

The attached **DRAFT** document,

**First Public Draft** NISTIR 7977, *NIST Cryptographic Standards and Guidelines Development Process, [February 2014]*

**(provided here for historical purposes)** has been superseded by the following draft publication:

Publication Number:     **SECOND PUBLIC DRAFT NISTIR 7977**

Title:                     **NIST Cryptographic Standards and Guidelines  
Development Process**

Publication Date:        **January 23, 2015**

- Second Public Draft, January 2015: NISTIR 7977:  
[http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/nistir-7977/nistir\\_7977\\_second\\_draft.pdf](http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/drafts/nistir-7977/nistir_7977_second_draft.pdf)
- For information on the NIST Solicits Comments on its Cryptographic Standards Development Process (**NISTIR 7977 Second Public Draft (January 2015)**) can be found at  
<http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/crypto-review/process.html>
- For information on the NIST Solicits Comments on its Cryptographic Standards Development Process (**NISTIR 7977 First Public Draft [from the February 2014]**) can be found at:  
<http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/crypto-review/process-feb2014.html>

**NISTIR 7977**

**NIST Cryptographic Standards and  
Guidelines Development Process  
(Draft)**

The Cryptographic Technology Group

**NISTIR 7977**

# **NIST Cryptographic Standards and Guidelines Development Process (Draft)**

The Cryptographic Technology Group  
*Information Technology Lab*

February 2014



U.S. Department of Commerce  
*Penny Pritzker, Secretary*

National Institute of Standards and Technology  
*Patrick D. Gallagher, Under Secretary of Commerce for Standards and Technology and Director*

National Institute of Standards and Technology Interagency or Internal Report 7977  
14 pages (February 2014)

Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.

There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by Federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, Federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.

Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. All NIST Computer Security Division publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications>.

**Public comment period: *February 18, 2014 through April 18, 2014***

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory

100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930

Email: [crypto-review@nist.gov](mailto:crypto-review@nist.gov)

## **Reports on Computer Systems Technology**

The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in Federal information systems.

### **Abstract**

This document describes the principles, processes and procedures that drive our cryptographic standards development efforts. This draft document will be revised based on the feedback received during the public comment period, and the revised publication will serve as basis for NIST's future standards development efforts. It will also serve as the basis for the review of NIST's existing body of cryptographic standards and guidelines.

### **Keywords**

Cryptographic standards; cryptographic guidelines;

## 1 Introduction

2 The Computer Security Division (CSD), a part of the Information Technology Laboratory (ITL)  
3 at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) is responsible for developing  
4 standards (i.e., Federal Information Processing Standards), guidelines (NIST Recommendations),  
5 tests, and metrics to protect non-national security federal information systems. Cryptographic  
6 standards and guidelines for the protection of sensitive federal information in transit or storage  
7 have always been a key component of this effort. These standards must be robust and have the  
8 confidence of the cryptographic community in order to be widely adopted and effective at  
9 securing information systems worldwide.

10 To ensure these standards provide high-quality, cost-effective security mechanisms, NIST works  
11 closely with a broad stakeholder community to select, define and promulgate these standards and  
12 guidelines. NIST's stakeholder community includes subject matter experts, academia,  
13 government agencies, and sectors and organizations that voluntarily adopt NIST cryptographic  
14 standards. NIST has found that open and transparent processes are critical to developing the  
15 most secure and trusted cryptographic standards possible. NIST strives to engage all of its  
16 stakeholders in these processes.

## 17 Principles

18 NIST believes equitable standards development processes produce the strongest, most effective,  
19 and most highly trusted cryptographic standards. The following principles guide NIST's  
20 standards and guidelines development processes.

21 **Transparency:** All interested and affected parties have access to essential information regarding  
22 standards-related activities and venues. NIST is committed to transparency in the development  
23 and documentation of its cryptographic standards with respect to the selection and evaluation  
24 criteria, specification, security and performance characteristics, and provenance of proposed  
25 standards or guidelines. NIST strives to be transparent with all stakeholders by informing and  
26 involving them through presentations at conferences and standards meetings, and publication of  
27 draft documents for public review.

28 **Openness:** Participation is open to all interested and affected parties. All stakeholders, including  
29 security professionals, researchers, standards development organizations, and users, have an  
30 opportunity to be involved in the standards and guidelines development process. NIST strives to  
31 maintain this open process by posting draft documents for public comment, holding public  
32 workshops and conferences, and engaging the cryptographic community at industry and  
33 academic events.

34 **Technical Merit:** NIST's decisions during the development of cryptographic standards and  
35 guidelines are based on the technical merit of a proposal. NIST strives to standardize  
36 cryptographic algorithms, schemes, and modes of operation whose security properties are well  
37 understood.

38 **Balance:** NIST strives to achieve balance of interests among stakeholders, weighing these  
39 interests to develop cryptographic standards that are secure, efficient, and promote  
40 interoperability. NIST solicits input from a wide-range of stakeholders representing government,  
41 industry and academia to ensure its standards are strong, practical, and meet the needs of the  
42 Federal government as well as the broader user community.

43 **Integrity:** NIST serves as an impartial technical authority when developing cryptographic  
44 standards and guidelines. When evaluating, selecting, and standardizing cryptographic  
45 algorithms, NIST strives to maintain its objectivity when it forms and documents its  
46 decisions. **Continuous Improvement:** During the course of the development of cryptographic  
47 algorithms, the cryptographic community is encouraged to identify weaknesses, vulnerabilities,  
48 or other deficiencies in cryptographic functions specified in NIST publications. When  
49 vulnerabilities are identified, NIST engages with the broader cryptographic community to  
50 address them.

## 51 Stakeholders

52 NIST's statutory responsibility is to develop cryptographic standards and guidelines for  
53 protecting sensitive government information on non-national security systems. These are widely  
54 used across the federal government. However, NIST cryptographic standards have long been  
55 voluntarily adopted by other public and private organizations. For example, the Data Encryption  
56 Standard (DES), published as Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 46 in 1977, filled  
57 a critical need for the financial services industry at a time when electronic transactions were  
58 becoming commonplace. NIST cryptographic standards and guidelines continue to be  
59 voluntarily adopted in the private sector, particularly in the financial and health care sectors.

60 The national security community within the United States federal government has also adopted a  
61 subset of NIST's cryptographic standards and guidelines through the Suite B program. The  
62 NIST algorithms that comprise Suite B have been approved by the National Security Agency  
63 (NSA) to protect classified information up to the Secret level, with a class of algorithms with  
64 larger key sizes approved to protect information at the Top Secret level. NIST works closely  
65 with the NSA in the development of cryptographic standards. This is done because of the NSA's  
66 vast expertise in cryptography and because NIST, under the Federal Information Security  
67 Management Act of 2002, is statutorily required to consult with the NSA on standards.

68 Standards Developing Organizations (SDOs) have also adopted NIST cryptographic standards as  
69 foundational building blocks for security protocols. For example, the Advanced Encryption  
70 Standard (AES) block cipher is included in ISO/IEC 18033-3:2010, is the preferred block cipher  
71 for IEEE 802.11 to secure wireless networks, and is mandatory to implement in version 1.2 of  
72 the IETF's Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol.

73 This widespread adoption has had significant benefits for all participating communities, whether  
74 they are participating by statute or by choice. Widespread international adoption has resulted in  
75 widespread availability of commercial products supporting strong cryptography. In combination  
76 with international standards, security services that are globally interoperable have permitted an  
77 explosion of e-commerce internationally.

78 NIST works closely with experts in industry, academia and government to develop its  
 79 cryptographic standards and guidelines. Since the development of DES, the community  
 80 researching and developing cryptographic technologies within industry and academia has  
 81 expanded greatly. Using the mechanisms and processes described in this document, NIST works  
 82 with these stakeholders to identify areas where standards or guidelines are needed, evaluate  
 83 proposals, and develop standards or publications. NIST’s role as a well-respected and trusted  
 84 technical authority in this field is to balance these needs to ensure that its standards and  
 85 guidelines are technically sound and have the confidence of the community.

## 86 **Engaging the Cryptographic Community**

87 NIST uses a variety of mechanisms to engage its stakeholders in academia, industry, and  
 88 government in the development of its cryptographic standards and guidelines. These  
 89 mechanisms include holding international competitions to select new cryptographic algorithms,  
 90 participating in SDOs, and developing new standards in collaboration with cryptographers  
 91 around the world.

### 92 **Cryptographic Competitions**

93 Cryptographic algorithm competitions allow NIST to standardize a state-of-the-art, widely  
 94 accepted cryptographic primitive by involving the international cryptographic research  
 95 community in a fair, open-design competition to select an algorithm that NIST will standardize  
 96 and promote. Interested parties have an opportunity to participate in the competition by  
 97 publishing research papers, submitting comments, and attending public workshops. Researchers  
 98 contribute candidate designs and papers on theory, cryptanalysis and performance. The winning  
 99 submitters are recognized, but agree to relinquish claim to intellectual property rights for their  
 100 design so that the winning candidate can be available for royalty-free use. NIST determines the  
 101 algorithm submission requirements and selection criteria, organizes workshops, hosts a  
 102 competition website and e-mail discussion forum, selects the winning algorithm (based on its  
 103 own analysis and that of the public), and explains and documents the selection.

104 A typical competition starts with a public dialog on the need and requirements for a new  
 105 algorithm, both on-line and through public workshop(s), as well as a Federal Register  
 106 announcement inviting comment on NIST’s proposed criteria. A subsequent Federal Register  
 107 announcement states the submission requirements, schedule and selection criteria. A candidate  
 108 conference is held, usually juxtaposed with a major cryptographic research conference, for each  
 109 “round” of the competition to review the candidates and research results (i.e., cryptanalysis,  
 110 performance and proofs of properties) on the candidates. Following each round, NIST  
 111 announces the candidates selected to continue to the next round, and provides a report that  
 112 documents the rationale for the selections. This winnowing allows the community to focus its  
 113 analytical efforts on the most promising candidates. The last round usually has about five strong  
 114 candidates. Following the final candidate conference, NIST selects the winner, writes a final  
 115 report and formally proposes a standard for the algorithm through the normal FIPS process.



116 **Adoption of Existing Standards**

117 NIST participates in Standards Development Organizations (SDOs), either as a member  
 118 organization (e.g., X9, Inc.<sup>1</sup> working groups, INCITS<sup>2</sup> technical committees), or as individual  
 119 representatives (e.g., IEEE SA<sup>3</sup> working groups and IETF<sup>4</sup> working groups). NIST experts also  
 120 participate in some international SDOs through US National Body or Member State  
 121 representation. ANSI<sup>5</sup> is the sole US representative for two major non-treaty international  
 122 standards organizations, the International Organization for Standardization (ISO), and, via the  
 123 US National Committee (USNC), the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC). For  
 124 treaty-based international standards bodies, such as the International Telecommunication Union  
 125 (ITU), the Department of State represents the US.

126 The principles used to develop voluntary consensus standards within SDOs are outlined in OMB  
 127 Circular A-119, which instructs agencies to consider the use of these standards except where  
 128 inconsistent with law or otherwise impractical. Active participation in such SDOs helps to  
 129 ensure that NIST cryptographic standards and guidelines are highly secure and interoperable  
 130 with its international partners. When appropriate, SDO publications are referenced in NIST  
 131 guidance publications.

132 **Development of New Standards**

133 When NIST identifies a requirement for a standard and determines that no suitable standard  
 134 already exists, NIST often develops a guidance document for use by Federal agencies. If there is  
 135 also broader applicability, NIST may offer the guidance document or an adaptation of the  
 136 document as a contribution to an SDO standards activity. NIST experts in cryptographic  
 137 algorithms and standards develop these guidance documents in collaboration with experts in  
 138 academia, industry and government. Transparency and collaboration is accomplished through  
 139 formal public review processes and interaction with experts at public workshops and standards  
 140 meetings. For the development of new, basic cryptographic functions, NIST may invite  
 141 contributions from the public and hold a formal competition. In some cases, NIST guidance  
 142 publications are offered as contributions to and form a basis for SDO standards.

143 **NIST Publications**

144 NIST uses several types of documents to publish and disseminate its cryptographic standards and  
 145 guidelines. Three categories of NIST publications are commonly used: Federal Information  
 146 Processing Standards, Special Publications, and Interagency Reports. Draft and final  
 147 cryptographic standards and guidelines are posted by NIST on its Computer Security Resource  
 148 Center web pages and are freely available to anyone.

---

<sup>1</sup> X9, Inc., Financial Industry Standards.

<sup>2</sup> InterNational Committee for Information Technology Standards.

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Electronic and Electrical Engineers Standards Association.

<sup>4</sup> Internet Engineering Task Force.

<sup>5</sup> American National Standards Institute.

149 ***Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS):*** FIPS publications are issued by NIST  
 150 after approval by the Secretary of Commerce pursuant to Section 5131 of the Information  
 151 Technology Reform Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-106) and the Federal Information Security  
 152 Management Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-347). FIPS publications are used by NIST to  
 153 publish standards for fundamental cryptographic primitives, such as block ciphers, digital  
 154 signature algorithms, and hash functions.

155 ***Special Publications (800 Series):*** The Special Publication 800 series document a wide range  
 156 of research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in computer security. Cryptographic guidelines  
 157 in the 800 series build upon the primitives specified in FIPS publications, sometimes  
 158 specifying additional cryptographic algorithms, schemes and modes of operation, as well as  
 159 providing guidance for their use. For example, Special Publications in the 800 series specify  
 160 random bit generators, block cipher modes of operation, key-derivation functions, and key-  
 161 establishment schemes. These algorithms and schemes use the block ciphers, hash functions,  
 162 and mathematical primitives defined in FIPS publications as fundamental building blocks. In  
 163 addition, NIST also issues guidelines on the selection and use of cryptographic algorithms in  
 164 800 series Special Publications.

165 ***NIST Interagency Reports (NIST IR):*** NIST IRs describe technical research of interest to a  
 166 specialized audience. NIST does not specify cryptographic algorithms in NIST IR  
 167 publications. Instead, NIST uses NIST IR publications to disseminate information about its  
 168 cryptographic standards efforts. Historically, the Computer Security Division has used NIST  
 169 IRs to publish workshop and conference reports, discussion documents on new challenges in  
 170 cryptography, and status reports on cryptographic algorithm competitions.

171 While any NIST publication containing cryptographic standards or guidelines is first released as  
 172 a draft for public comment, the specific development process differs by publication type.  
 173 Because FIPS are mandated by formal legislation, and the algorithms they specify are at the heart  
 174 of many critical security technologies, FIPS publications undergo the most formal development  
 175 process. FIPS documents are developed by NIST, but approved and promulgated by the  
 176 Secretary of Commerce. Formal announcements for draft and final FIPS documents are  
 177 published in the Federal Register. As such, FIPS documents tend to have much longer  
 178 development cycles than Special Publications. Special Publications are promulgated by NIST,  
 179 with announcements posted on the Computer Security Division website. Special Publications  
 180 have a shorter development cycle and usually are not announced in the Federal Register but are  
 181 posted for a specified public comment period for external review and participation.

## 182 **Public Review and Outreach**

183 NIST strives in its cryptographic standards and guidance activities to be as open, and transparent  
 184 as possible. NIST provides public notice of its activities in cryptography including:

- 185 • Plans for cryptographic standards and recommendations,
- 186 • Invitations for public participation in workshops that discuss topics in cryptography and
- 187 its standardization,

- 188 • Announcements of the availability of draft cryptographic standards and recommendations  
189 for public review and comment, and
- 190 • Announcements of the adoption of cryptographic standards and recommendations for use  
191 by the US Federal Government.

192 All announcements are posted and available on the Computer Security Division website  
193 (<http://csrc.nist.gov>), while major announcements, including those proposing the adoption of  
194 FIPS and inviting comments on a proposed standard, are also announced in the Federal Register.  
195 In addition, press releases usually accompany significant announcements, and sometimes  
196 Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) Security Bulletins are posted that provide information  
197 about the use of cryptographic standards and recommendations. In some cases, NIST maintains  
198 a public email forum for ongoing open discussion of subjects relevant to cryptographic standards  
199 or research activities.

200 The primary feedback mechanism for NIST cryptographic designs and implementation guidance  
201 is the posting of drafts and requests for public comment on the Computer Security Division  
202 website. Comment periods depend on the size and complexity of the drafts, as well as any prior  
203 history of public exposure and commentary, but typically run from 30 to 90 days. Comments  
204 may be submitted as electronic mail messages, transmission of electronically completed  
205 comment templates, or as hard copy correspondence. If the nature or extent of changes to a draft  
206 resulting from the comments is sufficiently extensive, one or more additional cycles of public  
207 review may be conducted. Comments received on draft FIPS, and their dispositions, are  
208 summarized in the Federal Register Notice announcing the approval of a new or revised  
209 standard. In the case of commercial or consensus standards, feedback is generated and received  
210 in accordance with the policies and procedures of the respective standards bodies.

211 Announcements and public review are vital, but only the externally visible part of the process.  
212 Public outreach begins well before formal announcements and extends beyond the adoption of  
213 standards. NIST is deeply involved in the cryptographic research community, participating  
214 extensively in the community by attending research conferences; providing program committee  
215 members, speakers and reviewers for conferences and workshops; and writing papers on NIST  
216 research. NIST also invites and hosts guest researchers, postdoctoral fellows and visiting  
217 scholars; sometimes funds academic research; and provides services, such as the NIST  
218 Randomness Beacon,<sup>6</sup> for the research community. As a result, cryptographers around the world  
219 often know whom to contact at NIST in their area of interest. NIST encourages and receives  
220 valuable informal advice, often based on independent cryptanalysis, from researchers.

221 NIST's previously discussed participation in SDOs provides another avenue for outreach and  
222 feedback. In many cases, NIST staff are contributors, editors or working-group chairs for  
223 proposed voluntary standards that use cryptography. NIST participates in the SDO standards  
224 process along with industry and companies involved in the design, development and  
225 implementation of cryptography. Such outreach promotes a two-way flow of information, and  
226 provides early feedback on the effects of NIST standards and the need for new or different  
227 standards.

---

<sup>6</sup> See [http://www.nist.gov/itl/csd/ct/nist\\_beacon.cfm](http://www.nist.gov/itl/csd/ct/nist_beacon.cfm)

228 NIST must prioritize its participation within meetings, conferences, standards organizations and  
229 industry groups based on the stakeholders involved and the expected impact of involvement.  
230 There are also limits on the number of guest researchers and visiting scholars that can be  
231 accommodated, based on the available resources. Process and fairness require that some  
232 activities be kept confidential until announced publicly to everyone at the same time. Within  
233 these constraints, NIST strives to keep stakeholders informed by reaching out to the community,  
234 being accessible for discussions, listening to concerns, responding to questions, making  
235 important activities public, participating actively in the cryptographic research community, and  
236 supporting voluntary standards development efforts.

237 **Appendix: Examples of Development Processes**

238 **Advanced Encryption Standard**

239 During the 1990s, NIST wanted a block cipher standard that was stronger and faster than  
 240 the existing Triple-DES standard, which was primarily used for encryption and message  
 241 authentication. In January 1997 NIST announced its interest in the development of a  
 242 successor to Triple-DES, to be called the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES). NIST  
 243 requested feedback and held a public workshop to discuss the criteria for the design of  
 244 this algorithm. NIST then announced the start of a competition and its rules and  
 245 requirements in September 1997, calling for candidate submissions in nine months.  
 246 NIST received 15 complete candidates, and held three conferences to review and winnow  
 247 the candidates down to five finalists. After the third conference, NIST chose Rijndael to  
 248 be the AES in October 2000, and in February 2001, formally proposed the AES standard,  
 249 FIPS 197, in a Federal Register announcement soliciting public comment. The final  
 250 approval of AES occurred on November 26, 2001.

251 **Block Cipher Modes of Operation**

252 FIPS 197 authorizes NIST Recommendations as a source for modes of operation for  
 253 implementations of the AES algorithm. Recommendations for a variety of modes have  
 254 been published, in a relatively agile manner under that authority, in the 800-38 series of  
 255 Special Publications. Two sets of those modes originated in the Federal government: 1)  
 256 the adaptations of the four DES encryption modes in FIPS 81 to the AES algorithm, and  
 257 2) the key-wrapping modes that were developed by NSA at NIST's request. All of the  
 258 other block cipher modes approved by NIST were based on proposals that were  
 259 submitted for NIST's consideration from academia and industry, including both  
 260 individual companies and standards groups. All mode proposals are posted on NIST's  
 261 CSRC website, with an open invitation for public comments.

262 The initial step in the development process is to determine whether a version of a mode  
 263 proposal is appropriate to include in NIST's cryptographic toolkit of standards. The main  
 264 considerations are: 1) whether the mode serves an important need, 2) whether existing  
 265 modes in the toolkit, or other modes proposals, can adequately provide the needed  
 266 properties/functionality, 3) whether the mode meets NIST's security requirements, and 4)  
 267 for patented modes, whether acceptable royalty-free alternatives are available. NIST has  
 268 often sought public input into these initial decisions, either from public workshops or  
 269 through public comment periods.

270 When NIST is interested in approving a mode proposal, the next step is the development  
 271 of a draft special publication that specifies the mode. Normally, NIST develops the draft  
 272 in consultation with the mode submitter. After passing internal review, the draft is posted  
 273 on the CSRC website for a period of public comment, after which any received  
 274 comments are also posted. NIST considers the public comments carefully and decides

275 whether to finalize the draft for publication, with appropriate revisions to address any  
 276 remaining public or internal concerns.

277 Since 2001, NIST has approved twelve block cipher modes of operation within six  
 278 special publications in the 800-38 series. These modes provide confidentiality and/or  
 279 authentication for a variety of general and special purpose applications, including modes  
 280 designed for wireless local-area networks, disk encryption, and high-throughput Internet  
 281 routers. A seventh document in the series, specifying modes for format-preserving  
 282 encryption, is currently in development.

### 283 **Deterministic Random Bit Generators**

284 In 1998, NIST recognized that the random number generators described in FIPS 186-2  
 285 would not be adequate for anticipated future requirements for the generation of random  
 286 numbers. As a member of X9F1, a subcommittee of the American Standards Committee  
 287 (ASC) X9 (the committee for Financial Services), NIST concluded that X9F1 would be  
 288 an appropriate venue to develop a standard on random number generation, since the  
 289 committee included members from several organizations with cryptographic expertise. A  
 290 development team was formed to develop this standard (ANS X9.82) led by NIST and  
 291 NSA staff. The standard was developed in four parts: a general discussion of random  
 292 number generators (Part 1), requirements for entropy sources (Part 2), specifications for  
 293 deterministic random bit generator (DRBG) algorithms (Part 3), and constructions for  
 294 building Random Bit Generators (RBGs) from DRBGs and entropy sources (Part 4).

295 During the development of Part 3 of ANS X9.82, a version of the document was provided  
 296 to the International Standards Organization (ISO), where it became the basis for ISO/IEC  
 297 18031.

298 In order to obtain a wider review of the standard, include additional test and validation  
 299 guidance that was not appropriate for the X9 standard, and allow a more efficient review  
 300 and comment process, NIST incorporated the material into the SP 800-90 series of  
 301 documents. This series specifies algorithms (in NIST SP 800-90A), requirements and  
 302 tests for entropy sources (in NIST SP 800-90B), and constructions for combining the  
 303 DRBG algorithms and entropy sources into Random Bit Generators (in NIST SP 800-  
 304 90C).

305 ANS X9.82, Part 3 became the basis for NIST SP800-90A. Part 3 of ANS X9.82  
 306 contains three algorithms: *HMAC\_DRBG*, *CTR\_DRBG* and *Dual\_EC\_DRBG*. However,  
 307 when SP 800-90A was developed, four algorithms were included: *Hash\_DRBG*,  
 308 *HMAC\_DRBG*, *CTR\_DRBG* and *Dual\_EC\_DRBG*. *Hash\_DRBG* was originally  
 309 designed in response to a request for a generator that would be appropriate for the  
 310 generation of values with higher security requirements than were provided in the older  
 311 random number generators specified in the Digital Signature Standard (FIPS 186-2).

312 During the development of the SP 800-90 series, NIST has held several workshops,  
 313 hosted discussions with organizations and experts involved in testing or designing

314 random bit generators, and provided the drafts of the SP 800-90 documents for public  
315 comment. All such feedback was considered for incorporation into the SP 800-90  
316 documents.

317 Some in the cryptographic community have expressed concern about the  
318 *Dual\_EC\_DRBG* specified in SP 800-90A. In light of these concerns, NIST published an  
319 ITL Bulletin<sup>7</sup> discussing the history of the document development and the issue of  
320 concern, provided the SP 800-90 documents for an additional public comment period,  
321 and advised against using the *Dual\_EC\_DRBG* pending the resolution of the security  
322 concerns. As part of our commitment to continuous improvement of our standards and  
323 guidelines, NIST will review these comments and make a determination of the  
324 appropriate action to take.

---

<sup>7</sup> See <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistbul>