
	0 8 16 32 64 124 Message length (bytes)									

tinyjambu (0.36)

0.07

0.07

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

32

0.07

0.07

0.07

0.07

0.06

0.07

64

0.07

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.06

16

Message length (bytes)

0.06

0.06

0.05

0.05

0.04

0.05

8

0.07

0.06

0.06

0.06

0.05

0.06

0

	isap (1.44)									
128	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.				
(bytes) 64	0.26	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.26	0.				
a length 32	0.32	0.40	0.42	0.35	0.28	0.				
ated data 16	0.39	0.46	0.48	0.39	0.30	0.				
Associá 8	0.37	0.45	0.47	0.38	0.29	0.				
0	0.51	0.55	0.58	0.44	0.32	0.				
	0	8 Me	16 ssage le	32 ngth (byt	64 tes)	1				

	xoodyak (1.85)									
0.07	128	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12			
0.07	(bytes) 64	0.18	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.13			
0.07	a length 32	0.20	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13			
0.07	ated data 16	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.14			
0.06	Associá 8	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.14			
0.07	0	0.38	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.15			
128		0	8 Me	16 ssage le	32 ngth (byt	64 es)	128			

Execution time ratio of smallest primary AEAD implementations to AES-GCM on nRF52840

# Benchmarking by Renner et al.





Speed comparison on Arduino Uno and ESP32 by Renner et al.

# Benchmarking by Renner et al.







Code size comparison on Arduino Uno and Maixduino by Renner et al.

### Round 2 Hardware Benchmarking



Throughput-over-Area for Authenticated Encryption and Decryption of 1536-byte messages at 75MHz by GMU

# **The Selection Process**



- Fair evaluation of finalists is challenging
  - Assigning different weights for different criteria (security, performance in software and hardware, design maturity, amount of third-party analysis, IP issues, etc.)
  - Different security claims, different functionality, attacks with different complexities etc.
  - Limited resources (not all algorithms got the same attention from the crypto community)
- Decision relied on publicly available analysis and benchmarking results.
- In February 2023, NIST announced Ascon family as the winner.
  - Large amount of third-party analysis
  - AEAD variants were listed part of the CAESAR portfolio for constrained devices.
  - No tweak
  - Performance advantage over NIST standards in software and hardware

### Next Steps



Publication of the third-round status update

Sixth Lightweight Cryptography Workshop in June 21-22 2023 (virtual) Submission deadline: May 1, 2023

**Aim:** to explain the selection process, and to discuss various aspects of lightweight cryptography standardization, such as

- Which AEAD variants to standardize? All of subset ? XOF instead of hash?
- Additionally functionality, e.g. dedicated MAC?
- Support for additional parameter sizes? e.g., larger nonce, shorter tags





NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **CONTACT US**

lightweight-crypto@nist.gov

**PUBLIC FORUM** lwc-forum@list.nist.gov

**GITHUB** https://github.com/usnistgov/Lightweight-Cryptography-Benchmarking

**WEBSITE** https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/lightweight-cryptography