# A NIST Call for Threshold-Friendly & Quantum-Resistant Fully-Homomorphic Encryption (FHE) Schemes

Cryptographic Technology Group National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

\*Presented at the 6th HomomorphicEncryption.org Standards Meeting March 23, 2023 @ Seoul (South Korea)

Suggested reading: NISTIR 8214C ipd: NIST First Call for Multi-Party Threshold Schemes (Initial Public Draft) [Jan. 2023]

\* Luís Brandão: At NIST as a Foreign Guest Researcher (non-employee), Contractor from Strativia. Expressed opinions are from the speaker and should not be construed as official NIST views. Joint work with René Peralta. Minor editorial updates on 2023-March-28.

### Outline

- 1. Introduction: NIST/PEC/Threshold
- 2. The "Threshold" Call and FHE
- 3. Concluding remarks

(Slides will be made publicly available)

FHE = fully-homomorphic encryption. NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology. PEC = privacy-enhancing cryptography

### Outline

- 1. Introduction: NIST/PEC/Threshold
- 2. The "Threshold" Call and FHE
- 3. Concluding remarks

FHE = fully-homomorphic encryption. NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology. PEC = privacy-enhancing cryptography

## **NIST:** Laboratories $\rightarrow$ Divisions $\rightarrow$ Groups

- ▶ Non-regulatory federal agency (@ U.S. Dept. Commerce)
- Mission: ... innovation ... industrial competitiveness ... measurement science, <u>standards</u>, and technology ... economic security ... quality of life.



VIST name and address plate (source: nist.gov)

## **NIST:** Laboratories $\rightarrow$ Divisions $\rightarrow$ Groups

- ▶ Non-regulatory federal agency (@ U.S. Dept. Commerce)
- Mission: ... innovation ... industrial competitiveness ... measurement science, <u>standards</u>, and technology ... economic security ... quality of life.



```
NIST name and address plate (source: nist.gov)
```

→ Cryptographic Technology Group (CTG): research, develop, engineer, and produce guidelines, recommendations and best practices for cryptographic algorithms, methods, and protocols.

## Modern/advanced cryptography

Tradition: for long, NIST has had standards for building blocks for "traditional" data security.

|                              | Traditional                     |  |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Data status                  | At rest or In transit           |  |
| Operation being secured      | Storage or Communication        |  |
| Example crypto primitives    | Encryption, Signatures, Hashing |  |
| NIST crypto standards today? | Yes                             |  |

## Modern/advanced cryptography

Tradition: for long, NIST has had standards for building blocks for "traditional" data security.

|                              | Traditional                     | Advanced     |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Data status                  | At rest or In transit           | In use       |
| Operation being secured      | Storage or Communication        | Computation  |
| Example crypto primitives    | Encryption, Signatures, Hashing | MPC, HE, ZKP |
| NIST crypto standards today? | Yes                             | No           |

Legend: HE = homomorphic encryption; MP = multi-party; MPC = (secure) MP computation; ZKP = zero-knowledge proof

## Modern/advanced cryptography

Tradition: for long, NIST has had standards for building blocks for "traditional" data security.

|                              | Traditional                     | Advanced     |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Data status                  | At rest or In transit           | In use       |
| Operation being secured      | Storage or Communication        | Computation  |
| Example crypto primitives    | Encryption, Signatures, Hashing | MPC, HE, ZKP |
| NIST crypto standards today? | Yes                             | No           |

Legend: HE = homomorphic encryption; MP = multi-party; MPC = (secure) MP computation; ZKP = zero-knowledge proof

Modernization: the "Call for MP Threshold Schemes" is connected to advanced cryptography.

## Activities in the "Crypto" Group



- Public documentation: FIPS; Special Publications (SP 800); NIST Reports (IR).
- International cooperation: government, industry, academia, standardization bodies.

Legend: BC = Block Ciphers. CC = Circuit Complexity. Crypto = Cryptography. DS = Digital Signatures. EC = Elliptic Curves. FIPS = Federal Information Processing Standards. IR = Internal or Interagency (denoting that the public NIST report was developed internally at NIST or in an interagency collaboration, respectively. IRB = Interoperable Randomness Beacons. KM = Key Management. LWC = Lightweight Crypto. PEC = Privacy-Enhancing Crypto. PQC = Post-Quantum Crypto. RNG = Random-Number Generation. SP 800 = Special Publications in Computer Security. TC = [Multi-Party] Threshold Crypto).

#### More details at https://www.nist.gov/itl/csd/cryptographic-technology

## Privacy-Enhancing Cryptography (PEC): NIST project

- A project in the NIST Cryptographic Technology Group
- ► PEC: cryptography (that can be) used to enhance privacy.

[emphasis on non-standardized tools]

PEC tools STPPA (series of talks) PEC use-case suite Threshold schemes ZKProof collaboration Encounter metrics Email list (PEC Forum)

# Privacy-Enhancing Cryptography (PEC): NIST project

- A project in the NIST Cryptographic Technology Group
- PEC: cryptography (that can be) used to enhance privacy. [emphasis on non-standardized tools]

### Goals:

1. Accompany the progress of emerging *PEC tools*.

PEC tools STPPA (series of talks) PEC use-case suite Threshold schemes ZKProof collaboration Encounter metrics Email list (PEC Forum)



Legend: ABE: attribute-based encryption. IBE: identity-based encryption. PEC: privacy-enhnacing cryptography. Symm./pub.: symmetric-key or public-key based

# Privacy-Enhancing Cryptography (PEC): NIST project

- A project in the NIST Cryptographic Technology Group
- PEC: cryptography (that can be) used to enhance privacy. [emphasis on non-standardized tools]

### Goals:

- 1. Accompany the progress of emerging PEC tools.
- 2. Promote development of PEC reference material.
- 3. Exploratory work to assess potential for recommendations, standardization; ...

| ZKP       | MPC         | FHE         | PSI          | GRS        | FnE              | PIR         | StE          |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Zero-     | (Secure)    | Fully       | Private      | Group and  | Functional       | Private     | Structured   |
| Knowledge | Multiparty  | Homomorphic | Set          | Ring       | Encryption       | Information | Encryption   |
| Proofs    | Computation | Encryption  | Intersection | Signatures | (Inc. ABE & IBE) | Retrieval   | (Symm./Pub.) |

Legend: ABE: attribute-based encryption. IBE: identity-based encryption. PEC: privacy-enhnacing cryptography. Symm./pub.: symmetric-key or public-key based

PEC tools STPPA (series of talks) PEC use-case suite Threshold schemes ZKProof collaboration Encounter metrics Email list (PEC Forum) https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/pec

## Multi-Party Threshold Cryptography: NIST project

Cryptographic primitives:



Threshold schemes (for cryptographic primitives):

- 1. Split (secret-share) the secret/private-key across multiple parties.
- 2. Use **MPC** to perform needed operation (with split key), e.g., decrypt. (MPC = secure multiparty computation ... or call it "Threshold Cryptography")



etc.

https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/threshold-cryptography

## Multi-Party Threshold Cryptography: NIST project

Cryptographic primitives:



Threshold schemes (for cryptographic primitives):

- 1. Split (secret-share) the secret/private-key across multiple parties.
- Use MPC to perform needed operation (with split key), e.g., decrypt. (MPC = secure multiparty computation ... or call it "Threshold Cryptography")
- "Threshold" (f): Operation is secure if number of corrupted parties is  $\leq f$ .
- **Decentralized** trust about key (never reconstructed): avoids single-point of failure.

https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/threshold-cryptography



etc.

### Strong feasibility result (theory): can be applied to any cryptographic primitive.

### But, in practice, some primitives are *threshold-friendlier*\* than others.

(\* i.e., informally, easier in practice to thresholdize, or amenable to more efficient threshold schemes)

Strong feasibility result (theory): can be applied to any cryptographic primitive.

But, in practice, some primitives are *threshold-friendlier*\* than others.

(\* i.e., informally, easier in practice to thresholdize, or amenable to more efficient threshold schemes)

- > Standards "should" focus on high need and potential for adoption
- ▶ Threshold friendliness: desirable feature → improves adoptability

(e.g., determ. vs. prob. threshold EdDSA/Schnorr signatures [NISTIR 8214B ipd])



Strong feasibility result (theory): can be applied to any cryptographic primitive.

But, in practice, some primitives are *threshold-friendlier*\* than others.

(\* i.e., informally, easier in practice to thresholdize, or amenable to more efficient threshold schemes)

- > Standards "should" focus on high need and potential for adoption
- ► Threshold friendliness: desirable feature → improves adoptability (e.g., determ. vs. prob. threshold EdDSA/Schnorr signatures [NISTIR 8214B ipd])

#### How to explore the threshold space?:

- applicable to a wide scope of primitives
- which brings added complexity



Strong feasibility result (theory): can be applied to any cryptographic primitive.

But, in practice, some primitives are *threshold-friendlier*\* than others.

(\* i.e., informally, easier in practice to thresholdize, or amenable to more efficient threshold schemes)

- > Standards "should" focus on high need and potential for adoption
- ► Threshold friendliness: desirable feature → improves adoptability (e.g., determ. vs. prob. threshold EdDSA/Schnorr signatures [NISTIR 8214B ipd])

#### How to explore the threshold space?:

- applicable to a wide scope of primitives
- which brings added complexity

**Next section:** A public Call for reference material ... toward recommendations.





- Introduction: NIST/PEC/Threshold
- 2. The "Threshold" Call and FHE
- 3. Concluding remarks

FHE = fully-homomorphic encryption. NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology. PEC = privacy-enhancing cryptography

## **NIST Call for Multi-Party Threshold Schemes**

NISTIR 8214C ipd (initial public draft) — public comments till 2023-April-10

Calling for threshold schemes for diverse primitives:

- Cat1: Selected NIST-standardized primitives EdDSA, ECDSA, RSA, AES, ECC-KE, ...
- ► Cat2: Primitives not specified by NIST
  - Interest in threshold friendliness and quantum resistance
  - Interest in advanced features, from PEC "tools": FHE, IBE, ZKP, ...



AES = Advanced Encryption Standard. EC = Elliptic curve. ECC-KE = EC cryptography (based) key-exchange. EdDSA = Edwards-Curve digital signature algorithm. ECDSA = EC digital signature algorithm. FHE = Fully-homomorphic encryption. IBE = Identity-based encryption. NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology. PEC = Privacy-enhancing cryptography. RSA = Rivest-Shamir-Adleman. ZKP = Zero-knowledge proof.

| Subcategory: Type |  |
|-------------------|--|
| C2.1: Signing     |  |
|                   |  |
| C2.2: <b>PKE</b>  |  |
| C2.3: Key agreem. |  |
| C2.4: Symmetric   |  |
|                   |  |
| C2.5: Keygen      |  |
|                   |  |
|                   |  |

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

#### TF = threshold friendly. QR = quantum resistant.

| Subcategory: Type | Example types of schemes                          | Example primitives |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| C2.1: Signing     | TF succinct & verifiably-deterministic signatures | Sign               |
|                   | TF-QR signatures                                  | Sign               |
|                   |   |                    |
|                   |   |                    |
|                   |   |                    |

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

Subcategory: Type

C2.6: Advanced C2.7: ZKPoK C2.8: Gadgets

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

#### TF = threshold friendly. QR = quantum resistant.

| Subcategory: Type | Example types of schemes                         | Example primitives  |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|
|                   |  |                     |
|                   |  |                     |
|                   |  |                     |
|                   |  |                     |
|                   |  |                     |
| C2.6: Advanced    | TF-QR fully-homomorphic encryption               | Decryption; Keygen  |
|                   | TF identity-based and attribute-based encryption | Decryption; Keygens |
|                   |  |                     |
|                   |  |                     |

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

| Subcategory: Type | Example types of schemes                         | Example primitives |
|-------------------|--|--------------------|
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |
| 2.7: <b>ZKPoK</b> | Zero-knowledge proof of knowledge of private key | ZKPoK.Generate     |
|                   |  |                    |
|                   |  |                    |

| Subcategory: Type | Example types of schemes | Example primitives       |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
|                   |                          |                          |
| C2.8: Gadgets     | Garbled circuit (GC)     | GC.generate; GC.evaluate |

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

#### TF = threshold friendly. QR = quantum resistant.

| Subcategory: Type  | Example types of schemes                                     | Example primitives               |
|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| C2.1: Signing      | TF succinct & verifiably-deterministic signatures            | Sign                             |
|                    | TF-QR signatures   | Sign                             |
| C2.2: <b>PKE</b>   | TF-QR <b>p</b> ublic- <b>k</b> ey <b>e</b> ncryption (PKE)   | Decrypt/Encrypt (a secret value) |
| C2.3: Key agreem.  | TF Low-round multi-party <b>k</b> ey- <b>a</b> greement (KA) | Single-party primitives          |
| C2.4: Symmetric    | TF blockcipher/PRP   | Encipher/decipher                |
|                    | TF key-derivation / key-confirmation                         | PRF and hash function            |
| C2.5: Keygen       | Any of the above   | Keygen                           |
| C2.6: Advanced     | TF-QR fully-homomorphic encryption                           | Decryption; Keygen               |
|                    | TF identity-based and attribute-based encryption             | Decryption; Keygens              |
| C2.7: <b>ZKPoK</b> | Zero-knowledge proof of knowledge of private key             | ZKPoK.Generate                   |
| C2.8: Gadgets      | Garbled circuit (GC)   | GC.generate; GC.evaluate         |

Note: While TF-QR is a desired combination for any type of scheme, some examples show just TF to highlight that it is welcome even if not QR.

### Confidence and expectations about FHE subcategory (C2.6.1)

- ▶ 5+ years of "HomomorphicEncryption.Org Standardization" (HES)
- Community efforts like HES are very useful  $\Rightarrow$  FHE is in this call.

## Confidence and expectations about FHE subcategory (C2.6.1)

- ▶ 5+ years of "HomomorphicEncryption.Org Standardization" (HES)
- Community efforts like HES are very useful  $\Rightarrow$  FHE is in this call.

### Welcome (and needed) interaction?

- 1. Feedback about the call: [initial comments by April 10th, 2023]
  - a. positioning of FHE as an advanced primitive
  - b. benchmarking use-cases vs. types of FHE and their thresholdizability

## Confidence and expectations about FHE subcategory (C2.6.1)

- ► 5+ years of "HomomorphicEncryption.Org Standardization" (HES)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Community efforts like HES are very useful  $\Rightarrow$  FHE is in this call.

### Welcome (and needed) interaction?

- 1. Feedback about the call: [initial comments by April 10th, 2023]
  - a. positioning of FHE as an advanced primitive
  - b. benchmarking use-cases vs. types of FHE and their thresholdizability
- 2. Submissions of concrete FHE schemes and their threshold schemes:
  - specified w/ concrete parameters, implemented (open source), reproducible,  $\dots$
- 3. Public scrutiny of submitted schemes:
  - will impact subsequent recommendations (processes and guidance)

### Example FHE use-case

The draft call (§A.6.1) exemplifies one FHE use-case: AES oblivious evaluation

(AES = Advanced Encryption Standard)

## Example FHE use-case

### The draft call (§A.6.1) exemplifies one FHE use-case: AES oblivious evaluation

(AES = Advanced Encryption Standard)

- 1. Client FHE-encrypts a plaintext message
- 2. Server with AES-key homomorphically-evaluates the AESenciphering
- 3. Client FHE-decrypts the result to obtain the AES-ciphertext

AES is a blockcipher. E.g., AES-128 as Boolean circuit has 6400 ANDs and  $\approx$  22K XOR.

## Example FHE use-case

### The draft call (§A.6.1) exemplifies one FHE use-case: AES oblivious evaluation

(AES = Advanced Encryption Standard)

- 1. Client FHE-encrypts a plaintext message
- 2. Server with AES-key homomorphically-evaluates the AESenciphering
- 3. Client FHE-decrypts the result to obtain the AES-ciphertext

AES is a blockcipher. E.g., AES-128 as Boolean circuit has 6400 ANDs and  $\approx$  22K XOR.

#### What can conceivably be thresholdized (§A.6.2)?

- FHE-keygen and FHE-decryption (with secret-shared FHE decryption key)
- ▶ FHE encryption (and decryption) of secret-shared plaintext
- Homomorphic evaluation of "AES-enciphering with secret-shared AES key"

### Example items of wanted feedback

(Things to consider when finalizing the call)

- Benchmarking use-cases across types of FHE
  - E.g., Boolean circuits; arithmetic circuits (large modulus); approximate computations; ...
- Use-cases for which primitives to thresholdize? (e.g., beyond keygen and decryption)
- Which FHE schemes are likely to be useful/ready to submit
- Expected security, in comparison with NIST-selected PQC primitives.

It is useful to hear these things publicly, from stakeholders.

## Main components of a submission package

| Check | #  | ltem                                  |
|-------|----|---------------------------------------|
|       | M1 | Written specification (S1–S16)        |
|       | M2 | Reference implementation (Src1–Src4)  |
|       | М3 | Execution instructions (X1–X7)        |
|       | M4 | Experimental evaluation (Perf1–Perf5) |
|       | M5 | Additional statements                 |

- ▶ (Optional) early public abstract: 3 months after final call
- (Optional) preliminary submission to check completeness:  $\approx$  45 days before deadline
- $\blacktriangleright$  Package-submission deadline:  $\approx 5$  months after final call



- 1. Introduction: NIST/PEC/Threshold
- 2. The "Threshold" Call and FHE
- 3. Concluding remarks

FHE = fully-homomorphic encryption. NIST = National Institute of Standards and Technology. PEC = privacy-enhancing cryptography

### **Assorted brief notes**

#### The call covers other technicalities:

- Requirements about system model and security formulation
- Feedback: does FHE deserve some exception or add-on?
- ZKPs for FHE are also mentioned

#### More about the process:

- A submission can jointly cover a family of schemes
- How will this community compose teams for submission?
- ▶ Would a further clarification session/alignment be useful before the final call?

## **Concluding remarks**

#### **Intended progress**

- 1. Feedback that helps improves the final call version, facilitating good submissions.
- 2. Submissions of FHE schemes along with their threshold schemes.
- 3. Public analysis clarifying for technical recommendations (and subsequent processes).

## **Concluding remarks**

#### Intended progress

- 1. Feedback that helps improves the final call version, facilitating good submissions.
- 2. Submissions of FHE schemes along with their threshold schemes.
- 3. Public analysis clarifying for technical recommendations (and subsequent processes).

#### Ohter notes useful notes to recall:

- ▶ {"threshold is useful" and "FHE  $\in$  PEC" }  $\Rightarrow$  FHE subcategory in the threshold call.
- ▶ Not a competition for a selection, but rather a gathering of reference material.
- ▶ Work developed with other SDOs and in community efforts is also welcome.

## Thank you for your attention! Questions?

#### A NIST Call for Threshold-Friendly & Quantum-Resistant Fully-Homomorphic Encryption (FHE) Schemes

Presented at the 6th HomomorphicEncryption.org Standards Meeting

luis.brandao@nist.gov — March 23, 2023 @ Seoul (South Korea)

- ▶ NISTIR 8214C ipd: NIST First Call for Multi-Party Threshold Schemes (Initial Public Draft)
- Public comments: send via email nistir-8214C-comments@nist.gov, by April 10th, 2013
- PEC Website: https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/pec
- PEC-Forum: https://list.nist.gov/PEC-forum
- MPTC Website: https://csrc.nist.gov/projects/threshold-cryptography
- MPTC-Forum: https://list.nist.gov/MPTC-forum