

From: [REDACTED] [via 800-171comments](#)
To: 800-171comments@list.nist.gov
Subject: [800-171 Comments] 800-171 comment
Date: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 7:59:52 PM
Attachments: [sp800-171r3-ipd-comment-template \(1\).xlsx](#)

Please see attached.

Steven Hurst

Director, Federal Information Safeguarding & Compliance
Federal Business Services



AECOM

aecom.com

Delivering a better world

[LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#)

Comment #	Submitted By (Name/Org):*	Type (General / Editorial / Technical)	Source (publication, analysis, overlay)	Starting Page # *	Starting Line #*	Comment (include rationale)*	Suggested Change*
	Steven Hurst/AECO	General				The rollout of CMMC, requiring compliance with NIST SP 800-171x seems to conflict with the completion of the NIST SP 800-171r3 timeline. How are contractors and our subcontractors expected to understand the requirements. Will CMMC define NIST SP 800-171r2 as the baseline, then change when r3 is implemented?	Clarify the impact of CMMC and NIST SP 800-171rs timelines.
	steven Hurst/AECO	General				NIST SP 800-171rs requires 3rd party assessments but does not define the assessment organization. Will the Cyber AB be involved, or will there be an open marketplace?	Define the qualifications of the assessment organization.