## FIPS 204 STATUS UPDATE

Ray Perlner
Computer Security Division
NIST



### FIPS 204 AND ML-DSA

FIPS 204 specifies ML-DSA Based on the Selected NIST PQC submission CRYSTALS-Dilithium

- A lattice-based signature scheme
- In the Fiat-Shamir with aborts paradigm
- Uses modules over an NTT friendly ring  $F_q[x]/\langle x^{256}+1\rangle$

ML-DSA is expected to become the main NIST Approved signature scheme for general use

- Relatively small signatures and keys
- Fast KeyGen, Signing, and Verification
- Not as small as Falcon/FN-DSA, but doesn't need floating point arithmetic

## FIPS 204 COMMENT PERIOD

- Draft FIPS 204 was posted August 24, 2023 on the NIST website:
   <a href="https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/fips/204/ipd">https://csrc.nist.gov/pubs/fips/204/ipd</a>
- In the 90 day comment period we had 37 commenters give feedback (80 pages)

Lots of pqc-forum discussion, both before and after

## ML-DSA.SIGN (OUTLINE)

- 1. Expand secret key  $(s_1, s_2, ...)$  using skDecode
- 2. Expand matrix A using ExpandA
- 3. Create message representative:  $\mu \leftarrow H(tr \mid M)$
- 4. Perform Rejection Sampling loop until a valid signature  $(\tilde{c}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h})$  is produced
  - 1.  $y \leftarrow \text{ExpandMask}(\text{"Per-Sig-Random"}, \text{"Counter"})$
  - 2.  $\tilde{c} \leftarrow H(HighBits(Ay), \mu)$
  - 3.  $c \leftarrow SampleInBall(\tilde{c})$
  - 4.  $z \leftarrow y + c s_1$
  - 5.  $h \leftarrow MakeHint(...)$
- 5. Pack Signature using SigEncode (which calls HintBitPack)

## CHANGES IN DRAFT FIPS

Draft FIPS 204 introduced a few changes from version 3.1 of the Dilithium Spec <a href="https://pq-crystals.org/dilithium/data/dilithium-specification-round3-20210208.pdf">https://pq-crystals.org/dilithium/data/dilithium-specification-round3-20210208.pdf</a>

- The lengths of tr and  $ilde{c}$  were increased aiming to increase security strength for BUFF properties
- The default way to generate "Per-Sig-Random" was changed from fully deterministic to "hedged"
- (Unintentional) the pseudocode for HintBitUnpack was missing a check that was present in the Dilithium reference implementation

# PLANNED SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES FROM THE DRAFT FIPS (CHANGES THAT AFFECT BACKWARDS COMPATIBILITY)

#### Change SampleInBall to take all of $\tilde{c}$ , rather than just the first 256 bits

 We don't think this makes a security difference, but the new way is cleaner, and several commenters requested it

#### Change ExpandMask to use SHAKE output from the beginning rather than at an offset

• As pointed out by Vadim Lyubashevsky, offset not necessary to prevent SHAKE output bits from being reused

#### Fix missing check in HintBitUnpack

- Check is necessary for Strong Unforgeability (SUF-CMA)
- Thanks to Mike Hamburg for pointing this out and to Sönke Jendral for confirming the security impact

#### Domain Separated Pure and Pre-hash variants

- Similar change planned for FIPS 205 (Except, for ML-DSA, no SHAKE256 pre-hash would be redundant)
- To be discussed in upcoming panel

## PLANNED SELECTED "EDITORIAL" CHANGES

Fixed lengths of private key and signature in tables and algorithm Input/Output description

Several commenters noted these did not match the pseudocode

Use of SHAKE with indeterminant output length described with "Streaming Interface"

Similar change planned for FIPS 203

Treat hash functions as inputting/outputting byte strings (except when hashing message -- which may be a bit string)

Removed an unnecessary check for the weight of the hint in Verification

Hint Unpacking already guarantees the weight of the hint is small enough (pointed out by Beat Heeb)

Explicitly allow implementations to limit iterations in while loops

- Provide minimum number of implementations such that hitting limit (without bug) will be cryptographically rare
- Similar change planned for FIPS 203

Lower level "derandomized API"

- For testing, random values can be treated as inputs to inner keygen and signing functions
- Similar change planned for FIPS 203 and FIPS 205

## SELECTED NON-CHANGES

Some suggestions from the public comments we do NOT currently plan to accept:

- Replace XOF with DRBG during sampling procedures (ExpandA, ExpandMask)
- Replace XOF with RNG during sampling procedures
- Replace all hashing using SHAKE with SHA2
- Swap the order of tr and M in computing the message representative
- Increase the size of the private random seed during keygen from 32 bytes

Generally, we defaulted to not making a change when the case seemed borderline

## THANK YOU!

We welcome your comments/Questions!

Also feel free to send comments via email:

- Send comments to <u>pqc-comments@nist.gov</u>
- Public discussions: pqc-forum@list.nist.gov