

Panel: Voting System Manufacturers Look to the Future NIST/EAC Future of Voting Systems Symposium

February 26, 2013

Michelle M. Shafer Director of Communications & External Relations SOE Software, a Scytl Company <u>mshafer@soesoftware.com</u> / 512.567.4740



Election Modernization





Election Moderization

Global Customers





- Possibility for Disenfranchisement
 - Long Lines
 - Voter Confusion
 - Human Error Potential
 - Coercion/Intimidation
 - Accessibility / Usability Issues
- Fraud Potential
- Expensive
 - Hardware-based
 - Paper-centric



- Examples of processes that have been revolutionized by software & online technology:
 - Shopping
 - Banking
 - Education
 - Photography
 - Securities Trading
 - Printing
- It is time for governments / election officials to also take advantage of online technology.



- As society and culture has changed, voting has adapted.
 - We must continue to foster this continuous improvement in election technology.
- A more diverse, dispersed electorate must be served.
- Federal government recognized this need with the implementation of HAVA and the MOVE Act.
- There is a great need for accessible, usable voting solutions that are also secure:
 - Everyone has the right to cast to cast a ballot, privately, securely and without assistance.
 - Mobility Challenged / Immobile /Home-bound
 - Blind & Visually-Impaired / Macular Degeneration
 - Neurologically / Psychologically Impaired / PSTD
- The evolution continues.



- A secure, more accessible and efficient voting option
- A system that allows registered voters to opt-in and vote on an internet-connected device securely and confidently
- Technology currently exists to:
 - Authenticate that the voter has the right to vote
 - Confirm that the ballot was submitted correctly and properly counted
 - Assure the privacy and the sanctity of the anonymous vote
 - Ensure the integrity of the election



(3)

Online Voting Overview

System Security



- Ballot is encrypted using asymmetric cryptography.
- The voter is provided with a counted as cast voting receipt.
- The ballot is securely stored in the tamper-proof digital ballot box.
 - The ballots are decrypted by the Election Authority using a mixing process.
 - Voters can verify that their vote was counted.



- Providing an additional voting method
- Less human error potential
- Available for voters who "opt-in"
- Uses existing technology
- Can be implemented in small-scale pilots, over time
- Possibility of increased voter turnout



- Allows jurisdictions to "plug & play", utilizing component solutions from multiple providers:
 - In-polling place voting equipment
 - Electronic pollbooks
 - Electronic ballot delivery solutions
 - By-mail balloting
 - Voter registration systems
 - Election Night Reporting
 - Auditing tools
- SOE utilized EML during 2012 elections for some EBD customers.
- SOE works with data output from all major voting equipment providers with ENR solution; CDF would make process more efficient.



Challenges Opportunities for Education

Perceptions of insecurity

- Possible changes required of voter verification process – Voter ID laws
- Federal & state certification process
 - Current VVSG do not specifically address online voting technologies.
 - Current certification processes address tabulation systems but do not address individual voting channel options live internet voting / electronic ballot delivery or ancillary devices like electronic pollbooks.
- Voter Education
- Overcoming inertia



Engaging in Pilots

- Educate the public
- Demonstrate new technology in a variety of locations and formats
- Provide opportunity for state & local elections officials to participate in the implementation
- Independent verification before, during and after election





www.soesoftware.com