

FIPS 203 Update

Quynh Dang
Computer Security Division
NIST PQC

April 11, 2024

FIPS 203: **Module-Lattice-based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism (ML-KEM)**

Brief timeline:

- **07/22:** NIST PQC Round 3 ends
- **12/22:** Kyber team proposes changes to Kyber v3.02, asks community for feedback
 - Choice of symmetric primitives in Kyber
 - Modify FO transform
- **04/23:** NIST incorporates FO modification into draft FIPS 203, asks community for feedback
- **08/23:** Draft FIPS 203 released, request for public comment

- 42 commenters (submitting 90 pages)
 - Some comments common to FIPS 203, 204, 205
 - Some comments unique to FIPS 203
 - All comments are available on the NIST PQC Project page

- Lots of forum posts

Auxiliary functions

- Choice of hash functions/XOFs (e.g., SHA2 vs SHA3)

Spec/guidance

- SHAKE API (for using it as a byte stream)
- Implementation guidance (bytes vs bits, test vectors, etc.)
- Testing/validation
- Security strength categories

Editorial

- Unify language and notation across FIPS 203 and 204
- Clarify various pieces of text

Core algorithms

1. Revert fully to Kyber v3.0 (combines changes 2-5)
2. Revert FO change (reintroduce hash of ciphertext)
3. *KeyGen*: revert indexing of A-matrix
4. *Encaps*: reintroduce hash of RNG output
5. *Encaps*: don't validate public key
6. *Decaps*: switch to explicit rejection
7. *Decaps*: change order of inputs to J() in Step 7 (see later slides)

Spec/guidance

- Allow storing keys as seeds
- Update 56C to support use of ML-KEM
- Provide more guidance on KEMs and their usage

Parameter sets

- Remove Kyber-512 entirely

NIST **does** plan to do the following in FIPS 203:

1. Revert A-matrix indexing (minor but compatibility-breaking change)

2. Specify XOF API (for SHAKE)

- Three operations: *Initialize*, *Absorb*, *Squeeze*
- Rewrite *SampleNTT* to use this API

Why? Existing standards did not allow using SHAKE as a stream

3. Specify "lower-level" derandomized API

- "top-level" API remains the same (i.e., randomized *KeyGen* and *Encaps*)
- each top-level algorithm validates inputs, then runs RNG, then calls low-level algorithm

Why? Enables CAVP testing: KATs well-defined and allows storing keys as seeds

Feedback requested on this!

Request for feedback

Recall Step 7 in *Decaps*: $J(z || c)$.

- Comment 1: change to $J(c || z)$ so that masking the permutations on c not needed (a)
- Comment 2: change to $J(z || H(c))$ as an alternative (b)
- Revealing z makes *Decaps* become explicit rejection.
- (b) computes 1 permutation more than (a) for ML-KEM-768.
- Both options require masking only 1 permutation.

We welcome your comments/input.

NIST plans to do the following to support FIPS 203:

1. Current Key Validation

- Encaps and Decaps presently do input validation
- Additional text and guidance will be in SP 800-227
- We are still discussing internally

2. KDFs and KEM Combiners

- KDFs of SP 800-56C can be applied to shared secrets (K) generated as specified in FIPS 203
- More guidance for (IND-CCA2) hybrid KEMs will be provided in the forthcoming SP 800-227
- We are still discussing internally

NIST does **NOT** plan to do any of the following:

1. Give general KEM guidance in FIPS 203 (see forthcoming SP 800-227 instead)
2. Remove ML-KEM-512
3. Reintroduce hash of RNG output in *Encaps*
4. Revert FO change (reintroduce hash of ciphertext)
5. Switch to explicit rejection in *Decaps*
6. Revert to Kyber v3.0

(See forum for discussions of pros/cons.)

Thank you

The NIST logo is located in the top right corner of the slide. It consists of the letters "NIST" in a bold, white, sans-serif font.A vertical orange bar is positioned on the left side of the slide, extending from the top text area down to the middle text area.

Please share your comments and suggestions!

Send comments to pqc-comments@nist.gov
Public discussions: pqc-forum@list.nist.gov