Thriving in Between Theory and Practice: How Applied Cryptography Bridges the Gap

Matilda Backendal

Applied Cryptography Group ETH Zurich



&

Miro Haller

Computer Science and Engineering University of California, San Diego



NIST Crypto Reading Club, April 3, 2024

CAW: Cryptographic Applications Workshop



- 1. Formalizing the security of deployed cryptography. PROOFS
 - Constructing cryptographic primitives and systems for practice. DESIGN
- 3. The industry perspective on deployment and maintenance of cryptography.



2.

CAW: Cryptographic Applications Workshop

More on caw.cryptanalysis.fun

	Sunday, May 26 2024	
9:10–9:35 (CEST)	"Practical Private Information Retrieval for Real Databases" by Sofía Celi, Alex Davidson	
9:35—10:00 (CEST)	"How to Encrypt a File at Scale" by Moreno Ambrosin, Fernando Lobato Meeser	
10:00–10:30 (CEST)	"Analyzing Cryptography in Context: The Case Study of Apple's CSAM Scanning Proposal" by Gabriel Kaptchuk	
11:00–11:45 (CEST)	"Why we can't have nice (cryptographic) things" by Henry Corrigan- Gibbs (invited speaker)	~
11:45–12:30 (CEST)	"Recent Results on Group Messaging (title TBD)" by Daniel Collins, Phillip Gajland, Paul Rösler	
13:30-14:00 (CEST)	"Securing semi-open group messaging" by Fernando Virdia	\sim
14:00–14:30 (CEST)	"A Computational Security Analysis of Signal's PQXDH handshake" by Rune Fiedler	~
14:30–15:00 (CEST)	"Bytes to schlep? Use a FEP: Hiding Protocol Metadata with Fully Encrypted Protocols" by Aaron Johnson	
15:30-16:00 (CEST)	"Computing on your data with MPC" by Christopher Patton	\sim
16:00–17:00 (CEST)	Panel on standardization	\sim

Standards!

The Gap



The Gap



The Gap



Taxonomy of Cryptography

	Theory	Practice
Theory	T2T	T2P
Practice	P2T	P2P

Bridging the Gap



Workshop on Attacks in Cryptography

Dual-PRF Security of HMAC

Based on work with Mihir Bellare, Felix Günther & Matteo Scarlata

HMAC: the Swiss Army Knife of Crypto

HMAC [CRYPTO'96:BCK] is

- a hash-based MAC,
 - standardized,
 - provably secure,
 - versatile,
 - and widely used.

...as a PRF [C'96:BCK, C'06:Bel, C'14:GPR].

This doesn't match current usage!







HMAC in Action



TLS 1.3 Key Schedule



HMAC Is Assumed to Be a Dual-PRF

In the analysis of:

- TLS 1.3 PSK [JoC'22:DFGS] •
- KEMTLS [CCS'20:SSW] •
- PQ Wireguard [S&P'21:HNSWZ] •
- PQ Noise [CCS'22:ADHSW]
- Messaging Layer Security (MLS) [S&P'22:BCK]

The first assumption is concerned with the use of HMAC as a dual PRF (cf. [Bell 1 . . .

Theorem 6.2 (Multi-Stage security of TLS1.3-PSK-ORTT). The TLS 1.3 PSK 0-RTT is Multi-Stage-secure with properties (M, AUTH, FS, USE, REPLAY) given above. Formating, for any efficient adversary \mathcal{A} against the Multi-Stage security there exist efficient algorithms $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_8$ such that

> Advdual-PRF-sec A J. PRF-sec

In PQ-WireGuard a dual-PRF appears in the form of a key derivation function KDF(X, Y) = Z that takes two inputs, Z and Y, and outputs a bit string Z consisting of three block $Z = Z_1 ||Z_2||Z_3$. We write $\mathsf{KDF}_i(X, Y)$ for the *i*-th block o output of KDF(X, Y), i.e., Z_i . The reason why KDF has to be a dual-PRF is discussed in Section IV-A.

Assumptions. We make standard key indistinguishability and collision-resistance assumptions on the key derivation functions (KDF) and assume indistinguishability under chosenciphertext attacks (IND-CCA) secure public-key encryption, as well as that the Extract function in Krawczyk's HKDF design [24] is a dual pseudorandom function and thus, we assume that HKDF is a dual KDF, which has also been assumed in the analysis of Noise [21] and TLS 1.3 [12].

 n_s^2

THEOREM 4.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an algorithm, and let n_s be the number of sessions and n_{μ} be the number of parties. Then the advantage of \mathcal{A} in breaking the multi-stage security of KEMTLS is upper-bounded by

ne

+2 $\epsilon_{\text{HKDF,Ext}}^{\text{dual-PRF-sec}}$ + 3 $\epsilon_{\text{HKDF,Exp}}^{\text{PRF-sec}}$ 2 nonce Theorem NHO *Object* 1. Noise Hash pseudo-random Hash-Object if secure HMAC-HASH is a dual-prf with: $\operatorname{Adv}_{NHO, \mathcal{A}, q_i}^{PRHO}(1^{\lambda})$ < $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathit{CollRes}}_{\mathsf{HMAC-HASH},\,\mathcal{A}'}\left(1^{\lambda}\right) + \\ \mathsf{Adv}^{\mathit{PRF-SWAP}}_{\mathsf{HMAC-HASH},\,\mathcal{A}'}\left(1^{\lambda}\right) + \end{array}$ where q refers to the $(2 \cdot q) \cdot \mathsf{Adv}_{\mathsf{HMAC-HASH}, \mathcal{A}'}^{\mathsf{PRF}}$ total number of oracle-queries.

 $\epsilon_{\text{KEM}_{e}}^{\text{IND-1CCA}} + \epsilon_{\text{HKDF.Ext}}^{\text{PRF-sec}}$

Appendix Afor a proof. Intuitively the ance of HMAC-HASH implies that only pries result in equal states and the HMACdual-PRF (see Appendix B.2) ensures that en added to a chain, its first state becomes which is retained upon subsequent calls.

The HMAC Gap HMAC IS A DUAL-PRF! ᠕ᠰ ᠕ᠰ Theory Practice DUAL-PRF SECURITY • VARIABLE KEY LENGTH • HMAC: $\{0,1\}^* \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^c$



TLS 1.3 Key Schedule



Is HMAC a Variable-Key Length Dual-PRF?

Is HMAC a Variable-Key Length Dual-PRF?

Why Did the Gap Arise?

Why Did the Gap Arise?

End-to-End Encrypted Cloud Storage

Based on work with Hannah Davis, Felix Günther & Kenny Paterson

Why Do We Want E2EE Cloud Storage?

E2EE Cloud Storage Implementation

- Client-side encryption
 - Pick fresh key to encrypt file
- Issue on download
 - Retrieving key on another device
- Solution
 - Send key encrypted with password over server
- Untrusted server
 - Key overwriting attacks

P2T Example: The Cryptanalysis of MEGA

*highly simplified

Challenge-response authentication

Server:

- Send secret key sk encrypted with password pw
- Encrypt challenge r with user public key pk

User:

- Decrypt secret key ciphertext c_{sk} with pw
- Decrypt challenge c, send recovered r' back

Authentication successful if r = r'

April 3, 2024. Matilda Backendal, Miro Haller

MEGA: Exploiting Authentication for File Decryption*

*highly simplified

Attack

- 1. [2] attack to recover file keys fk
- 2. Key reuse: $Enc_{pw}(sk)$ and $Enc_{pw}(fk)$

*highly simplified

Attack

- 1. [2] attack to recover file keys fk
- 2. Key reuse: $Enc_{pw}(sk)$ and $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
- 3. Partially overwrite c_{sk} with $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
 - No integrity protection of $c_{sk}!$

*highly simplified

Attack

- 1. [2] attack to recover file keys fk
- 2. Key reuse: $Enc_{pw}(sk)$ and $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
- 3. Partially overwrite c_{sk} with $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
 - No integrity protection of $c_{sk}!$
- 4. Pick malicious *r*

*highly simplified

Attack

- 1. [2] attack to recover file keys fk
- 2. Key reuse: $Enc_{pw}(sk)$ and $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
- 3. Partially overwrite c_{sk} with $Enc_{pw}(fk)$
 - No integrity protection of c_{sk} !
- 4. Pick malicious r
- 5. Recover fk from r'

Challenges & Issues in MEGA

- Integrity for key ciphertexts
- Key reuse
- Patching is hard
 - Re-encryption requires > 185 days
- Multi-device access
- Sharing is tricky

Lessons Learned

- Unclear security goals
- Key separation is essential
- Cryptographic agility & minimize chance of vulnerabilities
- Password-based security
- Interaction with (potentially malicious) users/server

The E2EE Cloud Storage Cycle

Security Notions for E2EE Cloud Storage: Operations and Syntax

- Identify core functionalities
 - Register (reg)
 - Authenticate (auth)
 - Upload (put)
 - Update (upd)
 - Download (get)
 - Share (shr)
 - Receive (recv)
- Define syntax to express them
 - Non-atomic operations
 - Allow arbitrary interleavings

Security notions for E2EE cloud storage: game

Security game intuition

- Malicious server (adversary)
- Provide two files f_0 , f_1
- File f_b is uploaded
- Guess bit b' = b
- Full control over state
- Users with correlated pws
- Oracles to make honest users perform actions
- User compromise

Building a Standard for E2EE Cloud Storage?

• Where does the gap arise?

- Where does the gap arise? Everywhere
- Why does it arise? Language barriers, but also...

Overstatements

WHAT PEOPLE CLAIM THEY BUILT

WHAT THEY ACTUALLY BUILT

Image credit: Umer Sayyam, unsplash.com

- Where does the gap arise? Everywhere
- Why does it arise?

- Where does the gap arise? Everywhere
- Why does it arise? It's complicated
- Why is one loop of the cycle not enough to close the gap?

Why Should You Do Applied Cryptography?

caw.cryptanalysis.fun

WHERE DO I SIGN UP?

- It's impactful!
- It's profitable!
- It's fun!

