

**From:** [800-171comments@list.nist.gov](mailto:800-171comments@list.nist.gov) on behalf of [REDACTED]  
**To:** [800-171comments@list.nist.gov](mailto:800-171comments@list.nist.gov)  
**Subject:** [800-171 Comments] NIST 800-171 Comment: Updating Section 2.1 or Adding Security Requirement(s)  
**Date:** Friday, September 16, 2022 2:53:59 PM

---

Hi NIST,

Below are some comments on updating section 2.1 or adding a Security Requirement to NIST 800-171.

Please don't hesitate to reach out if you have any questions.

**Background:**

While attending the CMMC Day on May 15<sup>th</sup>, I had a chance to hear Victoria Pillitteri speak. During her talk, she said that when the NIST 800-171 requirements were being developed, NIST assumed that companies implementing NIST 800-171 would have policies in place to support the NIST 800-171 requirements.

For better or for worse, stakeholders only want to implement what's in NIST 800-171 and they also have too many competing priorities that prevent them from attending industry events to hear about the assumptions NIST used to create NIST 800-171. This is especially the case, when it comes to executive sponsors that are paying for their companies NIST 800-171 program.

**Recommended Change:**

Below are two options for increasing transparency about the assumption NIST made on companies having policies in place to implement the NIST 800-171 Requirements. These changes will provide clarity to all non-federal organizations, that need to implement NIST 800-171.

**Option 1**

Update NIST 800-171 Section 2.1, to include the assumption that non-federal organizations have policies in place to support the implementation of NIST 800-171 Security Requirements.

**Option 2**

Add a new Security Requirement to NIST 800-171, that requires the development and maintenance of policies to support the implementation of the NIST 800-171 Security Requirements. You could implement this option in one of two ways. First, you could create a single Security Requirement that requires the development and maintenance of a policy for all of the Security Requirements. Alternatively, you could take a NIST 800-53 approach, where there would be 14 Security Requirements, 1 Policy Requirement for each of the 14 security requirement families in NIST 800-171.

Cheers,

**Andrew Freund**  
**Founder & CEO**

[REDACTED]

