Document #: MCS-PWG 2022-023

NIST Multi-Cloud Security Public Working Group (MCSPWG)

DRAFT Meeting Minutes

Meeting #18, September 26, 2022, 3:00 PM ET

		Action items
1	The meeting at 3:07 PM ET, September 26, 2022. — Annex A – draft agenda posted in the meeting chat. All meeting minutes and agendas available on MCSPWG / SLACK — Annex B provides a copy of the meeting chat. — Annex C captures meeting attendees — No recording was stored for the meeting.	
1.1	Brian Ruf began the meeting with explanation on the agenda.	
1.2	Meeting notes for the meeting on September 12 were available on MCSPWG / SLACK == Quote We met yesterday and Michaela Iorga reviewed the CONOPS and the comments submitted - please see responses to the comments on the updated version of the CONOPS https://docs.google.com/document/d/1KRGiuoEUkWKSs-dEHVJUjLIDTjYwhGK2/edit., patterns https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1RkWPMgj8FbXAdfjHyJF590pyGsGreyjU (Unquote)	
2.	The CONOPS was presented, and the comments received were reviewed at the last meeting. There were no additional comments submitted. In respect that Amazon had only recently joined MCSPWG, we will extend the review period to next Friday before finalizing the CONOPS. [Michaela reminded us that the research format and plan for Zero Trust are not included in the latest version of CONOPS. If we are to consider starting a group on Zero Trust simultaneously with ATO, the format will need to be completed in CONOPS.]	Finalize CONOPS by Friday, October 7??
3	Brian presented the proposed initial scope of focus for ATO https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ptPnBfXctFAJYEZXYJU85DCnlsZsvxEa/edit, and it was edited from the meeting discussion. Greg Thomas asked about the definition on provider, and it was accepted to cloud service provider [reference to NIST SP 500-292 https://tsapps.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=909505]. Tyler Messa asked about definition from ISO/IEC 22123-1 [https://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c080350_ISO_IEC_22123-1_2021(E).zip – Also see reference links for both documents in the chat (Annex B).	

4	A call will be sent to MCSPWG members to indicate their interest to participate in ATO, Zero Trust (ZT) or both via email to mcsec <mcsec@nist.gov>.	
5	Next Meeting – the next meeting is on Monday, October 24, as October 10 is a US Federal holiday.	
6	Meeting adjourned at 4:04 PM ET.	

Annex A

The following draft agenda was shared in the Bluejeans chat during the meeting by Brian Ruf.

- Open
- Recap from last meeting (does someone have meeting notes that can recap?)
- Revisit ConOps and ask for final feedback before solidifying
- Introduce our Scope Scenario
  o Describe
  o Solicit questions and feedback
  o Seek concurrence on using
- Solicit interest in research participation related to the ATO aspect of this scenario
- Discuss research logistics
  o Research meetings/check-ins
  o Research repository (Google)
- Solicit other topics/questions
- Closeout Meeting

Meeting logistics

Multi-cloud Security Public Working Group Bi-weekly Meeting (VIRTUAL)
The agenda for each meeting will be included in the email reminder.
Please feel free to propose items for the agenda by emailing those topics to us at mcsec@nist.gov
The charter of the WG**: https://csrc.nist.gov/Projects/mcspwg/mcspw-charter**

BlueJeans virtual meeting: **https://nist.bluejeans.com/825766225/2335**
Phone Dial-in
+1.202.795.3352 (United States (Washington DC))
+1.408.317.9254 (US (San Jose))
(Global Numbers)
Meeting ID: 825 766 225
Passcode: 2335

Want to test your video connection?
https://bluejeans.com/111

To view this discussion on the web visit
https://groups.google.com/a/list.nist.gov/d/msgid/mcspwg/SA1PR09MB7968B0E372D44835B33FD2A29DD49%40SA1PR09MB7968.namprd09.prod.outlook.com.

Annex B

Meeting Chat

MCSPWG

September 26, 2022

(2:59 PM) Greg: Good thanks!

(2:59 PM) Greg: Ready to work

(3:08 PM) Brian Ruf: - Open

- Recap from last meeting (does someone have meeting notes that can recap?)

- Revisit ConOps and ask for final feedback before solidifying

- Introduce our Scope Scenario

o Describe

o Solicit questions and feedback

o Seek concurrence on using

- Solicit interest in research participation related to the ATO aspect of this scenario

- Discuss research logistics

o Research meetings/check-ins

o Research repository (Google)

- Solicit other topics/questions

- Closeout Meeting

(3:09 PM) Larisa Gabudeanu: i'm ok

(3:13 PM) Sokol, Annie: Meeting notes were left on MCSPWG / SLACK == We met yesterday and Michaela Iorga reviewed the CONOPS and the comments submitted - please see responses to the comments on the updated version of the CONOPS https://docs.google.com/document/d/1KRGiuoEUkWKSs-dEHVJUjLlDTjYwhGK2/edit., patterns https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1RkWPMgj8FbXAdfjHyJF590pyGsGreyjU (edited)

(3:13 PM) Brian Ruf: Thank you Annie!

(3:18 PM) Brian Ruf: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ptPnBfXctFAJYEZXYJU85DCnlsZsvxEa/edit

(3:19 PM) Greg: How do you define the word provider?

(3:19 PM) Greg: No

(3:20 PM) Greg: Cloud service provider is different than provider

(3:20 PM) Michaela Iorga:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1Ind_S5qqeXrKnigUHmb4vMSZ4kdy0u45?usp=sharing

(3:20 PM) Messa, Tyler: Are using ISO/IEC standards for this problematic given IPR issues with circulating copies for people to review?

(3:22 PM) Sokol, Annie: NIST SP 500-292https://tsapps.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=909505 defines cloud <service> provider

(3:22 PM) Messa, Tyler: Thanks Annie

(3:22 PM) Greg: https://www.terraform.io/language/providers

(3:22 PM) Greg: Each provider adds a set of resource types and/or data sources that Terraform can manage.

(3:24 PM) Messa, Tyler: 22123-1

(3:26 PM) Sokol, Annie: ISO/IEC 22123-1
https://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c080350_ISO_IEC_22123-1_2021(E).zip There are differences between NIST SP 500-292 and ISO/IEC 22123-1

(3:26 PM) Greg: Clear

(3:29 PM) BC: When referring to gov't orgs does that exclude public private partnerships (PPPs)?

(3:34 PM) BC: A1 A2 A3...?

(3:36 PM) BC: Where do shared SaaS environments fit?

(3:39 PM) BC: Thanks for clarifying Michaela

(3:47 PM) Greg: You mentioned ATO and Zero Trust... What is the break up of work? Is there multiple teams again?

(3:48 PM) Greg: Thanks!

(3:49 PM) Michaela Iorga: @BC - The chairs are trying to start with a very simple scenario to excercise a robust research approach and documentation, before the scenario becomes more complicated.

(3:54 PM) Aradhna: Sorry I was late in Joining...

(3:55 PM) Aradhna: Zero Trust

(3:55 PM) Aradhna: for me

(3:56 PM) Larisa Gabudeanu: ATO for me

(3:56 PM) Greg: Zero Trust (Specifically Service Mesh/Secrets Management).

(3:56 PM) BC: Could you send out an email call?

(3:56 PM) Aradhna: We can use our spreadsheet we already had for participation on Zero trust

(3:57 PM) Abdul: Interested in both Zero Trust and ATO

(3:57 PM) BC: Ok

(3:57 PM) Sokol, Annie: email your interest in ZT, ATO or both to mcsec@nist.gov by October 30

(3:57 PM) Michaela Iorga: mcsec@nist.gov.

(3:57 PM) BC: I'm interested in both as well

(3:57 PM) BC: ok

Annex C

Meeting Attendees

Abdul Sattar

Annie Sokol

Aradhna Chetal

Austen Bryan

Bev Corwin

Brian Ruf

Deb Mukherjee

Erik Johnson

Greg Thomas

Larisa Gabudeanu

Michaela Iorga

Ned Goren

Parastou Shalchian

Robert Combo

Tyler Messa

Victor Hickman